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Abstract

Performance levels expected from future-generation wireless networks and sensor systems are beyond the capabilities of current radio technologies. To realize information capacities much higher than those achievable through existing time and/or frequency coding techniques, an antenna system must exploit the spatial characteristics of the medium in an intelligent and adaptive manner. This means that such system needs to incorporate integrated multi-element antennas with controlled and adjustable performances. The antenna configuration should also be highly miniaturized and integrated with circuits around it in order to meet the rigorous requirements of size, weight, and cost.

A solid understanding of the underlying physics of the antenna function is, and has always been, the key to a successful design. In a typical antenna design process, the designer starts with a simple conceptual model, based on a given volume/space to be occupied by the antenna. The design cycle is completed by the antenna performing its function over a range of frequencies in some complex scenarios, i.e., packaged into a compact device, handled in different operational environments, and possibly implanted inside a human/animal body. From the conceptual model to the actual working device, a large variety of design approaches and steps exist. These approaches may be viewed as simulation-driven steps, experimental-based ones, or a hybrid of both. In any of these approaches, a typical design involves a large amount of parametric/optimization steps. It is no wonder, then, that due to the many uncertainties and ‘unknowns’ in the antenna problem, a final working design is usually an evolved version of an initial implementation that comes to fruition only after a considerable amount of effort and time spent on “unsuccessful” prototypes. In general, the circuit/filter community has enjoyed a better design experience than that of the antenna community. Designing a filter network to meet specific bandwidth and insertion loss is a fairly well-defined procedure, from the conceptual stages to the actual realization.

In view of the aforementioned, this work focuses on attempting to unveil some of the uncertainties associated with the general antenna design problem through adapting key
features from the circuit/filter theory. Some of the adapted features include a group delay method for the design of antennas with a pre-defined impedance bandwidth, inverter-based modeling for the synthesis of small-sized wideband antennas, and an Eigen-based technique to realize multi-band/multi-feed antennas, tunable antennas, and high sensitivity sensor antennas. By utilizing the proposed approaches in the context of this research, the design cycle for practical antennas should be significantly simplified along with various physical limitations clarified, all of which translates to reduced time, effort, and cost in product development.
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Chapter 1: Introduction

“The essence of science: ask an impertinent question, and you are on the way to a pertinent answer.”

Jacob Bronowski

Since its very first days, the wireless system has been composed of several key components, one of the most crucial of which is the antenna. An antenna is essentially a transducer that transforms electrical signals into waves propagating through space. It is also capable of transforming the electromagnetic waves flowing in space into electrical signals to be processed at the receiver. Numerous studies over the years have pontificated on the most suitable antenna for a given wireless system, with a large number of books summarizing a massive amount of work on the antenna theory from the early 1900s until now. A close look at most of the pioneering and fundamental work on antennas reveals some standard forms for antennas, such as dipoles, loops, printed microstrip patches, helical-based, parabolic-based, and waveguide antennas, all of which have been extensively studied. Models to predict their behavior are available, and many tools were developed to analyze their properties. Most of these antennas are useful in a standard type of applications, i.e., satellite communications, radars, and terrestrial communications towers.

A common feature among these antennas is that most of them were developed for broadcast systems or military applications. However, recently, we have witnessed a significant increase of emerging wireless systems serving everyday individuals. Mobile handhelds, such as smartphones, smart sensors, or tablets, have become a daily necessity of life necessity for many around the world. In fact, the world’s wireless forum predicts that, by 2030, each individual will be served by more than 1,000 sensors and wireless systems.
In general, most of the new wireless devices share the feature of being “commercially”
driven products. They target different classes of the community, and thus for each there
are different marketing strategies. This reflect the capabilities and form-factors of each
wireless device. With such a variety in packaging possibilities comes the challenge of
antenna design. The antenna is no longer a simple dipole, loop, or patch antenna of
uniform geometries; rather, it has become a flexible varying structure that has to conform
to the package, support multi-frequency operation, satisfy many operational standards,
and maintain satisfactory operation at all possible scenarios experienced by the wireless
device.

With multiple sets of stringent requirements along with a variety of non-canonical
configurations, it became clear that the typical theoretical approach for antenna design is
quite limited and would not satisfy the needs for rapid and accurate product development.
This is why the use of an experimental-based approach, mostly relying on the cut-and-try
designs, surged in the early days of portable wireless devices. This approach was further
enhanced by massive advancements in computational powers, which allowed the designer
to experiment on his personal computer, minimizing his attempts on the bench or at the
lab. In fact, it has become a common design routine to start with a conceptual design and
let the software optimization package try to get the antenna form to comply with the
requirements. However, numerous limitations emerge from relying solely on software
capabilities. The computational power is simply useless if the optimizer has no physics-
based assessment of the design problem, particularly when it comes to three-dimensional
electromagnetic solvers targeting multi-objective antenna designs.

The market for wireless personal devices has expanded significantly. Nowadays, products
range from simple communication devices to medical applications, spanning through
wireless sensor nodes and the Internet of Things. All indications are that the demand for
custom antenna elements for each unique package will increase even further. The typical
try-on-the-bench approach is a time-consuming and costly process, while relying solely
on software optimization tools is not the ideal solution. Thus, well-defined synthesis
techniques are needed to address the design problem. It is understood that such an
approach cannot alleviate the need for optimization or replace fine on-the-bench tunings,
but it should be sufficiently effective in guiding the designer through the optimization and tuning processes. To this end, the primarily target of this research is to establish a general efficient antenna design strategy for next generation wireless devices.

1.1 Statement of the Problem and the Selected Tools

In its roots, each doctoral thesis seeks an answer to a given number of questions. Early in my PhD work, I was challenged with the following thought: “How can we systematically and efficiently design an antenna, especially one that is integrated in a package, can cover as many bands as possible, and can operate in stringent and varying operational conditions?” This question was the trigger for this thesis, in which I primarily seek a modern practical approach to the design of compact, integrated, and multi-frequency antennas.

To advance the work presented in this thesis, access to a number of tools was needed. First, I had to re-visit some of the well-established electromagnetic and antenna theories available. This was necessary both to stand on the shoulders of giants, while at the same time not re-inventing the wheel. In addition, it served to define why many of the commonly available techniques are not suitable for addressing the problem at hand.

Successful engineering design, in general, has always been a challenging task. However, one particular community, known for its robust mathematical models implemented in developing products that meet strict system requirements, is the filter design community. My second tool was therefore to study their techniques closely and to understand their approaches. By adapting some of the filter design ideas to the antenna problem, this thesis illustrates how some complex antenna designs can be systematically developed.

Advancements in computational tools present a powerful paradigm towards better design approaches. In particular, the application of Electromagnetic (EM) field simulation packages to microwave engineering design has enabled unprecedented insight into a multitude of complex problems. These packages feature a diversified number of numerical techniques, each with a unique set of advantages. Interestingly, many of the
kernels of these tools are widely available as open source software. This means that they are freely available to the interested developer to edit and modify, without the need to start from the basic principles. Additionally, many commercial software vendors make their tools available for academic use at a significantly reduced price. So, given the large number of available software tools, this work proposes new design methodologies that efficiently utilize the currently available EM solvers.

Still, the development of a number of customized codes is a necessity. Some of these have already been developed in the context of this research to implement some of the proposed techniques. A custom tool was established to control several EM solvers and integrate the proposed methodologies therein. This is an invaluable tool that greatly enhanced the progress of this research. The list of EM solvers utilized includes: Matlab Antenna Toolbox (Method of Moments MOM open source code supporting 3-dimensional configurations and generalized dielectric inclusions), Ansoft’s Designer (Circuit solver and Method of Moments MOM 2.5-dimensional solver supporting 2-D infinite dielectric inclusions), Ansoft’s HFSS (3-D Finite Element Method Solver FEM), COMSOL (2-D and 3-D Finite Element Method Solvers, with electro-static, magneto-static modules, in addition to thermal and mechanical solvers), Sonnet EM (Method of Moments MOM 2.5-dimensional solver supporting 2-D infinite dielectric inclusions), CST’s Microwave Studio (3-D Finite Integration Solver), and SPEAG’s SEMCAD (3-D conformal Finite Difference Time Domain Solver).

Finally, to verify the proposed concepts, many prototypes were developed. Understanding the fabrication procedures and their tolerances was a crucial step towards adequately modeling the proposed antenna designs. Some prototypes were produced using copper tape and foam substrates, while others used FR-4 PCBs. To address the need for low-cost "green" antennas, inkjet printing technology was utilized to produce some novel design concepts suitable for next-generation wireless systems.
1.2 The Thesis at a Glance

The first section of this document briefly reviews some of the classical design methods of traditional antenna structures of relevance to this work. The design strategies towards converting these structures into working antennas are outlined. The purpose of presenting such strategies in Chapter 2 serves in listing the common approaches before presenting the proposed ones. Some fundamental concepts such as the antenna quality factor and its relation to the impedance bandwidth are discussed, with further details available in the associated appendices. In addition, state-of-the-art techniques in antenna design are briefed. In view of the current trends and future needs, Chapter 2 concludes with some specific features that should be incorporated in any newly developed design methodology for antenna design.

Following a divide-and-conquer strategy, the proposed generalized design methodology is presented through a number of novel concepts. Chapter 3 starts by emphasizing some very basic circuit concepts and definitions, along with presenting the dominant approaches in the analysis of the antenna quality factor. This review serves a two-fold purpose. First, it clearly outlines some of the common definitions and their domain of application, and second, it allows for drawing an analogy that could translate the antenna design problem into a systematic procedure, like that encountered in filter design. Simple examples utilizing this analogy are presented.

In Chapter 4, the simple analogy discussed in Chapter 3 is used to develop a theory for the design of multi-coupled antennas. Detailed steps are presented, along with a number of examples illustrating the usefulness of the proposed formulations. An observation is made about the possibility of integrating filtering functionalities into these types of antennas. The chapter concludes with a discussion on the need for extending the proposed technique to multi-band multi-feed antennas. This triggers the work in Chapter 5.

In a different manner than that presented earlier, the antenna problem in Chapter 5 is tackled from a feed-less point of view. That is, the modal behavior of the antenna structure is studied, without the presence of any feed configuration. Modal analysis is one technique that is typically involved in the filter design process. However, in such a
process, the solution space is always assumed to be bounded with a metallic cavity, and thus no radiation effects are taken into account. In the antenna community, the application of modal analysis dates back to the late 1970s. Nevertheless, its application has remained quite limited, with severe approximations in accounting for the associated radiation mechanisms. Through some modifications to the aforementioned theory, and in light of the recent advances in computational capabilities, Chapter 5 demonstrates a number of novel concepts. Utilizing an efficient Eigen solver, the capability of accurately extracting the modal field distribution and the radiation quality factor of antennas is demonstrated. Through such capability, the concept of impedance maps is proposed. This is a very special concept that allows the designer to predict beforehand the impedance values at any location on a general antenna, without the need for parametric and optimization trials seeking an appropriate feed location. In addition, through the modal analysis, the designer will always have a priori information about the maximum attainable bandwidth, the operational frequency, and the radiation pattern of any antenna under study. Examples on utilizing the proposed concepts in the design of dual-feed antennas, single-feed with dual bands, reconfigurable/tunable antennas, and antennas for portable devices are illustrated. The chapter concludes that one promising technique for multi-band multi-feed antenna design is to focus on finding a suitable antenna with the appropriate resonant frequency and quality factor, irrespective of the feed configuration. A suitable feed location can then be easily found following the generation of the antenna impedance map at each band of interest. Hence, the remaining crucial question is how to find an antenna shape resulting in the required complex frequency behavior. This question motivated the work presented in Chapter 6.

Developing an antenna shape to meet some system requirements is one of the most challenging design tasks. To search for such design, it is clear that the need for invoking an efficient optimization routine is inevitable. One should note that this optimization cycle is expected to be different from the traditional ones, given the presented advancements in the calculation of the antenna quality factor. An enhanced optimization cycle would then translate the return loss specifications into seeking structures with specific resonant frequencies and quality factors. This translation would provide the
optimizer with some physics-based knowledge of the structure investigated, which in turn should improve the convergence of the optimization cycle. Interestingly, various optimization routines are already implemented in commercial EM solvers. However, most of them require a significantly large number of simulations before a simple antenna design can be optimized.

To this end, the work described in Chapter 6 proposes a novel physics-based optimization routine based on multi-dimensional Cauchy rational modeling. This newly developed routine proved quite suitable for antenna problems, with performance substantially surpassing many commercially available routines. Furthermore, the routine proved efficient in generating a surrogate model for the behavior of the optimized structure. Hence, this optimizer is quite capable of assessing the design sensitivities and tolerances, without need for further extensive simulations and studies.

To demonstrate the relevance of the proposed design techniques to next-generation wireless devices (whether for personal handhelds, wireless sensor nodes, or Internet of Things applications), Chapter 7 discusses the application of inkjet printing technology to various wireless systems. The chapter lists some of the challenging aspects in order to realize fine and repeatable printing resolutions of silver nano-ink. Examples on LCP and paper substrates are demonstrated up to mm-Wave frequencies. Many of those are suitable as package-integrated flexible antennas. Novel gas sensor concepts integrating carbon nanotubes in antennas for remote sensing are also presented.

Chapter 8 concludes the thesis, highlighting some of the key contributions and suggesting possible future work. Specifically, it is believed that the concept of impedance maps will truly facilitate the design of multi-band tunable LTE and MIMO systems. Moreover, the proposed approach for coupled antenna designs should be re-visited, focusing further studies on the filter-integrated functionality associated with such antennas. Other future research work could include further investigations on compact antenna array designs, as well as investigations into using inkjet printing to create commercial package-integrated low-cost flexible antennas.
Chapter 2: Background

“What is now proved was once only imagined.”

William Blake

Antennas are key components in any wireless communication system. They are the devices that allow for the transfer of a signal to waves that can propagate through space and be received by another antenna. The transmitting and receiving functionalities of many of the basic antenna structures are fairly well understood [1]-[16]. For example, a dipole antenna is formed of a straight wire, fed at the center by a two-wire transmission line. To optimally perform its function, its length must be approximately half of the wavelength at the frequency of operation. Its gain pattern is known to be omnidirectional, with a relatively narrow impedance bandwidth. To overcome its gain limitations, the Yagi-Uda antenna [41]-[42] was introduced in the 1920s with more directivity and gain that can easily be 10 times that of a dipole. Later in the 1940s, log-periodic wire antennas produced both high gain and wide bandwidth. These were paralleled by other types of antennas, such as the large reflectors, apertures, and waveguides [46]-[48].

Until the late 1970s, antenna design was based primarily on practical approaches using off-the-shelf canonical antennas. The antenna engineer would choose or modify one of these antennas based on the design requirements on impedance bandwidth, gain bandwidth, pattern beamwidth, and side-lobe levels. Such a design cycle typically required extensive testing and experimentation. It is no wonder, then, that most of the notable designs were funded by military-oriented research. Interestingly, with the current exploding needs for the production of commercially-driven devices, along with the remarkable growth in computing speeds and efficient computational techniques, the development of realistic antenna geometries through low-cost virtual antenna design has become a reality.
Incidentally, it is no wonder that the commercial mobile communications industry has been the catalyst for the recent explosive growth in antenna design needs. The last few years have seen an extensive use of antennas by the public for cellular communications, satellite-based Global Positioning Systems (GPS), wireless Local Area Networks (LAN), Bluetooth technology, Radio Frequency ID (RFID) devices, and many others [49]-[57]. However, future needs will be even greater when a multitude of antennas are integrated to form the backbone of the Internet of Things (IoT). For example, automobiles will be fitted with a plurality of antennas for all sorts of communication, security, and safety needs. Future RFID devices will most likely replace bar codes on all products, while concurrently allowing for instantaneous inventorying. For military applications, there is an increasing need for small and conformal multifunctional antennas that can satisfy a plethora of communications needs using as little space as possible. Wireless implants and body area networks are another massive realm where compact and efficient antenna designs are needed to improve health monitoring and allow for better medical assessments.

To satisfy the needs of this futuristic world of antennas, one needs to set up a well-defined synthesis strategy. Generally, the antenna design process can be divided into two major steps. The first tries to determine the lower limit on the volume of a general antenna in order to meet a given bandwidth (and/or gain) requirement. This may be found through studying the quality factor of the antenna. The second step tries to find a practical antenna realization that meets the required specifications while fitting within a specified volume. This volume is usually much larger than the lower limit found in the first part, and the ultimate goal is to keep it as close as possible to the lower limit.

2.1 Size Limitations

The first step in antenna design is to assess the volume needed to realize an antenna with the required specifications. These specifications may include impedance bandwidth, gain bandwidth, efficiency, constraints on polarization, etc. [13]. For portable devices, it is typically the impedance bandwidth that is most important [17]. Traditionally, the problem
is tackled first by determining the quality factor, through which the impedance bandwidth is calculated. A brief history is presented next to outline the procedure and its limitations.

### 2.1.1 Antenna Quality Factor

The radiation properties of antennas were first investigated in detail by Wheeler [58]-[59], who coined the term “radiation power factor.” Using circuit concepts for a capacitor and inductor acting as antenna, he showed that the radiation power factor for an electric or magnetic antenna is somewhat greater than [58]:

\[
\frac{1}{Q} > \frac{4\pi^2}{3\lambda^3} V
\]

where \( V \) is the volume of the cylinder containing the antenna, and \( \lambda \) is the wavelength.

![Antenna visualized in its radian sphere.](image)

Later, a very comprehensive theory was presented by Chu [60], in which the minimum radiation quality factor \( Q \) of an antenna, which fits inside a sphere of a given radius, was derived. This approximate theory was later extended by Harrington [61] to include circularly polarized antennas. Collin [62] and later, Fante [63], published an extended theory based on a calculation of the evanescent energy stored around an antenna. A comprehensive review paper on this issue was published by Hansen [64]. He formulated the Chu-Harrington’s limit of \( Q \) as [64]:

\[
\frac{1}{Q} > \frac{4\pi^2}{3\lambda^3} V
\]
\[ Q = \frac{1 + 3k^2a^2}{k^3a^3 (1 + k^2a^2)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.2)

This result conflicted with Collin’s work, which showed that [62]:

\[ Q \approx \frac{1}{k^3a^3} + \frac{1}{ka} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.3)

In fact, this result has split theorists into two camps: those who support the theory of Chu, and those calling for its revision. In the late 1990s, McLean [65] reviewed the previously published theories. He calculated the radiation Q directly from the fields of the TM\(_{01}\) spherical mode by analyzing a short wire, using a more direct technique than previous work. McLean showed that the result is exactly the same as that obtained using either an equivalent ladder-network analysis with no approximations [60] or the exact field based technique given by Collin [62] and Fante [63].

To understand why different researchers arrived at different conclusions, we need to review some of their main assumptions. Strictly speaking, the radiation \( Q \) of a simple small antenna is not clearly defined, since, in general, such an antenna is not self-resonant. In most cases, the \( Q \) of a system is defined in terms of the ratio of the maximum energy stored to the total energy lost per period. For an antenna, the following definition for radiation \( Q \) is generally utilized:

\[ Q = \begin{cases} 
\frac{2\omega W_e}{P_{rad}} & W_e > W_m \\
\frac{2\omega W_m}{P_{rad}} & W_m > W_e
\end{cases} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2.4)

where \( W_e \) is the time-average, non-propagating, stored electric energy, \( W_m \) is the time-average, non-propagating, stored magnetic energy, \( \omega \) is the radian frequency, and \( P_{rad} \) is the radiated power. The basis for the given definition is that it is implicitly assumed that the antenna will be resonated with an appropriate lossless circuit element, resulting in a purely real input impedance at a specific frequency [60]. Thus, the definition of the
radiation $Q$ of an antenna is similar to the definition of $Q$ for a practical circuit element, which stores predominantly one form of energy while exhibiting some losses.

In Chu’s theory [60], the antenna is enclosed by a sphere of radius $a$, the smallest possible sphere which completely encloses the antenna. The fields of the antenna external to the sphere are represented in terms of a weighted sum of spherical wave functions, the so-called “modes of free space”. It is implicit in Chu’s work that these modes exhibit power orthogonality; that is, they carry power independent of one another. From the spherical wave function expansion, the radiation $Q$ is calculated in terms of time-average, non-propagating energy external to the sphere, and the radiated power. In this manner, the calculated radiation $Q$ will be the minimum possible radiation $Q$ for any antenna that fits in the sphere. Any energy stored within the sphere will only increase the $Q$.

However, the calculation of this radiation $Q$ is not straight-forward because the total time-average stored energy outside the sphere is infinite, just as it is for any propagating wave or combination of propagating waves and non-propagating fields. It is not possible to calculate the non-propagating stored energy simply by using the near-field electric and magnetic field components. Accordingly, Chu proposed a technique to separate the non-propagating energy from the total energy. He derived an equivalent ladder network for each spherical waveguide mode using a technique based on the recurrence relations of the spherical Bessel functions and a continued fraction expansion. From the equivalent circuit, one needs to calculate the total non-propagating energy, and hence the radiation $Q$, by summing up the electric and magnetic energies stored in the inductances and capacitances. However, this is quite a tedious task to include all modes. Chu approximates the problem by deriving an equivalent RLC circuit and calculates the $Q$ from this equivalent circuit, assuming it behaves as a lumped network over some limited range of frequency. From Chu’s calculations, it was shown that an antenna which excites only one mode, whether $TE_{01}$ or $TM_{01}$ external to the sphere and stores no energy in the sphere, has the lowest possible radiation $Q$ of any linearly polarized antenna.

McLean [65] derived an exact expression for the radiation $Q$ of an antenna exciting only one mode. He studied the fields of the $TM_{01}$ spherical mode with an even symmetry
about \( \theta = 0 \). Such fields are obtained from an \( r \)-directed magnetic vector potential \( A_r \).

This is equivalent to the fields of a short, linear electric current element. The quality factor can then be expressed as [65]:

\[
Q = \frac{2 \omega W}{P_{rad}} = \frac{1}{k^3 a^3} + \frac{1}{ka}
\]  

which is identical to that of Collin [62] and, as stated earlier, opposes the expression presented by Chu-Harrington. Interestingly, McLean identified the inconsistency by pointing out an algebraic mistake in their expression, and modifying it to [65]:

\[
Q = \frac{1 + 2 k^2 a^2}{k^3 a^3} + \frac{1}{ka(1 + k^2 a^2)}
\]

Intuitively, a lower \( Q \) value may be approachable, simply by combining two orthogonal \( TM_{0n} \) and \( TE_{0n} \) to produce circularly polarized fields. Harrington [61] confirmed that the lowest achievable radiation \( Q \) for a circularly polarized antenna is given by that corresponding to a combination of the \( TM_{01} \) and \( TE_{01} \) modes. Collin [62] and Fante [63] used some exact analyses to derive an expression for this \( Q \). In a much simpler manner, McLean derived it by using the electric vector potential in a dual way to deal with the \( TM_{01} \). To achieve circular polarization, the radiated power for each mode should be equal, making the total radiated power twice that of the \( TM_{01} \) mode acting alone. Hence, the radiation \( Q \) is given by [65]:

\[
Q_{cir} = \frac{2 \omega W}{P_r} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{k^3 a^3} + \frac{2}{ka} \right)
\]

It should be noted that the \( Q \) of a circularly polarized antenna is only approximately half that of a linearly polarized antenna. This is because the \( TE_{01} \) mode, while storing predominantly magnetic energy in the non-radiating fields, also stores some electric energy. The dual for the \( TM_{01} \) is true as well. Appendix I probes further to elaborate on McLean’s formulations and those of Harrington-Chu. It is noteworthy to mention that
Thal demonstrated $Q$ bounds for the combined $TM+TE$ fundamental modes excited by surface currents over a sphere, stating [76]:

$$Q = \frac{1}{(ka)^3}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.8)

In [66], [67] and [68] Dale and Craig Grimes re-formulated the $Q$ theory using time domain analysis. Their $Q$ for circularly polarized waves was then given by:

$$Q = \frac{\omega \cdot \max [W_e + W_m]}{P_{rad}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.9)

Computing the peak stored energy in the time domain, assuming that the antenna radiates only the lowest order mode, they came up with:

$$Q = \frac{1}{2k^3a^3} + \frac{1}{ka} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{4k^6a^6 + k^2a^2}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.10)

However, this expression was later pointed out by Collin to be erroneous [69].

In [70] and [71], Geyi noted that the lower bound found by Collin and Maclean might be elusive to achieve in practice, since it does not take into account the energy stored in the near field of the antenna (inside the sphere). Later, another research group [72]-[73] duplicated the results of Geyi by numerically evaluating the $Q$ using:

$$Q = \frac{\omega \cdot \max \{2W_{e, total}, 2W_{m, total}\} - W_{rad}}{P_{rad}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.11)

to produce the results in Fig. 2.2 [72].
In 2005, Wang [74]-[75] addressed the problem from a different perspective. His reasoning was that antennas used on a platform can be classified into two basic types: resonant antennas and traveling wave antennas. A resonant antenna is characterized by a source distribution that can only be matched to free space by a resonance mechanism, which is inherently narrowband. The traveling wave antenna is characterized by a source distribution in the form of a directional modal wave or current which radiates its energy while traveling along the antenna. An empirical theory on fundamental bandwidth limitation due to size constraints has been developed [75] for small antennas on a platform based on existing electromagnetic theory and experimental observation, and is summarized in Table 2.1. Note that the data is given in terms of $\lambda_L$, which denotes the wavelength at the lowest frequency of the band of interest. For a lossless narrowband resonant antenna on a platform, the Chu theory is applied with proper inclusion of the platform/transceiver. It should be noted that, for a conformable disk-shaped travelling wave antenna on a platform, [75] states that there is no theoretical fundamental limitation on its octave bandwidth. The reduced practical bandwidth limitation is largely due to the
antenna’s radiation property (pattern and polarization), not impedance. The practical impedance bandwidth of such an antenna can be much larger than 20.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antenna Type</th>
<th>Maximum Theoretical Octaval Bandwidth $B_o$</th>
<th>Maximum Practical Octaval Bandwidth $B_o$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Omnidirectional conformable TW antenna</strong></td>
<td>$B_o \to \infty$ if { antenna radius $&gt; \lambda_L/4$ } antenna height $&gt; \lambda_L/(4\pi)$</td>
<td>$&lt; 20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Directional conformable TW antenna</strong></td>
<td>$B_o \to \infty$ if { antenna radius $&gt; \lambda_L/(2\pi)$ } antenna height $&gt; \lambda_L/(16\pi)$</td>
<td>$&lt; 20$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Resonance antenna</strong></td>
<td>Chu theory without consideration of platform/transceiver</td>
<td>Chu theory applied to combined antenna and platform/transceiver</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2.1. Bandwidth Limits of Travelling Wave Antennas on a Portable Platform [75].

The work of Gustafsson applies forward scattering techniques to evaluate antenna $Q$. The technique has its own merits compared to previous work, particularly when addressing non-spherical volumes, but suffers from some critical limitations due to its inherent assumptions [79]-[80].

In listing some of the most notable chronological advancements in the history of the evaluation of antenna $Q$, One may conclude that the key for an efficient design methodology is to find the $Q$ definition which best facilitates the antenna design process. In addition, one has to find a useful translation between the antenna $Q$ and its bandwidth. This is why a review of some of the available relations is presented next.

### 2.1.2 Q-BW Relations

The relation between the bandwidth and $Q$ has a long history. In many cases, the relation is simply stated as [20], [23]:

$$Q = \frac{f_0}{BW} = \frac{1}{FBW} \quad (2.12)$$

This simple relation has triggered numerous discussions over the last century. Wheeler commented on this relation in [58], arguing that there are limitations on the frequency bandwidth of impedance matching between a resonant circuit (antenna) and a generator.
or load. The bandwidth of matching, within any specified tolerance of reflection, is proportionally to the resonance bandwidth of the resonant circuit. He stressed that such expression, as in (2.12), is neither logical nor helpful in clear exposition [58]. From a circuit point of view, there is a limit on the maximum achievable bandwidth for a simple resonant antenna (i.e. one which exhibits no other resonances in the vicinity of the operational band of interest), even if using an infinite number of loss-free matching elements. For a specific VSWR level $S$, this maximum achievable bandwidth was calculated by Fano, and is given by [77]

$$FBW_v(\omega_0) = \frac{1}{Q(\omega_0)} \frac{\pi}{\ln \left( \frac{S-1}{S+1} \right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.13)$$

On the other hand, Yaghjian and Best produced an approximate formulation for the relation between the antenna bandwidth and its $Q$ yielding [78]

$$FBW_v(\omega_0) = \frac{1}{Q(\omega_0)} \frac{S-1}{\sqrt{S}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (2.14)$$

Due to their importance to the proposed antenna design techniques, the previously listed expressions, along with limitations of other available expressions, are addressed in detail in Chapter 3.

### 2.1.3 Discussion

Having outlined some of the historical formulations for the antenna quality factor, a few conclusions can be drawn. First, the calculation of the energy stored using a sphere around the antenna may not be of the best practical application towards the design of many modern antennas. More accurate relations, at the expense of increased complexity, are found by choosing more confined geometry such as the spheroidal coordinates [81], [82], and [83]. However, such calculations remain highly theoretical, do not yield a closed-form expression, and thus have not yet proven to be of a significant practical usage.
Second, for antennas placed on portable platforms, the choice of a proper geometry to perform the $Q$ calculations remains quite an open question. It is well agreed, however, that such geometry should confine both the platform and the antenna (not the antenna only) for proper calculations of the stored energies.

Third, the $Q$-analysis presented so far is applicable only to high-$Q$ narrowband resonant antennas. In all of the aforementioned calculations, a single mode is assumed to radiate, or at best, two orthogonal ones. This implies isolated modes, which in turn implies narrower bandwidths and thus higher $Q$ values. To be specific, the outlined analysis is usually sufficient only if $Q$ is larger than 10. Otherwise, inaccurate conclusions might be deduced.

Fourth, the problem is typically formulated as an antenna with an external matching network and with a single-feed connection to the driving circuitry. In general, this is adequate for many simple antenna designs. On the other hand, with the need for more compact wireless systems, multi-fed/multi-coupled antennas are considered to be one possible efficient solution. This means that further analysis is needed in order to correctly predict the required volume of these antennas.

Apart from the abstract theoretical calculations and their various justifications, systematically designing an antenna, or multiple antennas, that can efficiently operate under a strict set of specifications and requirements has yet to be accomplished. This is why the concept of realizing systematically an efficient system of antennas will be the primary interest of this work. It is hoped that presenting some efficient design techniques will make antenna design for future systems a well-defined process. A by-product is to shed light onto the practically needed volumes, which could subsequently lead to the development of realistic theoretical limitations.
2.2 Antenna Design Approaches

Interestingly, the calculation of antenna $Q$ and its corresponding bandwidth for a given volume is merely an initial step in the design cycle of antennas. Finding an appropriate configuration to realize the antenna is a major challenge. In addition to locations of possible dielectric coverings, an antenna configuration typically compromises a unique metallization shape (and/or a dielectric one) as well as an optimal feeding location. There are numerous articles and books on various antenna realizations. In fact, the number of published papers on antenna designs have been consistently increasing over the past few years, with more than 1,000 papers published annually just on antenna designs for portable devices.

Apart from the common brute force of on-the-bench trials or blind numerical optimization techniques, two methods stand out among several techniques for realizing an antenna. The first employs the theory of characteristic modes [86], while the other, which is more popular for its simplicity, relies on cavity modal solutions [87]. Both of these methods are briefly reviewed here. This should help in identifying their limitations and thus define an alternate direction for the proposed research.

2.2.1 Theory of Characteristic Modes

The theory of antenna characteristic modes can be traced back to Garbacz's modal expansion work in the 1960s [84]-[85]. His work was refined by Harrington and Mautz's [86] formulation to characterize the electromagnetic behavior of conducting bodies. The theory was later used to perform a systematic design of different types of antennas [89], [90], [109], and [110]. In its most basic definition, characteristic modes are real current modes that can be computed numerically for perfectly conducting bodies of arbitrary shape. Since these modes form a set of orthogonal functions, they can be used to expand the total current on the surface of the body. This means that they bring some physical insight into the radiating phenomena taking place in the antenna. The resonance frequency of modes, as well as their radiating behavior, can be determined from the information provided by the eigenvalues associated with the characteristic modes. In
addition, by studying the current distribution of the modes, a suitable feeding arrangement can be found in order to obtain the desired radiating behavior.

In spite of being of a great theoretical appeal, the theory of characteristic modes has some practical limitations. In particular, encompassing dielectric materials significantly complicate the calculations. Another important limitation is its increased inaccuracy with problems involving lossy structures/low $Q$ calculations. This is merely due to the inherent mathematical foundations of the theory (see Appendix II for details). The addition of loss simply complicates the nature of the characteristic functions (i.e., real currents on an antenna), possibly resulting in the loss of orthogonality relations for the associated far fields (theoretically, a choice may be made to keep such orthogonality relations by allowing the currents to exist in the complex domain). In short, the theory of characteristic modes has a set of incorporated approximations that facilitate developing some simple expressions for the resonance frequency and the $Q$ factor. These assumptions are valid only if the antenna is loss-free with narrow bandwidth, i.e., for high $Q$ narrow-band simple resonant antennas. Modifying the theory to become more suitable for a larger set of antenna problems is an ongoing research focus of many academic and R&D groups.

2.2.2 Modal Cavity-based Analysis

If the antenna takes the form of a conductive plate of an arbitrary shape, parallel to another conductive plane at a distance which is much smaller than the free space wavelength, then one very popular method used to study the resonance frequency, radiation resistance, and bandwidth of such antennas is the cavity-based model [87] (a theory that can also be applied to dielectric resonator antennas). This method, in its most basic form, considers the antenna as a cavity bounded on its perimeter by magnetic walls. The quality factor, resonance frequency, and the radiation resistance can be computed from the approximate field evaluations (see Appendix III for details).

Although the method is quite limited, with several approximations, its simplicity made it quite popular [13]-[16]. It is typically the starting point of many designs and serves as a
good initial guess for the resonance frequency and radiation pattern in many antenna optimization problems. It should be emphasized, however, that this method is quite limited in its basic form beyond predicting the approximate resonance frequency of an antenna under study. Several attempts were taken to enhance its performance ([13]-[16], and references therein), but its theoretical/practical application is still quite limited. A quick survey among recent academic works indicates that there is little ongoing research to make the modal cavity-based analysis more useful.

2.2.3 Design Through Parametric Analysis and/or Optimization

So far, the available approaches for finding the volume needed to meet a required bandwidth specification were brief. It was emphasized that these approaches are highly theoretical and in many cases merely serve as a bound for design dimensions. In addition, some of the most successful theoretical approaches that should help in realizing an antenna configuration were discussed. Nevertheless, these methods are not valid for all design scenarios and have several limitations due to a number of inherent approximations.

Practically speaking, in most system designs, the antenna is limited to some given footprint, which is typically dictated by cosmetic, mechanical, and compact packaging considerations. The designer is then required to realize an antenna in the given space. For example, in the smartphone industry, a basic cell-phone should cover as many communication bands as possible. These include GSM850 (824-894MHz), GSM900 (880-960MHz), GSM 1800/DCS (1710-1880MHz), GSM 1900/PCS (1850-1990MHz), UMTS (1920-2170MHz), GPS (1565-1585MHz), WiFi/Bluetooth (2400-2490MHz), WLAN (2400-2500MHz, 5100-5900MHz), and the new TV bands (DVB-H Europe 470-700MHz, US 1670-1675MHz, CMMB China 470-800 MHz). Each of these bands operates among a range of frequencies as listed, with specific voltage standing wave (VSWR) levels that typically vary, depending on the radio standard, from VSWR<2 to VSWR<6. Thus, the antenna designer has to come up with a realization that satisfies all of the included band requirements in the given volume. It should be emphasized that this
volume is typically dictated by non-electromagnetic factors, i.e., the cosmetic design of the portable device, with very little that can be done from the antenna perspective.

Fig. 2.3 shows a pictorial of the typical volume given in a cell phone and some realizations to cover two of the GSM bands.

From this, the question arises as to how to come up with an antenna configuration in a given volume that meets the stated requirements. One simple answer is to try to find an appropriate structure that exhibits resonance in the required bands. For example, the cavity model method should serve in rapidly reaching some initial design. However, in many cases, the antenna volume available is too small to easily – if ever – exhibit resonances with the required bandwidth. In some of these scenarios, one may utilize the antenna to couple to the platform of the portable device in order to excite board resonances, which would normally result in a design that meets the bandwidth specifications. Fig. 2.4 shows the currents in a situation where the antenna is used to excite the board resonance for operation in the GSM800. The antenna itself resonates at 1900 to cover the higher GSM bands. Nevertheless, in many other cases, one has to find a way to widen the bandwidth of an antenna resonance, without relying too much on the platform board, in order to meet the specifications.
It should be noted that getting the antenna to resonate in the middle of a specified band is not enough to get a working design that meets some impedance bandwidth requirements. This is because the resonance has to have a suitable quality factor to allow for covering a desired bandwidth. It is true that one may utilize matching networks to enhance the impedance bandwidth, but these also have limits on the achievable bandwidth [77]. In all cases, one needs to find an appropriate feeding location to alleviate the need for a matching network or at least minimize the number of required matching elements. Both of these issues (resonance with enough radiation bandwidth and optimum feeding locations) are not trivial problems and remain ambiguous for many. It is thus one purpose of this work to propose a systematic methodology for identifying each of them.

A close look at the available literature of antenna designs for portable devices reveals more about the complexity of the problem [17]. Even with advanced computer resources, most designs require a considerable amount of time to finalize. Fig. 2.5 shows some realistic antenna shapes for dual/quad band antenna designs. For some bands, the design is not that complex. For example, a Bluetooth antenna, shown last in Fig. 2.5, is a simple strip on the board edge, which is relatively much easier to integrate in a cell board than with a quad band GSM/UMTS antenna.
Fig. 2.5. Some smartphone antennas.
These complex antenna shapes (Fig. 2.5) typically require invoking some sort of an optimization routine. Many of the routines are available integrated with several commercial solvers [32]-[36]. However, reaching a working design, even with few parameters, could be quite challenging. As an example, let us consider the design of a global positioning system (GPS) patch antenna. This antenna should meet the constraint \( \text{VSWR} \leq 2 \) over the bandwidth \( 1575 \pm 20 \text{ MHz} \). In addition, the antenna has to be of circular polarization over this band (further details about this problem are found in Chapter 6). Fig. 2.6 illustrates a layout for the patch antenna. The design has four variables, the patch length, width, and the feed location among the two axes. For simplicity, let us assume that the patch is to be designed on rigid foam material with a thickness of 10.0 mm. Three different commercial optimization routines were used to attempt the design of such an antenna: Sequential non-linear, quasi-Newton, and Pattern Search. All three are standard tools of several commercial electromagnetic softwares. A min-max cost function was defined for the given specifications. This cost function should be below zero if all requirements are met. The EM solvers were run, along with the optimization packages, and the results are shown in Fig. 2.7.

It is clear that, even after 35 full wave simulations, none of the tools was capable of proposing a suitable design. In fact, the sequential non-linear was the first to come up with a working design, but only after 90 full wave simulations. One should mention that other optimizers, such as genetic algorithms or particle swarms, would provide a solution, but these would typically involve more than 200-300 full wave simulations before an acceptable design is found. This simple example shows how costly it can be to generate a simple design from running optimizations on EM simulations.
In addition to possible excessive optimization times, it is possible that the designer may try optimizing a structure that could never physically meet the required specifications. For example, Fig. 2.8 shows a simple cell phone quad antenna [100] for the GSM low and high bands. The antenna metallization is constrained to be on the cell board, with a height of 6mm. By doing so, no matter how the antenna metallization is shaped, a narrow bandwidth will always be the best that could be achieved. Interestingly, by carefully studying the field distribution and the characteristics of the problem, one would notice that a simple strip cut in the ground plane could
easily tune the performance to provide a design that meets the requirements (Fig. 2.9). This example should emphasize the importance of identifying some sort of field measure to assess the potential of meeting the required bandwidth before blindly invoking expensive optimization routines. Chapter 6 will address these issues in detail.

Fig. 2.8. A cell phone antenna versus a tuned one with a slot in the PCB [100].

Fig. 2.9. Performance of an optimized cell phone antenna versus one with a slot in the PCB.
2.3 Relevant Concepts for Antenna Realization

Antenna design keeps growing with the increase in demand for wireless and sensor devices for security, health, and entertainment systems. Due to extreme variations in their form factors, along with various operational environments, the antenna design process is usually quite challenging. Often, the initial allocated volume for the antenna would never allow for full or even acceptable functionality. In the following sections, we discuss a number of the most relevant antenna technologies.

2.3.1 Fractal Antennas

Due to the many challenges with finding a design that meets some specifications over small space, several researchers focused on designs based on the mathematical concept of fractals in an attempt to generate responses with many close resonances [101]-[109]. These, in principle, should be able to cover many bands. So far, these designs offer limited advantages, if any, in comparison with traditional antenna designs [GS.1]. However, they might be quite useful if a tunable element is integrated therein. An example of a fractal Sierpinski gasket [101] is shown in Fig. 2.10, along with its response. Adding a tunable element to this antenna has the potential of creating wide-band coverage. However, since such designs do not appear to present the best possible practical solution, they will not be considered in this thesis document.
2.3.2 Tunable Antennas

Another possible technique in antenna design is to employ some tunable elements in its configuration [111]-[115]. In principle, this idea has several benefits. First, it should relax the need for meeting a wide bandwidth, as the antenna just needs to cover a small bandwidth at a time. This is due to the fact that the tunable element serves in shifting the small bandwidth along the large bandwidth it should cover. Second, tunable behavior should decrease problems associated with post-fabrication tolerances and thus be able to vary its response when needed. Third, for systems with time-varying environments (like a cell phone used in hand, in pocket, or on desk), the antenna would typically “see” different boundaries, resulting in strong response variations. Incorporation of a tunable element would possibly alleviate the need for a complex design that can handle these variations. Fig. 2.11 shows an antenna with multiple switches and its response when different combinations of the switches are active [115]. Removing all but one of the
switches and replacing it with a tunable capacitor would result in the response shown in Fig. 2.12.

Fig. 2.11. Switching to achieve tunability [115].

On the practical side, most recent industrial designs try to integrate the tunable elements solely in the matching network, with few cases also considering the addition to the antenna (see Fig. 2.13). The realization of tunable inductors is still in its infancy, while tunable capacitors have gone a long way. The top three adopted technologies are based on: CMOS (as those available from Peregrine), MEMS/MEMS-on-CMOS (as those
available from Cavendish Kinetics, WiSpry, EPCOS, DelfMEMS, Radant MEMS, and Omron), and BaSrTiO3 (as those available from AgileRF and Paratek, which became part of Blackberry). Each has its own wide deployment challenges, with the former being the most successful to date because of its mass production versatility and intermodulation measures.

Fig. 2.13. Tunable elements on the antenna and at the RF input.

Most of the published work in this area so far has discussed tunability achievements when using the tunable elements with various antenna designs. Very little work has been published on the optimum location to place the tunable element (on the antenna or by the matching network) to realize the best possible system performance. As seen in Fig. 2.12, changing the capacitance resulted in tuning the center frequency but degraded the return loss. The concept of brute-forcing multiple tunable elements on the antenna and at the RF input could prove costly in terms of the overall system performance, particularly if using a closed-loop system solution. This is in addition to the associated EMI/EMC challenges besides adding to fabrication issues and overall cost. It is thus desirable to study the conditions that would lead to the development of a design that supports tunability and
maintains a satisfactory system performance over the specific bands of interest. It is hoped that the work presented in this thesis will pave the way for such studies.

### 2.3.3 MIMO Antennas

In recent years, diversity, and multiple input multiple output (MIMO) antennas have gained significant attention [116]-[119]. Simply by adding more antennas on a platform, more functionality is possible. However, caution has to be exercised over the level of coupling between these antennas and its impact on the overall performance. In most cases, it is desirable to keep the coupling low, since highly coupled antennas could mean a higher transfer of energy between the antennas and thus less energy exchange with the outer world. This would result in reduced system efficiency (note that, in select practical systems, one may have to accept a higher level of coupling for overall better system capacity). Decreasing such coupling was subject to extensive research for many years. Metamaterials, electromagnetic band gaps (EBGs), and synthetic absorbers are among some techniques attempted [120]-[121]. In 2006, the work in [122] suggested connecting the antennas to minimize the coupling. This counter-intuitive (to many) idea was highly applauded by the antenna community, to the extent that it received the IEEE 2007 Wheeler prize for best Transactions paper on antenna applications. However, the choice of the shape of the connecting link remained ambiguous and required a large number of optimization cycles in order to find the correct combination to suppress coupling.
In [GS.9], a mathematical description of the properties needed by such a connection line was proposed. The method resulted in a significant reduction in simulation/optimization attempts. It simply provided a map that the designer can generate to assess the best possible reduction in coupling between two antennas in a given location. If the reduction is satisfactory, the formulation helps the designer systematically synthesize a network/line to connect the antennas and achieve the required reduction in coupling. Interestingly, this work was awarded a prize the IEEE 2008 Antennas and Propagation Symposium. Fig. 2.15 shows an example of two WiFi antennas on a smartphone board. The return loss and coupling are shown in Fig. 2.16.
The same concept proposed in [GS.9] was utilized to advance the design technique for multi-fed diversity antennas [GS.3]. Fig. 2.17 shows one of the proposed designs and the resulting reduced coupling between the ports.
One should note, however, that solely observing the scattering parameters is not enough to ensure a proper MIMO design [95]. It is crucial to observe the realized modes (far field pattern) and typical channel conditions to optimize a design at hand. Appendix IV presents a summary of the proposed method and its application in de-coupling 4-patch antenna arrays. Future work is certainly needed to efficiently encapsulate the proposed technique in the design of compact arrays.

2.3.4 Antennas for Medical Applications

With increased demands placed on advanced medical devices, there is a growing need for wireless communication links that allow for connection between and among various implants and instruments/sensors attached to the human body. The first generation of medical wireless devices relied on establishing an inductive link between the implant and an external coil at a low carrier frequency [123]. To enable greater functionality, wider range, and higher speeds, it is necessary to migrate to higher frequencies and larger bandwidths. However, such increases would typically complicate the antenna design and the link budget. To successfully realize a working design, the antenna has to be designed taking into consideration the details of its location in and around the human body. For example, Fig. 2.18 shows a hearing aid device with an integrated antenna allowing for communication across the head, connecting the two ears wirelessly. This system was proposed in the context of this research [GS.4]-[GS.7]. Using a simple antenna design at 400MHz, one would not be able to have enough signal power transmitted across the head. However, by careful design of the antenna, it is possible to launch the signal around the head, similar to terrestrial-guided communications around Earth, and thus it was possible to realize an efficient communication link.
2.4 Discussion and Conclusions

In this chapter, some of the key challenges in the antenna design problem were highlighted, particularly those associated with antennas integrated in portable devices. The concept of the quality factor of antennas was reviewed through presenting some of the most notable formulations and their interpretations. The key for a useful quality factor definition is its applicability in defining the operational bandwidth for the antenna. With so many existing expressions, the problem is worth further study and will be re-visited in the next chapter.

Antenna synthesis and realization is a vast area of research. Notable techniques in this area were presented and their most important shortcomings outlined. Whether the theory of characteristic modes, the cavity modal method, or design through optimization, it is clear that significant research is needed to advance antenna design methodologies for handheld/body-centric devices. This will be the primary task in this work.

One cannot mention antenna design or synthesis without noting some of the practical advancements that try to overcome the current design limitations. Among these are the concepts of fractal antennas and tunable ones. The latter may present a highly appraisable solution in many antenna designs. However, to date, published work on tunable-enabled antennas mainly reported feasibility data without groundbreaking studies on where to optimally place the tunable components or how they will ultimately affect antenna

Fig. 2.18. A hearing aid device and its model for EM simulations.
performance (non-linearity and passive intermodulation, total radiated power, total isotropic sensitivity, etc.).

Diversity and MIMO communications represent a viable solution for the increased demand on higher data rates and wider network coverage. Since this research work primarily focuses on general antenna design, it was necessary to demonstrate the applicability of some of the proposed techniques in realizing diversity and MIMO antennas. A simplified theory for de-coupling of antennas was first introduced, followed by its application in designing some antenna systems.

Furthermore, the proposed techniques were also demonstrated applicable for bio-electromagnetic applications, where the modal theory was used to develop a working solution. In comparison to the proposed hearing aid wireless communication system, conventional design techniques could never realize a working solution. However, through appropriate studies of the electromagnetic field distributions, it was possible to use the head in a manner similar to terrestrial communications, i.e., to guide the electromagnetic wave around the head. This allowed establishing a very good signal level across the link and thus enabled the assembly of a working device.

Having outlined some of the key limitations with the available theories and techniques for antenna design, and having demonstrated some of the complex antenna designs developed with the techniques proposed in this work, it is now time to probe further into the underlying details of this thesis.
In this chapter, some of the well-established circuit-based notions are re-visited (particularly around the concept of quality factor), seeking their adaptation for utilization in antenna design. The circuit/filter society is known for its detailed mathematical models that guide the filter design process. Several books assemble information from numerous papers, technical reports, and hands-on knowledge, reflecting on well-defined design routines for many filtering functions [19], [20], [24], and [124]-[127]. On the other hand, the antenna design for portable devices seems less mature compared to the filter one. Perhaps one of the main reasons is the wider possibility for antenna shape compared to filter ones. However, another important reason is the fact that, in most analytical models for filters, loss is initially not taken into account. The analysis is then modified to account for a typically low loss level; otherwise, the filter will not adequately transfer/block the energy. On the contrary, it is desirable to have high radiation (loss) in antennas. This has mostly limited the adaptation of many filter design techniques to the antenna world.

In this chapter, the adaptation is addressed through revisiting some of the basic relations used in the filter community and trying to identify how they can be adapted for use in antenna analysis and design.

3.1 Simple Resonant Network

Let us start with an antenna that possesses simple resonant behavior. This resonant structure can be modeled either as a series resonant circuit or a parallel one, depending on the nature of its resonance mechanism. The antenna itself need not to be naturally
resonant, but may be brought to resonance through the addition of a simple reactive element.

Several researchers adopted this concept, as seen in [1], [60], and [130]. In order to understand their analysis, we review the behavior of a simple series resonator. Such a resonator has an input impedance of:

\[
Z_{in} = R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}
\]  

and the complex power delivered to the resonator is:

\[
P_{in} = \frac{1}{2} V I^* = \frac{1}{2} Z_{in} |I|^2 = \frac{1}{2} Z_{in} \frac{V^2}{Z_{in}^2}
\]  

\[
= \frac{1}{2} |I|^2 \left( R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C} \right)
\]

The power dissipated by the resistor is:

\[
P_{loss} = \frac{1}{2} R |I|^2
\]  

with the average magnetic energy stored in the inductor, \( L \), being:

\[
W_m = \frac{1}{4} |I|^2 L
\]  

and the average magnetic energy stored in the capacitor, \( C \), being:

\[
W_c = \frac{1}{4} |V_c|^2 C = \frac{1}{4} |I|^2 \frac{1}{j\omega^2 C}
\]

where \( V_c \) is the average voltage across the capacitor. The complex power can then be written as:

\[
P_{in} = P_{loss} + j2\omega (W_m - W_c)
\]
Hence, the input impedance can be re-written as:

\[ Z_{in} = \frac{2P_{in}}{|I|^2} = \frac{P_{loss} + j2\omega(W_m - W_e)}{\frac{1}{2}|I|^2} \]  

(3.7)

Physically, resonance occurs when the average stored magnetic energy and electric energies are equal [1]. Thus, the input impedance at resonance is:

\[ Z_{in} = \frac{2P_{loss}}{\frac{1}{2}|I|^2} = R \]  

(3.8)

and the resonance frequency is given from the equal energies condition, given by:

\[ W_e = W_m \]  

(3.9)

yielding

\[ \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}} \]  

(3.10)

The quality factor of the circuit is defined by [60] as:

\[ Q = \omega \frac{\text{Average Stored Energy}}{\text{Energy Loss/Second}} \]

\[ = \omega \frac{W_e + W_m}{P_i} \]  

(3.11)

Thus, \( Q \) is a measure of the loss of a resonant circuit. At resonance, \( Q \) becomes:

\[ Q = \omega_0 \frac{2W_e}{P_{loss}} = \omega_0 \frac{2W_m}{P_{loss}} = \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} = \frac{1}{\omega_0 RC} \]  

(3.12)

Now, if we consider the behavior of the input impedance near resonance, and let

\[ \omega = \omega_0 + \Delta\omega \]  

(3.13)
the input impedance can then be written as [20]:

\[ Z_{in} = R + j\omega L \left( \frac{\omega^2 - \omega_0^2}{\omega^2} \right) \]  

(3.14)

A key step in the manipulation is writing:

\[ \omega^2 - \omega_0^2 = (\omega - \omega_0)(\omega + \omega_0) = (\Delta\omega)(2\omega - \Delta\omega) \]  

(3.15)

which, for small \(\Delta\omega\), is approximated to give:

\[ \omega^2 - \omega_0^2 \approx 2\omega\Delta\omega \]  

(3.16)

Hence, we have:

\[ Z_{in} \approx R + j\frac{2R\omega_0\Delta\omega}{\omega^2} \]  

(3.17)

This result is also achievable if we use the complex effective resonant frequency in the analysis of a lossless structure, such that:

\[ \omega_0 \rightarrow \omega_0 \left( 1 + \frac{j}{2Q} \right) \]  

(3.18)

resulting in:

\[ Z_{in} = j2L(\omega - \omega_0) \rightarrow j2L \left( \omega - \omega_0 - j\frac{\omega_0}{2Q} \right) \]  

(3.19)

\[ = \frac{\omega_0 L}{Q} + j2L\Delta\omega \]

If we observe the behavior of the input impedance, one can see that at the frequency where \( |Z_{in}|^2 = 2R^2 \), the average real power delivered to the circuit is half that delivered at resonance. Thus, we can write:
\[ R + jRQ \frac{2\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} = 2R \]

Hence,

\[ Q \frac{2\Delta\omega}{\omega_0} = 1 \]

Recalling that \( \Delta\omega = \omega - \omega_0 \) and re-writing it in terms of the band edge frequencies \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \),

\[ BW = 2\Delta\omega = \omega_2 - \omega_1 \]

which results in a fractional bandwidth expression in terms of the half-power \( Q \), such that:

\[ \frac{BW}{\omega_0} = FBW = \frac{1}{Q} \]

An identical expression is achievable for the fractional bandwidth of a parallel resonant circuit, with \( Q \) defined as:

\[ Q = \omega_0RC = \frac{R}{\omega_0L} \]

It is clear from the previous analysis that its accuracy depends on the approximation of \( \omega^2 - \omega_0^2 \cong 2\omega\Delta\omega \). In addition, the whole concept of bandwidth defined earlier relies on relating the frequencies where the average real power delivered to the circuit/antenna is half that delivered at the resonance frequency. This is not the only possible definition for bandwidth, as discussed next.
3.2 The General Notion of Impedance Bandwidth

Let us start again from the simple series RLC circuit. The input impedance can be written as:

\[
Z_\omega(\omega) = R + jX(\omega) = R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}
\]  

(3.25)

Let us for now define the points \(\omega_1\) and \(\omega_2\), at which the relation between the reactance \(X(\omega)\) and the resistance \(R\) can be written as [125]:

\[
|X(\omega_{1,2})| = \frac{R}{n} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]  

(3.26)

with

\[
\omega_1 < \omega_0 < \omega_2
\]  

(3.27)

and the resonance radian frequency, \(\omega_0\), where \(X(\omega_0) = 0\), is given by:

\[
\omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}
\]  

(3.28)

Thus, we can write:

\[
X(\omega_n) = \frac{1}{\omega_1 C} - \omega_1 L = \frac{R}{n} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]  

(3.29)

which can be written as:

\[
\omega_1 = \omega_0 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{\left(\frac{\omega_0 L n}{R}\right)^2}} - \frac{1}{2 \left(\frac{\omega_0 L n}{R}\right)} \right] \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots
\]  

(3.30)

Also, above resonance, we can write:
$$X(\omega_2) = \omega_2 L - \frac{1}{\omega_2 C} = \frac{R}{n} \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$  (3.31)

That is:

$$\omega_n = \omega_0 \left[ \sqrt{1 + \frac{1}{4 \left( \omega_0 L \frac{n}{R} \right)^2}} + \frac{1}{2 \left( \omega_0 L \frac{n}{R} \right)} \right] \quad n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$$  (3.32)

From the equations of $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$, we have:

$$\omega_2 - \omega_1 = \omega_0 \frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{n}{R}}}$$  (3.33)

and

$$\omega_1 \omega_2 = \omega_0^2$$  (3.34)

At this point, one may think about the physical significance of $n$ and the term $\omega_0 L \frac{n}{R}$.

### 3.2.1 First Definition

Now, if we define $\omega_1$ and $\omega_2$ as the frequencies where the power dissipated in the circuit is half the amount dissipated at the resonance frequency (similar to the previous section), then these points also represent the frequencies where the current has fallen into $1/\sqrt{2}$ of its maximum value at resonance $I_{\omega_0}$. That is:

$$P(\omega_{1,2}) = \frac{1}{2} P(\omega_0) = \frac{1}{2} \left| I_{\omega_{1,2}} \right|^2 R = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{2} \left| I_{\omega_0} \right|^2 R \right)$$  (3.35)

and
\begin{equation}
|I_{\omega_{1,2}}| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} |I_{\omega_{0}}| \tag{3.36}
\end{equation}

On the other hand, one can write:

\begin{align*}
|I_{\omega_{1,2}}| &= \frac{V}{Z(\omega_{1,2})} \\
&= \frac{|I_{\omega_{0}}|}{\sqrt{1 + \left(\frac{1}{n^2}\right)}} \tag{3.37}
\end{align*}

Comparing the last two expressions, one can note that we need \( n=1 \) to have \( P(\omega_{1,2}) = \frac{1}{2} P(\omega_{0}) \). That is to say, the bandwidth, defined as the frequency range where the power dissipated in the circuit is half the amount dissipated at the resonance frequency, is given by:

\begin{equation}
BW = \omega_2 - \omega_1 = \omega_0 \left(\frac{1}{\omega_0 L} \frac{\omega_0 L}{R}\right) \tag{3.38}
\end{equation}

The physical significance of the term \( \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} \) will be discussed later in detail. However, it is noteworthy to mention here that, when it comes to \( Q \) calculations [20], this bandwidth definition is the most adopted definition in circuit and filter theories.

### 3.2.2 Alternate Definition

Now, if we define \( \omega_1 \) and \( \omega_2 \) as the frequencies where the power absorbed by the network is half of the incident on it, we then need to evaluate the corresponding value for \( n \). This can be done by studying the total voltage incident at the terminals, which can be written as [20]:

\begin{equation}
V = V^+_0 + V^-_0 \tag{3.39}
\end{equation}
and the total current is given as:

\[ I = \frac{V_0^+ - V_0^-}{Z_0} \]  

(3.40)

At the terminals, we have:

\[ Z_L = \frac{V}{I} = Z_0 \frac{V_0^+ + V_0^-}{V_0^+ - V_0^-} \]  

(3.41)

The ratio between the incident voltage and reflected one can be thus written as:

\[ \Gamma = \frac{V_0^-}{V_0^+} = \frac{Z_L - Z_0}{Z_L + Z_0} \]  

(3.42)

Hence, the total power incident on the network can be written as:

\[ P_{inc} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\{V_0^+ I_0^{+*}\} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{V_0^+}{Z_0} \right|^2 \]  

(3.43)

and the total power delivered to the network is:

\[ P_{del} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Re}\{VI^*\} = \frac{1}{2} \left| \frac{V_0^+}{Z_0} \right|^2 \left(1 - |\Gamma|^2\right) \]  

(3.44)

Thus, if the reflection coefficient is such that the delivered power is half that of the incident, then we can write:

\[ |\Gamma(\omega)| = \left| \frac{Z_L(\omega) - Z_0}{Z_L(\omega) + Z_0} \right| = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \]  

(3.45)

The above analysis is valid if the source impedance is matched to the impedance at the terminals. Thus, we can write:
which means that \( n \) should be equal to 1/2 in order to have the power absorbed by the network at \( \omega_{i,2} \) equal to half of the power incident on it. That is to say that the bandwidth, defined as the frequency range where the power absorbed by the network is half of that incident on it, is given by:

\[
BW = \omega_2 - \omega_1 = \frac{2}{\omega_0} \left( \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} \right)
\]  

(3.47)

In most cases, specifications on the antenna impedance bandwidth are given in terms of the level of the absorbed power relative to the amount of power incident on the antenna terminals, and not relative to the power absorbed at a specific frequency. Hence, in contrast to the most adopted definition in circuit theory for \( Q \) calculations [20], this definition is considered a representative one for antennas (if the antenna can be adequately modeled by an RLC circuit) since the value of the factor “\( n \)” can be easily changed depending on the desired level of absorbed power. The exact relation between such bandwidth to the \( Q \) is discussed next.

### 3.2.3 The Concept of Quality Factor

Having discussed two possible impedance bandwidth definitions, let us study their relation to the term \( Q \). The quality factor \( Q \) is traditionally defined as the ratio between the stored energy in the system to the rate of energy loss [60]. Thus, one can write:
\begin{align*}
Q &= \frac{\text{Stored Energy}}{\text{Energy Loss/Second}} \\
&= \frac{W_e + W_m}{P_l}
\end{align*}

where \( \omega_0 \) denotes the radian frequency of interest, \( W_e \) denotes the stored electric energy, \( W_m \) denotes the stored magnetic energy, and \( P_l \) denotes the power loss. For a simple series RLC circuit, and in view of the definition of the quality factor, one can write at resonance:

\begin{align*}
Q_{\text{Total}} &= \frac{W_e + W_m}{P_l} = \frac{2W_m}{P_{\text{loss}}} = \frac{\omega_0}{2} \left( \frac{1}{4} |I|^2 \frac{L}{R} \right) = \frac{\omega_0 L}{R}
\end{align*}

One could also define the quality factor of a single circuit element from its stored energy as:

\begin{align*}
Q_{L,C}^{\text{Single}} &= \frac{W_{m,e}}{P_l}
\end{align*}

For example, in a circuit, where we have a network of series RC and an inductor of infinite quality factor used to bring the circuit to resonance, one may write the quality factor of the capacitor only as:

\begin{align*}
Q_C^{\text{Single}} &= \frac{W_e}{P_l} = \frac{W_m}{P_l} = \omega_0 \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{|I|^2 \frac{L}{R}}{2} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\omega_0 CR}
\end{align*}

These definitions will reflect on the subsequent relations, as shown later. Now if we look at the impedance seen at the input (load) terminals, we have:

\begin{align*}
Z_{in} &= R + jX_{tot} = R + jX_L - jX_C = R + j\omega L + \frac{1}{j\omega C}
\end{align*}
The derivative of the reactive part at the frequency of interest (resonance frequency) can be written as:

\[
\frac{d}{d\omega} X_{\text{tot}} \bigg|_{\omega_0} = \frac{d}{d\omega} X_L \bigg|_{\omega_0} - \frac{d}{d\omega} X_C \bigg|_{\omega_0} = L + \frac{1}{\omega_0^2 C} = 2L \quad (3.53)
\]

Comparing the last expression with the one for the total $Q$, one can re-write the circuit total quality factor as:

\[
Q_{\text{Total}} = \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} = \frac{\omega_0}{2R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X_{\text{tot}} \bigg|_{\omega_0} \right) \quad (3.54)
\]

or

\[
Q_{\text{Total}} = \frac{\omega_0}{R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X_L \bigg|_{\omega_0} \right) = \frac{\omega_0}{R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X_C \bigg|_{\omega_0} \right) = \frac{\omega_0}{R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X_{L,C} \bigg|_{\omega_0} \right) \quad (3.55)
\]

Also, if we are interested only in the energy stored in one of the two elements, assuming that the other element is ideal (of no associated losses), one can write:

\[
Q_{\text{Single}}^{L,C} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} = \frac{\omega_0}{4R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X_{\text{tot}} \bigg|_{\omega_0} \right) \quad (3.56)
\]

or

\[
Q_{L,C}^{\text{Single}} = \frac{\omega_0}{2R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X_L \bigg|_{\omega_0} \right) = \frac{\omega_0}{2R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X_C \bigg|_{\omega_0} \right) = \frac{\omega_0}{2R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X_{L,C} \bigg|_{\omega_0} \right) \quad (3.57)
\]

These various $Q$ expressions should always produce the same bandwidth information about a network under study. However, in most antenna-related problems, the designer has immediate knowledge of the antenna behavior at its terminals, i.e., $R_{\text{tot}}$ and $X_{\text{tot}}$, whether through numerical simulations or measurements. Deriving the antenna circuit model $X_L$ or $X_C$ usually requires an extra calculation step. Hence, the expression in
(3.54) is considered the most appropriate one for a general antenna problem operating at its fundamental resonance or below.

### 3.3 The Quality Factor of a General Simple Network

So far, the basic bandwidth-$Q$ relations for simple resonant antennas, adequately modeled with an $RLC$ circuit, were discussed. In many situations, the antenna can be modeled as a simple series $RL$ or parallel $RC$ network. Adding a series $C$ for the former, or a parallel $L$ for the later should bring the antenna to resonance, which can be analyzed using the aforementioned relations. However, it is also possible to use other combinations to tune the antenna. Such possibilities were addressed in [78]. Interestingly, the formulations in [78] are currently widely utilized in the antenna community. So, it is important to understand their underlying derivations and the associated approximations.

Let us consider a general network composed of electromagnetically linear materials and fed by a transmission line, or a “feed line” that carries just one propagating mode at the time-harmonic frequency $e^{j\omega t}$ [78]. Note that this feed line is assumed to be composed of perfect conductors separated by a linear, homogeneous, isotropic medium. The propagating mode in the feed line can be characterized at a reference plane $S_0$ (which separates the resonator from its supply) by a complex voltage $V(\omega)$, complex current $I(\omega)$, and complex input impedance $Z(\omega)$, defined as:

$$Z(\omega) = R(\omega) + jX(\omega) = \frac{V(\omega)}{I(\omega)} = Z_0 \frac{a(\omega) + b(\omega)}{a(\omega) - b(\omega)}$$

(3.58)

The reflection coefficient, $\Gamma$, of the antenna may be defined as:

$$\Gamma(\omega) = S_{rt}(\omega) = \frac{Z(\omega) - Z_c}{Z(\omega) + Z_c}$$

(3.59)
with \( Z_c \) as the characteristic impedance of the line. Assuming the network is tuned at a frequency \( \omega_0 \) with a series reactance \( X_s(\omega) \) comprised of either a positive series inductance \( L_s \) or a positive series capacitance \( C_s \), where \( L_s \) and \( C_s \) are independent of frequency, this makes the total reactance

\[
X_t(\omega) = X(\omega) + X_s(\omega)
\]

(3.60)

with

\[
X_s(\omega) = \begin{cases} 
\omega L_s & \text{if } X(\omega_0) < 0 \\
-\frac{1}{\omega C_s} & \text{if } X(\omega_0) > 0
\end{cases}
\]

(3.61)

which is equal to zero at \( \omega = \omega_0 \), that is:

\[
X_t(\omega_0) = X(\omega_0) + X_s(\omega_0) = 0
\]

(3.62)

The derivative of the tuned impedance with respect to the radian frequency can be written as:

\[
X_t'(\omega) = \begin{cases} 
X'(\omega) + L_s & \text{if } X(\omega_0) < 0 \\
X'(\omega) + \frac{1}{\omega^2 C_s} & \text{if } X(\omega_0) > 0
\end{cases}
\]

(3.63)

That is:

\[
X_t'(\omega_0) = X'(\omega_0) + \frac{\left|X(\omega_0)\right|}{\omega_0}
\]

(3.64)

The total power accepted can be expressed as:
\[
P_A(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{S_0} (E_i \times H_i^*) \cdot \hat{n} dS \\
= \frac{1}{2} \Re \left[ V_i(\omega) I_i(\omega) \right] = \frac{1}{2Z_t} \left[ |a_t(\omega)|^2 - |b_t(\omega)|^2 \right] \\
= \frac{1}{2Z_0} \left[ |a_t(\omega)|^2 \left[ 1 - |S_{RL}(\omega)|^2 \right] \right] = \frac{1}{2} |I_i(\omega)|^2 R_i(\omega) = \frac{1}{2} |V_t(\omega)|^2 G_i(\omega)
\]

(3.65)

where \( G_i(\omega) = \Re \left\{ \frac{1}{Z_i(\omega)} \right\} = \frac{R_i(\omega)}{R_i^2(\omega) + jX_i^2(\omega)} \)

The matched voltage standing wave ratio VSWR bandwidth for a resonator tuned at a frequency \( \omega_0 \) is defined as the difference between two frequencies on either side of \( \omega_0 \), at which the VSWR equals a constant \( \text{VSWR}_s \), or, equivalently, at which the magnitude of the reflection coefficient is such that:

\[
|S_{RL}(\omega)| = \frac{(\text{VSWR}_s - 1)}{(\text{VSWR}_s + 1)}
\]

(3.66)

Hence,

\[
|S_{RL}(\omega)| = \left| \frac{Z_t(\omega) - Z_c}{Z_t(\omega) + Z_c} \right| = \frac{\left( R_i(\omega) - Z_c \right)^2 + X_i^2(\omega)}{\left( R_i(\omega) + Z_c \right)^2 + X_i^2(\omega)}
\]

(3.67)

which for a characteristic impedance of a feed line equal to \( Z_i(\omega) = R_i(\omega_0) \) becomes:

\[
|S_{RL}(\omega)| = \frac{\left( R_i(\omega) - R_i(\omega_0) \right)^2 + X_i^2(\omega)}{\left( R_i(\omega) + R_i(\omega_0) \right)^2 + X_i^2(\omega)}
\]

(3.68)

Traditionally, both \( |S_{RL}(\omega)| \) and its derivative with respect to the radian frequency are zero at \( \omega_0 \). This means that \( |S_{RL}(\omega)| \) has a minimum at \( \omega_0 \), for all values of the
frequency at which the resonator is tuned to \((X_r(\omega_0) = 0)\) and matched to a feed line \(Z_c = R_i(\omega_0)\). Thus, the matched VSWR bandwidth, \(\omega_+ - \omega_-\) is determined by:

\[
|S_{RL}(\omega_z)| = \sqrt{\alpha} = \left| \frac{Z_i(\omega_z) - Z_c}{Z_i(\omega_z) + Z_c} \right| = \sqrt{\frac{(R_i(\omega_z) - R_i(\omega_0))^2 + X_i^2(\omega_z)}{(R_i(\omega_z) + R_i(\omega_0))^2 + X_i^2(\omega_z)}}
\]

(3.69)

Hence,

\[
(R_i(\omega_z) - R_i(\omega_0))^2 + X_i^2(\omega_z) = \alpha \left( R_i^2(\omega_z) + 2R_i(\omega_z)R_i(\omega_0) + R_i^2(\omega_0) + X_i^2(\omega_z) \right)
\]

(3.70)

yielding

\[
(R_i(\omega_z) - R_i(\omega_0))^2 + X_i^2(\omega_z) = \alpha \left( R_i(\omega_z) + R_i(\omega_0) \right)^2 - \left( R_i(\omega_z) - R_i(\omega_0) \right)^2 \frac{R_i(\omega_z) + R_i(\omega_0)}{1 - \alpha}
\]

(3.71)

Expanding in a Taylor series around \(\omega_0\), and neglecting the third-order terms (which is justified if \(\frac{\Delta \omega_z}{\omega_0} \ll 1\)), then one can write [78]:

\[
|Z_i'(\omega_0)|^2 (\Delta \omega_z)^2 \approx 4 \frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} R_i^2(\omega_0)
\]

(3.72)

That is:

\[
\Delta \omega_z \approx 2 \sqrt{\frac{\alpha}{1 - \alpha} \frac{R_i(\omega_0)}{|Z_i'(\omega_0)|}}
\]

(3.73)

Hence, defining \(Q\)-factor such that:
\[ Q = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_c - \omega_0} \quad (3.74) \]

We then have [78]:
\[ Q = \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_c - \omega_0} = \frac{\omega_0}{\Delta \omega_c - \Delta \omega_0} = \frac{\omega_0}{4\sqrt{1 - \alpha} \left| Z_i'(\omega_0) \right|} \quad (3.75) \]

This loaded \( Q \)-factor is defined for the tuned network when the network impedance is the same as the feed impedance, which is the same as for the critically coupled scenario. At half-power VSWR,
\[ Q_u = 2Q_L = \frac{\omega_0}{2R_i(\omega_0)} = \frac{\omega_0}{2R_i(\omega_0)} \left| Z_i'(\omega_0) \right| \quad (3.76) \]

It should be emphasized at this point that such an expression for the quality factor is only valid for a simple network (i.e., one with simple and apart resonances). In addition, due to the inherent approximations in the derivations, it is only valid for narrow band networks with band edge frequencies related to the center frequency through their algebraic mean. Still, it is noteworthy to observe that this expression is currently the most utilized among the antenna community.

### 3.4 Antenna Modeling Using Lossy Filter Analysis

Those who deal with filter design techniques appreciate the systematic procedure in the design cycle. On the other hand, many would refer to the antenna design as “Black Magic”. It is thus important if some of the widely used typical filter design procedures are adapted to the antenna design cycle. One significant challenge is that, in filters, the design target is to maximize/minimize power transfer between two ports, with minimum energy loss. This could be viewed as a contrast to the antenna problem, where there is usually one port defined for the antenna and all the energy should be lost to space in
radiation. Nevertheless, for reasons that will be clarified later, let us study the insertion loss method as a starting point for attempting the adaptation.

### 3.4.1 The Insertion Loss Method

In the insertion loss method [20], a response is defined by its insertion loss, or power loss, ratio:

\[
P_{LR} = \frac{\text{Power Available from Source}}{\text{Power Delivered to Load}} = \frac{P_{\text{sc}}}{P_{\text{load}}} = \frac{1}{1 - |S_{RL}(\omega)|^2} \quad (3.77)
\]

For an equal ripple response, a general Chebyshev polynomial of order N can be used, such that [20]:

\[
P_{LR} = 1 + k^2 T_N^2 \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right) \quad (3.78)
\]

with \( T_N \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right) \) oscillating between ±1 for \( \left| \frac{\omega}{\omega_c} \right| \leq 1 \), and \( k^2 \) determining the ripple level.

For a cutoff frequency of \( \omega_c = 1 \), we have:

\[
P_{LR} = 1 + k^2 T_N^2 (\omega) \quad (3.79)
\]

![Fig. 3.1. A circuit representation of general network in terms of the “g” values.](image-url)
Fig. 3.2. Transformation of the low-pass prototype to a band-pass one in terms of the normalized frequency response.

Hence, for a required insertion performance, one needs to specify the order and ripple of the circuit. This circuit is commonly known as the low-pass filter prototype [20], and the values forming its ladder equivalent network (Fig. 3.1) can be easily calculated. These are commonly available as tabulated “g” values in several microwaves and filter text books [20]-[22]. The transformation (Fig. 3.2) into a different circuit behavior, as a high pass, band stop, or band pass, is readily available by a series of impedance and frequency scaling transformations. Although the Chebyshev polynomials are used here, other forms of polynomials can be used to realize different in-band and out-of-band characteristics. Regardless of the required response, one can easily generate (or find tabulated in filter books) the associated “g” values needed to represent the circuit’s response. This useful property will be used to search for generalized Q-BW relations, as discussed next.

3.4.2 Q Calculations Using the Reflective Group Delay

The reflective group delay response $\Gamma_d(\omega)$ of a network response $S_{RL}(\omega)$ can be defined as [20]:

57
\[ \Gamma_d (\omega) = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \omega} \]  

(3.80)

where \( \phi \) is the phase of \( S_{RL}(\omega) \) and \( \omega \) is the angular frequency. For a band pass circuit, the group delay of \( S_{RL}(\omega) \) can be calculated directly from the low-pass prototype. Note that the term ‘group delay’ is typically associated with the transmission group delay. However, in this work, the reflective group delay is adopted in all calculations. Additionally, the calculation from the low-pass prototype enables the reflective group delay to be expressed directly in terms of the normalized “\( g \)” values and bandwidth of the band pass circuit. In this case:

\[ \Gamma_d (\omega) = -\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \Omega} \frac{\partial \Omega}{\partial \omega} \]  

(3.81)

where \( \phi \) is the phase of \( S_{RL}(\omega) \) of the low-pass prototype and \( \Omega \) is the angular frequency of the low-pass prototype. For the standard low-pass to band-pass transformation [20], we have:

\[ \Omega \rightarrow \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_2 - \omega_1} \left( \frac{\omega}{\omega_0} - \frac{\omega_0}{\omega} \right) \]  

(3.82)

where \( \omega_0 \) is the center frequency of the band-pass filter, \( \omega_1 \) is the lower frequency edge of the band-pass filter, and \( \omega_2 \) is the upper frequency edge of the band-pass filter. For a low-pass prototype, the center frequency is 0 rad/s with the cut off frequency of unity. Using this transformation, the center frequency of the band pass prototype is fixed to 1 rad/s with a bandwidth of 1 rad/s, (i.e. \( \omega_0 = \sqrt{\omega_1 \omega_2} \)). The group delay can then be given as:

\[ \Gamma_d (\omega) = -\left( \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_2 - \omega_1} \right) \left( \frac{1}{\omega_0} + \frac{\omega_0}{\omega^2} \right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \Omega} \]

\[ \Gamma_d (\omega) = -\left( \frac{\omega^2 + \omega_0^2}{\omega^2 (\omega_2 - \omega_1)} \right) \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \Omega} \]  

(3.83)
Now,

\[
S_{RL} (\omega) = \frac{Z_m - Z_0}{Z_m + Z_0} = \frac{Y_m - Y_0}{Y_m + Y_0} = \frac{Y_0 - (G_m + jB_m)}{Y_0 + (G_m + jB_m)} = \frac{(Y_0 - G_m) - jB_m}{(Y_0 + G_m) + jB_m}
\] (3.84)

Thus,

\[
\varphi = -\tan^{-1} \left( \frac{B_m (\omega)}{Y_0 - G_m} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{B_m (\omega)}{Y_0 + G_m} \right)
\] (3.85)

Hence,

\[
\Gamma_d (\omega) = -\left( \frac{\omega^2 + \omega_0^2}{\omega^2 (\omega_2 - \omega_1)} \right) \left( \frac{\partial}{\partial \Omega} \left( -\tan^{-1} \left( \frac{B_m (\omega)}{Y_0 - G_m} \right) - \tan^{-1} \left( \frac{B_m (\omega)}{Y_0 + G_m} \right) \right) \right)
\] (3.86)

For a low-pass prototype given in terms of the “g” values (Fig. 3.3), we have:

\[
B_m (\omega) = \Omega g_1
\]

\[
Y_0 = \frac{1}{Z_0} = \frac{1}{g_0}
\]

\[
G_m = \frac{1}{R_m} = \frac{1}{g_2}
\] (3.87)

![Fig. 3.3. Low pass “g” value prototype suitable for modeling a simple resonant network.](image)

Thus, it is possible to express the group delay as:
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{d}(\omega) &= -\left(\frac{\omega^2 + \omega_0^2}{\omega^2 (\omega_2 - \omega_1)}\right) \left(-\frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 - g_0} - \frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 + g_0}\right) \\
&= \frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{1 + \Omega^2}\left(\frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 - g_0}\right)^2 - \frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{1 + \Omega^2}\left(\frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 + g_0}\right)^2 
\end{align*}
(3.88)

A typical circuit-based low-pass to band-pass transformation implies that:

\begin{align*}
\Omega &\rightarrow \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_2 - \omega_1}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0} - \frac{\omega_0}{\omega}\right)
\end{align*}
(3.89)

However, an important step towards adapting the circuit formulations towards representative expressions for the antenna behavior is to add a term to reflect an arbitrary level of possible associated losses (whether ohmic or radiative ones). To this end, the following transformation is employed [143]:

\begin{align*}
\Omega &\rightarrow \frac{\omega_0}{\omega_2 - \omega_1}\left(\frac{\omega}{\omega_0} - \frac{\omega_0}{\omega}\right) - \frac{j\omega_0}{Q_a(\omega_2 - \omega_1)}
\end{align*}
(3.90)

That is:

\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{d}(\omega) &= -\left(\frac{\omega^2 + \omega_0^2}{\omega^2 (\omega_2 - \omega_1)}\right) \left(-\frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 - g_0} - \frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 + g_0}\right) \\
&= \frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{1 + \Omega^2}\left(\frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 - g_0}\right)^2 - \frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{1 + \Omega^2}\left(\frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 + g_0}\right)^2 
\end{align*}
(3.91)

So, for a perfect match at \(\omega_0\), we need:
\[
\left(1 - \left(\frac{\omega_0}{Q \left(\omega_2 - \omega_1\right)}\right) \left(\frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 - g_0}\right)^2\right) \left(1 - \left(\frac{\omega_0}{Q \left(\omega_2 - \omega_1\right)}\right) \left(\frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_2 + g_0}\right)^2\right) = 0 \quad (3.92)
\]

which has four numerical solutions, as follows:

\[
\omega_2 - \omega_1 |_{1,2} = \pm \frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_0 + g_2} \omega_0 \quad Q_u
\]
\[
\omega_2 - \omega_1 |_{3,4} = \pm \frac{g_0 g_1 g_2}{g_0 - g_2} \omega_0 \quad Q_u
\]

(3.93)

For an antenna system, the second port is left open. Thus we get \( g_2 \to \infty \), with only one physical solution:

\[
\omega_2 - \omega_1 = g_0 g_1 \frac{\omega_0}{Q_u}
\]

(3.94)

which simply means that the bandwidth can be easily defined in terms of the center frequency, \( Q \), and the “g” values determining the return loss level of the bandwidth. For half-power bandwidth, the “g” values are [20]:

\[
g_0 = 1
\]
\[
g_1 = 2
\]

(3.95)

and the fractional bandwidth becomes:

\[
FBW = \frac{\omega_2 - \omega_1}{\omega_0} = \frac{2}{Q_u}
\]

(3.96)

which is an identical result to that found earlier in (3.47). However, this approach not only accurately determines the exact band edge locations (which is not possible through the work in [78], but it can also be extended to the design of multiple-coupled antennas. This extension is discussed in detail in the next chapter. For now, as a simple example for illustration purposes, Fig. 3.4 shows the reflection coefficient responses of two different RLC parallel networks. The first has \( R = 50\text{Ohm}, L = 10\text{nH} \) and \( C = 1\text{pF} \), with exact
\( Q_{\text{exact}} = \omega_0 R C = 0.5 \), and the second has \( R = 50\Omega, L = 2\text{nH} \) and \( C = 5\text{pF} \), with exact \( Q_{\text{exact}} = 2.5 \). Fig. 3.5 shows the extracted \( Q \) factors for the second network. \( Q_u^B, Q_u^H \), and \( Q_u^G \) are derived from [78], [139], and the proposed “g” value method, respectively. Note that manipulating the outlined proposed method yields:

\[
Q_u^G = \omega_0 \Gamma_d (\omega_b) \frac{S_{RL} (\omega_b)}{1 - S_{RL}^2 (\omega_b)}
\]  

(3.97)

This means that the \( Q_u^G (\omega) \) shown in Fig. 3.5 is only correct at \( \omega_0 \) (natural resonance/anti-resonance of the circuit). Extension of the plot to estimate \( Q \) versus frequency for non-resonant circuits (which is typical for electrically small antennas) would add an extra step similar to that in [78], i.e., bring the antenna at each frequency to resonance. Note that \( S_{RL} (\omega) \) and \( \Gamma_d (\omega) \) are readily available from any numerical solver used for antenna/circuit simulations. Also note that the \( Q \) extraction procedure is numerically much easier when the network is not perfectly matched, which is preferred for quick extraction procedures during design cycles.

It should be noted that both the extracted \( FBW_{\text{AnyVWSR}} \) values based on [78] and those based on the proposed analysis are identical. However, the proposed expression accurately defines the position of the band edge frequencies, which is not possible if using [78] or [139]. For example, the extracted half-power fractional bandwidth for the aforementioned network with \( Q_{\text{exact}} = 0.5 \) is 4. Its extracted band-edge frequencies are \( f_1 = 0.376\text{GHz} \) and \( f_2 = 6.742\text{GHz} \), which are within the numerical error compared to the simulated ones. On the other hand, the formulation in [78] will result in a negative value for \( f_1 \), which is a non-physical solution. Realistically, (3.47) should present as accurate results as (3.97). Nevertheless, the latter is potentially favorable, since it is easily extended to predict the behavior of multiple coupled resonances, as demonstrated in Chapter 4.
Fig. 3.4. Reflection coefficient for the two networks. Asymmetry in response increases for lower Q and higher VSWR.

3.4.3 Application to Antenna Problems

The proposed procedure was applied to a set of canonical antenna problems, i.e., simple dipole and patch antennas. In order to avoid any possible uncertainties about the numerical accuracy of the simulations, different commercial solvers were used: Ansoft’s Designer (MOM code) [31], Ansoft’s HFSS (FEM) [32], and Schmid & Partner SPEAG’s SEMCAD (FDTD) [36]. A portion of the results is listed in Table 3.1. The
procedure of extraction is summarized as follows. Upon simulation of the antenna, the reflection coefficient is plotted on a smith chart. Typically, the plot needs to be rotated on the admittance (impedance) chart to resemble the simple parallel (series) RLC circuit. Fig. 3.6 shows a typical plot for a patch antenna. Once this rotation is completed (which is effectively de-embedding of the feed inductor in patch antennas), the extraction procedure proceeds as described earlier, using (3.97), to extract the resonant frequency and the unloaded $Q$. Once extracted, it is possible to rapidly predict the simulated response, taking into consideration the de-embedded reactive element.

Table 3.1. Summary of Results for Antennas Under Test.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>F0</th>
<th>Qu (G)</th>
<th>F1, F2 (G)</th>
<th>F1, F2 (Sim.)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Printed Dipole in Designer VSWR&lt;1.5 (78.74WX3031.5Lmil in air)</td>
<td>1.947</td>
<td>4.959</td>
<td>1.870</td>
<td>1.871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Patch Antenna in HFSS VSWR&lt;5.828 (2290LX2500WX118.11Hmil no substrate)</td>
<td>2.374</td>
<td>22.405</td>
<td>2.2704</td>
<td>2.273</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dipole in SEMCAD VSWR&lt;2 (1.8RX169Lmm)</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>4.457</td>
<td>0.7414</td>
<td>0.738</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 3.6. Steps for extraction of the response of a patch antenna: First, rotation, and then using the “g” values extraction technique.
3.5 Discussion and Conclusions

This chapter discussed some of the very basic notions in defining the bandwidth of a simple circuit in terms of its quality factor. As various expressions exist, the adoption of any of them would largely depend on which form yields a simple and accurate design approach. One may draw a number of important observations. For example, the \( B=1/Q \) relation for (reflection) half-power bandwidth, where \( Q \) is given by:

\[
Q = \frac{\omega_0}{2R} \left( \frac{d}{d\omega} X(\omega) \right)_{\omega_0} \tag{3.98}
\]

with \( X \) denoting the antenna reactance at its physical terminals and \( R \) denoting the antenna resistance, is very useful for small non-resonant antennas. Typically, a small non-resonant antenna is modeled by a simple inductive or capacitive reactance, depending on its configuration, along with an associated quality factor. In many antenna designs, the aforementioned relations are quite adequate. On the other hand, at self-resonance, \( X \) will have both inductive and capacitive components associated with the magnetic and electric stored energies. In such a scenario, the \( Q\)-BW relation becomes \( B=2/Q \), where \( Q \) is given as stated above, and \( X \) is still the antenna reactance at its physical terminals. Of course, one may alter the expression of \( Q \) to have a \( B=1/Q \) for self-resonant antennas. These variations should be noted in the attempt to find a practical systematic antenna design process.

Another definition with \( B=1/Q \), not \( B=2/Q \), also exists for resonant circuits, given that \( Q \) is still defined as stated earlier. This definition is common in many microwave circuit books. Actually, a half-power bandwidth designates the frequencies where the power dissipated in the resonant network is half the amount dissipated at the resonance frequency. This differs from the definition where the (reflection) half-power bandwidth designates the frequencies where the accepted power by the resonant network is half that of the incident power at these frequencies. From a designer’s perspective, the best definition is that which facilitates the design process to meet the system specifications.
So, all of the aforementioned definitions should be kept in mind for proper choice in developing a design routine.

In most of the published work on $Q$-BW relations, the bandwidth is defined as the difference between two specific frequency points, as discussed earlier, with the center frequency related to them through their algebraic mean. This is an acceptable approximation for most antenna designs. However, in situations where the antenna has a very low quality factor (i.e., wide bandwidth), this approximation has a large error margin. In fact, the exact relation for a simple series or parallel RLC networks shows that the center frequency is related to the band edge frequencies through their geometric mean. This is an important difference for low $Q$ structures, since it significantly affects the design process. As an example, consider the design of a moderate to wide-bandwidth biomedical implant antenna. The $Q$ of such an antenna is typically low due to the in-body losses. Its associated numerical simulation for the overall band, in the presence of a human model, could be quite costly. This becomes even more significant during optimization seeking a design that meets specifications. However, by utilizing the appropriate $Q$-BW relations along with the proper center frequency definitions, it is possible to focus the whole optimization process around this center frequency when trying to realize the required quality factor. This results in a significant reduction in the computational efforts as well as a noticeable acceleration in the design cycle.

Apart from seeking $Q$-BW relations for networks operating at some form of simple resonance, there are situations where the design of a single antenna to meet a required bandwidth is physically impossible. Interestingly, bringing multiple resonant antennas in close proximity can realize an antenna system meeting this bandwidth specification. Antennas used in smartphones are one important example for such a system. However, there is no unified theory on the design of multiple coupled antennas. The previous formulations cannot be simply applied ‘as is’. Recently, there have been a number of publications on the $Q$-BW relations for multi-coupled antennas such as those in [140], [141], and [142]. These relations are useful for approximate analysis, with error margins of more than 40% [141] in addition to not presenting a systematic design methodology.
In sum, a new procedure is demonstrated for extracting the unloaded $Q$ of antennas. Unlike commonly used methods, the proposed technique accurately predicts the asymmetric response in the reflection coefficient of canonical antenna problems. Portions of the verifications using different commercial solvers were presented. The proposed technique is extremely useful when designing different classes of antennas. It should be emphasized that the analysis is not limited to naturally resonant structures and can be extended to study the $Q$ and BW of electrically small antennas. Its extension to multi-resonant models (discussed in detail in the following chapter) provides a promising systematic method for the analysis and design of multi-band/wideband antennas.
Chapter 4: Q-Bandwidth Relations for Mutually Coupled Antennas and the Associated Integrated-Filtering Functionalities

“Science progresses best when observations force us to alter our preconceptions.”

Vera Rubin

In this chapter, the idea proposed and discussed in Chapter 3 is extended to present a systematic approach for realization of multi-coupled radiators. This procedure can be adopted easily either when using an electromagnetic simulator or building antennas on the bench. Not only does the presented analysis define some useful upper bounds on the achievable performances, it also significantly accelerates the development cycle and alleviates the need for an exhaustive set of parametric trials or optimization cycles. In addition, this design methodology allows us to synthesize antennas with asymmetric and symmetric transmission zeros in the frequency plane, enabling us to realize a large set of complex filter-integrated antennas.

4.1 Introduction

Circuit-inspired wide-band antenna designs rely basically on bringing two resonances together to achieve wide-band operation, along with an increase in gain in some cases. It is notable that this concept was widely studied in the antenna community and was applied in different topologies, i.e., stacked or side coupled patches [145]-[148], or using stacked dielectric resonator antennas [146]. A close look at the most referenced design procedures applied so far reveals several complexities in their implementation. These complexities are simply due to the broad range of uncertainty that a design cycle will feature [145], or the need for a numerical procedure at each parametric design step to extract the associated design parameters by curve fitting [146]. It is also noted that, recently, a large number of researchers decided to abandon these circuit-based procedures and move into
developing optimization routines that automatically invoke a number of parametric studies searching for a design that meets the specifications [147]-[148].

Instead of following these approaches, an alternative design approach based on accumulated knowledge of the design variables is proposed in the context of this thesis. Here, the theory of designing multiple-coupled antennas is tackled as follows. First, the network model for coupled antennas is theoretically studied. Such a study allows us to define the required resonance frequency, quality factor, and coupling coefficients to cover a desired bandwidth. That is, a “Golden Response” is generated for what the design response should be. Interestingly, this theoretical analysis also reveals methods of extracting the associated design parameters. This means that not only the required parameters are known, but it is also possible to extract, without curve fitting, the associated parameters through the design process. This is invaluable in guiding the process to converge quite rapidly to the ideal design. Next, the steps of the design are listed in a recipe format and demonstrated by a numerical example for illustration. Finally, following the proposed procedure, a number of realistic coupled radiators are designed to create antennas with wide-band operation.

4.2 Q-BW Relations for Simple Antennas Re-visited

In most typical antenna design scenarios, it is sufficient to model the antenna performance over a wideband as a network of series $RLC$ or parallel $RLC$ ones. The following two figures show a network model for a simple dipole, and one for a simple patch antenna. It should be noted that the capacitance $C_{dc}$ accounts for the DC capacitance, while $L_{probe}$ should account for the probe inductance of the patch. Over the band of interest, such model may be simplified to a single $RLC$ network.
In antenna design, one of the very important parameters is the voltage standing wave ratio (VSWR) bandwidth of the antenna. This bandwidth can be quickly predicted from the simple antenna model. Actually, if we study the input impedance of the antenna, one can see that in the series case it is possible to write:

$$Z_{in}(f) = R \left( 1 + jQ \left( \frac{f}{f_r} - \frac{f_r}{f} \right) \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.1)$$

while in the parallel case, it becomes:

$$Z_{in}(f) = \frac{R}{1 + jQ \left( \frac{f}{f_r} - \frac{f_r}{f} \right)} \hspace{1cm} (4.2)$$

where $f_r = \frac{1}{2\pi\sqrt{LC}}$ in both cases, while $Q$ is given by:
\[ Q = \omega \frac{\text{Average Stored Energy}}{\text{Energy Loss/Second}} \]
\[ = \omega \frac{W_e + W_m}{P_L} \quad (4.3) \]

which, for the series case, is given by:

\[ Q = \frac{\omega_0 L}{R} \quad (4.4) \]

while for the parallel case, \( Q \) is given by:

\[ Q = \frac{\omega_0 C}{G} = \omega_0 CR \quad (4.5) \]

Now, assuming that the antenna is fed by a transmission line of characteristic impedance \( Z_0 \), then:

\[ VSWR(f) = \frac{1 + \frac{|Z_{in}(f) - Z_0|}{Z_{in}(f) + Z_0}}{1 - \frac{|Z_{in}(f) - Z_0|}{Z_{in}(f) + Z_0}} \quad (4.6) \]

Defining the impedance bandwidth such that \( VSWR(f) \leq S \), with \( VSWR(f_1) = VSWR(f_2) = S \), where \( f_1 \) and \( f_2 \) are the band-edge frequencies, then one may write the fractional bandwidth as:

\[ FBW = \frac{f_2 - f_1}{f_r} \quad (4.7) \]

By some simple manipulations using the earlier equations, it is possible to write:

\[ FBW = \frac{1}{Q} \sqrt{\frac{(KS-1)(S-K)}{S}} \quad (4.8) \]
where \( K = \frac{Z_0}{R} \) for the series-resonance case and \( K = \frac{R}{Z_0} \) for the parallel-resonance case.

Typically, the antenna is designed such that \( R = Z_0 \) at the resonant frequency. Thus, one should get:

\[
FBW = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{S-1}{\sqrt{S}}
\]  

(4.9)

which may be considered as another version of (3.94).

### 4.2.1 Maximizing the Bandwidth

Given that one usually wants to maximize the bandwidth realized by an antenna design in a given volume, it is thus better not to design the antenna with \( R = Z_0 \) at the resonant frequency. Instead, if the \( FBW = \frac{1}{Q} \sqrt{\frac{(KS-1)(S-K)}{S}} \) expression is used to find the optimal value for \( K \) where \( FBW \) is maximized, one will find:

\[
K_{opt} = \frac{1}{2} \left( S + \frac{1}{S} \right)
\]  

(4.10)

Thus, the maximum possible bandwidth for an optimum antenna design, adequately modeled by a series or parallel lumped circuit, is given by:

\[
FBW_{max} = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{S^2-1}{2S}
\]  

(4.11)

It can be easily shown that the band edge frequencies in these models are related to the resonant frequency through:

\[
f_1, f_2 = f_r^2
\]  

(4.12)

One important observation is that the result in (4.9) matches that of (3.94), which was developed using the “g” value technique. However, this is not the largest possible
bandwidth for a given antenna/resonant circuit. As can be seen from (4.11), by properly designing the circuit/antenna resistance in terms of the system resistance, it is possible to enlarge the achievable bandwidth without adding any matching circuitry [148]. This will be crucial when considering the extension of the “g” value method to multi-coupled scenarios.

So, for a general simple antenna design with single resonance, the design cycle to achieve maximum impedance bandwidth may be summarized as follows:

1) Define the desired band edge frequencies.
2) Define the required VSWR level.
3) Use Equation (4.12) to determine the corresponding resonant center frequency.
4) Use Equation (4.11) to determine the corresponding $Q$ of the antenna.
5) Design the antenna to resonate at the resonant frequency, with the specified $Q$ and required input resistance deduced from (4.10).

### 4.2.2 The Utilized Q-expressions

In the outlined recipe listed above, one needs to assess the $Q$ of the antenna under study, seeking to realize the desired $Q$. Chapter 3 summarized some of the useful expressions for this purpose. One approximate method to determine the Q of the antenna from the input impedance is by using [78]:

$$Q_d (\omega_0) = \frac{\omega_0}{2R_0 (\omega_0)} \cdot \sqrt{\left[ R'(\omega_0) \right]^2 + \left[ X'(\omega_0) + \frac{X(\omega_0)}{\omega_0} \right]^2}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.13)

Another expression, which was derived in the course of this work, was presented in the previous chapter. It is more suitable for extremely low $Q$ and for cases where the probe inductance or DC capacitance of patches should be included, and is given by [GS.8]:

$$Q_G (\omega_0) = \omega_0 \Gamma_d (\omega_0) \frac{S_{RL}(\omega_0)}{1 - S_{RL}^2(\omega_0)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.14)
It is noteworthy to mention that the aforementioned design routine can be modified to account for the probe inductance associated with feeding printed antennas. The same can be said if another feeding technique is used, such as proximity feeding or aperture coupled feeding. However, the focus here is on establishing some simple theory for further utilization in the multi-coupled design.

4.2.3 Note on Utilization of Matching Circuits for BW Extension

In the simplified antenna design process outlined earlier, the most challenging step for the antenna designer is to realize, in a given space, an antenna with the required $Q$ to cover the required VSWR bandwidth. Sometimes, with space limitations, such a design is not physically achievable. One way to overcome these limitations is to implement a matching network seeking wider impedance bandwidths. Theoretically, an infinite order matching network of ideal lumped inductors and capacitors would be able to further extend the maximum bandwidth in (4.11) to a certain extent. This was studied by Fano in [77] and is given by:

$$FBW_{FANO} = \frac{1}{Q} \frac{\pi}{\ln \left( \frac{S + 1}{S - 1} \right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (4.15)

In reality, there is no such thing as ideal lumped elements. Also, in terms of cost and space, adding a large number of inductors and capacitors is not practical. In addition, it was shown in [138] and [150] that the Fano bandwidth can be approached quickly with only limited number of matching elements. On the other hand, one may argue that such a limit can be overcome by using lossy matching networks [151]-[152]. However, the price paid is the significant reduction in the radiated power by the antenna, and thus reduction in the overall system efficiency. Still, the idea is worth some investigation. In principle, if the lossy matching network is implemented in the antenna itself so that the required loss is realized by antenna radiation, then one can possibly widen the antenna VSWR bandwidth, along with maintaining high radiation efficiency. This idea is investigated next.
4.3 Multiple-Coupled Radiators

As discussed in the previous section, radiation from an antenna may be modeled as a type of power loss from a resonator. Having studied the performance of a single resonator, it is desirable to extend the study to having more resonators. In Chapter 3, the possibility of dealing with the multi-coupled antenna problem through analogy with multi-coupled filter formulations was noted. However, in section 4.2.1, it was noted that merely using the “g” values derived in the circuit community using Chebyshev polynomials will not result in the maximum possible bandwidth for a given antenna $Q$. To this end, this section attempts mimicking the “g” value method, after applying some modifications, to realize the maximum possible bandwidth in multi-coupled antenna designs.

First, let us start with two coupled lossy resonators. The effect of adding more resonators/antennas will be studied later in the chapter. So, if we have a network composed of two coupled resonators, as shown in Fig. 4.3, then it is possible to write the input impedance as:

$$Z_{in}(\omega) = \frac{1}{\frac{1}{R_1} + j\omega C_1 + \frac{1}{j\omega L_1} + \frac{J^2}{\frac{1}{R_2} + j\omega C_2 + \frac{1}{j\omega L_2}}}$$

(4.16)

One should note that the capacitance, inductance, and resistance are related in such model where:

$$C_1 = \frac{Q_{01}}{\omega_{01} R_1}$$

(4.17)

and

$$L_1 = \frac{1}{\omega_{01}^2 C_1}$$

(4.18)
with $\omega_{01,2} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{L_{01,2}C_{01,2}}}$ and $Q_{01,2}$ defining the quality factor of the corresponding resonator. Thus, one can re-write the input impedance as:

$$Z_{in}(f) = \frac{1}{1 + jQ_{01}\left(\frac{f - f_{01}}{f_{01}}\right)} + \frac{J^2 R_2}{1 + jQ_{02}\left(\frac{f - f_{02}}{f_{02}}\right)}$$

(4.19)

Fig. 4.3. Two coupled resonators model.

Fig. 4.4. Different models for admittance inverters.

It should be noted that the $J$ (admittance inverter) used in this analysis should be treated with care. The concept of admittance inverters is widely used in filter/coupled circuit
design [20] and has been quite useful in circuit designs of fractional impedance bandwidths up to 30%. In principle, the values realized from the $J$ inverter model basically rely on the synthesized structure and its frequency dependency. However, modeling using the $J$ inverter brings valuable insight into the basic network behavior and limitations. Afterwards, it is then a matter of searching for a physical structure that can realize the required admittance inverter.

Typically, when designing an antenna system, it is desirable to maximize the realizable bandwidth in a given volume. Since bandwidth is inversely related to the radiation quality factor of the antenna, as discussed in Chapter 3, then one may intuitively argue that it is better to have both resonators/radiators with the lowest possible $Q$ in order to realize the maximum possible bandwidth. The analysis in this section addresses the case for synchronized resonances. However, the methodology is applicable to the general case as described by (4.19). It is thus possible to write:

$$Z_{in}(f) = \frac{1}{1 + jQ_0 \left( \frac{f - f_0}{f_0} \right) + \frac{D}{R_t} \left( \frac{f - f_0}{f_0} \right)}$$

where

$$D = J^2 R_2$$

The reflection coefficient seen at the feed port can be expressed as:

$$\Gamma(f) = \frac{Z_{in}(f) - Z_0}{Z_{in}(f) + Z_0}$$

This reflection coefficient is what commonly defines the impedance bandwidth of a circuit/antenna. At the band-edge frequencies $f_{RL1}$ and $f_{RL2}$, the reflection coefficient
\[ \Gamma(f) \] should be equal to the target return loss level, \( RL \), and hence is consequently related to the \( VSWR \) level \( S \) by:

\[
\Gamma \left( \frac{f_{RL,1}}{f_{RL,2}} \right) = RL = \left| \frac{Z_{in} \left( \frac{f_{RL,1}}{f_{RL,2}} \right) - Z_0}{Z_{in} \left( \frac{f_{RL,1}}{f_{RL,2}} \right) + Z_0} \right| = \frac{VSWR - 1}{VSWR + 1} = \frac{S - 1}{S + 1} \tag{4.23}
\]

The impedance bandwidth is thus given by:

\[ BW = f_{RL,2} - f_{RL,1} \tag{4.24} \]

For identical resonators, the fractional bandwidth, relative to the synchronized resonance frequency, is stated as:

\[ FBW = \frac{f_{RL,2} - f_{RL,1}}{f_0} \tag{4.25} \]

### 4.3.1 Maximizing the Bandwidth

In section 4.2.1, the maximum possible bandwidth for a simple antenna without any matching elements was discussed. In a similar fashion, this section presents some limitations on the maximum possible bandwidth using two coupled identical (synchronized) resonators/antennas. Using (4.23), one may write:
Defining $X = \frac{f_{RL,1,2}}{f_0} - \frac{f_0}{f_{RL,1,2}}$, and $K = \frac{Z_0}{R_i}$, it is then possible to write:

$$
\frac{(1-Z_0D-K+K(Q_0X)^2)^2+(Q_0X-2KQ_0X)^2}{(1+Z_0D+K-K(Q_0X)^2)^2+(Q_0X+2KQ_0X)^2} = RL^2
$$

(4.27)

On the other hand, at $f = f_0$, one can write:

$$
\frac{(1-K-Z_0D)^2}{1+K+Z_0D} = RL^2
$$

(4.28)

which simplifies to:

$$
D = \frac{1-KS}{Z_0S} \quad \frac{S-K}{Z_0}
$$

(4.29)

It can be readily seen that these two values for $D$ correspond to different values of the coupling coefficient, $J$. In fact, the choice of $D$ would result in one of the responses shown in Fig. 4.5.
Fig. 4.5. Effect of the choice of “D” on the return loss.

So, to realize the maximum bandwidth, it is desirable to have:

\[ D = \frac{S - K}{Z_0} \]  \hfill (4.30)

Now, solving (4.27) in \( X \) yields:

\[ X = \pm \frac{\sqrt{S \left( 3 KS^2 - 4 K^2 S - S + K \right)}}{SKQ_0} \]  \hfill (4.31)

Recalling that \( X = \left( \frac{f_{RL,2}}{f_0} - \frac{f_0}{f_{RL,1}} \right) \), where \( f_{RL,2} > f_{RL,1} \), it is possible to write:

\[ f_{RL,1} = -\frac{1}{2SKQ_0/f_0} \left( \frac{\sqrt{S \left( 3 KS^2 - 4 K^2 S - S + K \right)}}{-\sqrt{3 KS^3 - 4 K^2 S^2 - S^2 + SK + 4S^2 K^2 Q_0^2}} \right) \]  \hfill (4.32)

and

\[ f_{RL,2} = \frac{1}{2SKQ_0/f_0} \left( \frac{\sqrt{S \left( 3 KS^2 - 4 K^2 S - S + K \right)}}{\sqrt{3 KS^3 - 4 K^2 S^2 - S^2 + SK + 4S^2 K^2 Q_0^2}} \right) \]  \hfill (4.33)
Hence, the bandwidth expression can be written as:

\[
BW = f_{RL2} - f_{RL1} = \frac{\sqrt{S \left(3KS^2 - 4K^2S - S + K\right)}}{SKQ_0/f_0}
\] (4.34)

To maximize this bandwidth, for a given antenna \(Q\) and required VSWR, one needs to differentiate with respect to \(K\). Hence, solving for \(K\), we have:

\[
K = \frac{Z_0}{R_i} = \frac{2S}{3S^2 + 1}
\] (4.35)

That is:

\[
R_i = \frac{3S^2 + 1}{2S} Z_0
\] (4.36)

and

\[
J^2 R_2 = \frac{S - K}{Z_0} = \frac{S(3S^2 - 1)}{Z_0(3S^2 + 1)}
\] (4.37)

Thus, the maximum achievable bandwidth is given by:

\[
BW_{\text{max}} = \frac{\sqrt{(S^2 - 1)(9S^2 - 1)}}{2SQ_0/f_0}
\] (4.38)

One should note that the presented analysis implies that the band-edge frequencies are related to the center frequency through:

\[
f_0 = \sqrt{f_{RL1}f_{RL2}}
\] (4.39)

### 4.3.2 Required Input Impedance

Having presented the maximum possible bandwidth for two coupled resonators, it is important to study the input resistance corresponding to such a bandwidth. Using the analysis in section 4.3.1, the input impedance can be written as:
where \( \zeta = \frac{f}{f_0} - \frac{f_0}{f} \). Extracting the real part of the input impedance yields:

\[
R_{in}(f) = \frac{Z_0 \left( 1 + \frac{Z_0 D}{K} + (Q_0 \zeta)^2 \right)}{\left( 1 + \frac{Z_0 D}{K} - (Q_0 \zeta)^2 \right)^2 + (2Q_0 \zeta)^2}
\]  

(4.41)

The maxima of \( R_{in}(f) \) are at two frequency points \((f_{m1}, f_{m2})\), which are given by:

\[
f_{m1,2} = \frac{1}{2Q_0^2} \left\{ 2\sqrt{-Q_0^4 + 9S^4Q_0^4} + 4Q_0^4 - Q_0^2 - 3S^2Q_0^2 \right\}^{\frac{1}{2}}
\]

(4.42)

The values of these maxima are identical at \( f_{m1,2} \) and are independent of the quality factor. Interestingly, they can be asymptotically written as (for \( Z_0 = 50\Omega \)):

\[
R(S) = C_4S^4 + C_3S^3 + C_2S^2 + C_1S + C_0
\]

(4.43)

where the coefficients are given as \( C_4 = 2.046, \ C_3 = -19.34, \ C_2 = 69.59, \ C_1 = -79.28, \) and \( C_0 = 87 \).

Utilizing the network in Fig. 4.3, the variation of the maximum value of input resistance versus the VSWR level, the frequency variation of the input resistance for different quality factors, and the input reflection curves for different quality factors are shown, respectively, in Fig. 4.6, Fig. 4.7, and Fig. 4.8.
Fig. 4.6. Maximum value of input resistance versus VSWR level ($Z_0 = 50\Omega$).

Fig. 4.7. Frequency variation of the input resistance for different quality factors ($Z_0 = 50\Omega$).
Fig. 4.8. Input reflection curves for different quality factors ($Z_0 = 50\Omega$).

Fig. 4.9 shows the effect of using $R_i = 233$ (optimum value for maximum bandwidth if $Z_0 = 50\Omega$ and $VSWR = 3$) and $R_i = 50$ (after adjusting the corresponding coupling in Fig. 4.9). It is clear that the choice of $R_i$ could result in a large difference in the achieved bandwidth.

Fig. 4.9. Effect of the choice of the impedance of the first resonator on the realized bandwidth.
4.3.3 The Golden Response

Before defining a procedure for the coupled antenna design, let us study a simple numerical example that clarifies some properties of the coupled antenna model used in this work. Let us say that we want to design an antenna connected to a 50 Ohm feed line and covering the band frequencies of 1.7-2.1GHz with VSWR=3. Using the aforementioned formulations presented so far in section 4.3, one would ideally need a set of design parameters as listed in Table 4.1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_0$</td>
<td>1.8894 [GHz]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_0$</td>
<td>19.9165</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1$</td>
<td>233.33 [Ohm]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D$</td>
<td>0.0557 [Ohm]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In order to illustrate how the antenna/network response will vary with different scenarios, let us plot the input resistance for the three distinct cases of Table 4.2.
Table 4.2. Three Different Frequency Variation Cases.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( f_{01} = 1.8 ), ( f_{02} = 2.0 )</td>
<td>( f_{01} = 2.0 ), ( f_{02} = 1.8 )</td>
<td>( f_{01} = 1.889 ), ( f_{02} = 1.889 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( Q_{01} = 16 ), ( Q_{02} = 25 )</td>
<td>( Q_{01} = 16 ), ( Q_{02} = 25 )</td>
<td>( Q_{01} = 16 ), ( Q_{02} = 25 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( R_1 = 250 ), ( R_2 = 250 )</td>
<td>( R_1 = 250 ), ( R_2 = 250 )</td>
<td>( R_1 = 250 ), ( R_2 = 250 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( D = 0.09 )</td>
<td>( D = 0.09 )</td>
<td>( D = 0.09 )</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Fig. 4.10, one can quickly deduce some properties of the input resistance response. For example, if \( f_{01} < f_{02} \), the value of input resistance at the first peak is larger than that at the second one. It is also noted that if \( f_{01} = f_{02} \), both peaks become equal in their values. Additionally, these peaks are related so that \( f_{0D} = \sqrt{f_{P1D}f_{P2D}} \), where \( f_{P1D} \) is the first peak, \( f_{P2D} \) is the second peak, and \( f_{0D} \) is the apparent synchronized frequency of the system.

Such a simple model suggests that if one is designing/optimizing an antenna, and even if the \( Q \), resistance, and coupling values are not tuned to the correct ones, it might be useful to start by adjusting the resonators to realize the “Frequency Golden Response”, where the antennas have their resonance frequencies synchronized to the desired center frequency. Note that the term "Golden Response" is common in filter terminology, denoting the "target response" in a design process [24] [144].
Fig. 4.10. Input resistance for the three cases under study.

Now if we assume that the resonance frequencies are synchronized, let us investigate the effect of having the antennas with different \( Q \) values. Again, we may use the three cases from Table 4.3 to illustrate the problem.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>( f_{01} )</th>
<th>( f_{02} )</th>
<th>( Q_{01} )</th>
<th>( Q_{02} )</th>
<th>( R_1 )</th>
<th>( R_2 )</th>
<th>( D )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>1.889</td>
<td>19.91</td>
<td>19.91</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0.09</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In these three cases, the quality factors of the antennas may be different or equal. To extract information about these quality factors, the group delay technique presented in Chapter 3 is used. In Fig. 4.11, one can quickly identify which quality factor is higher than the other, and how they both need to be adjusted to realize the desired response. This means that the designer/optimizer should seek the “Quality Factor Golden Response”, where the antennas quality factors are synchronized to the desired value. In reality,
adjusting the quality factors involves changing the antenna dimensions, which in turn will affect the resonance frequencies. Thus, the adjustment of the resonance frequency and $Q$ takes the form of an iterative procedure. However, it should be emphasized that this procedure is quite useful and generally results in rapid convergence.

![Graph showing quality factors](image)

Fig. 4.11. Information about the quality factors extracted using the group delay-based $Q$ expression.

Now, assuming that the resonance frequencies and quality factors are synchronized, let us investigate the effect of changing the resistance of the first resonator. Three different cases will be studied (Table 4.4). Fig. 4.12 illustrates how the input resistance plot varies with the actual input resistance of the first antenna. The value of the desired resistance at the peaks is known, using (4.43), so the designer/optimizer should seek to realize the “Resistance Golden Response”. It should be noted that these values will slightly vary, depending on the coupling coefficient. However, this can form a part of a rapidly converging iterative procedure.
Table 4.4. Three Different Cases for Variation in Resistance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_{01} = 1.889$, $f_{02} = 1.889$</td>
<td>$f_{01} = 1.889$, $f_{02} = 1.889$</td>
<td>$f_{01} = 1.889$, $f_{02} = 1.889$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{01} = 19.91$, $Q_{02} = 19.91$</td>
<td>$Q_{01} = 19.91$, $Q_{02} = 19.91$</td>
<td>$Q_{01} = 19.91$, $Q_{02} = 19.91$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1 = 100$, $R_2 = 233$</td>
<td>$R_1 = 300$, $R_2 = 233$</td>
<td>$R_1 = 233$, $R_2 = 233$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D = 0.09$</td>
<td>$D = 0.09$</td>
<td>$D = 0.09$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4.12. Variation in the input resistance with different feed antenna resistance.

Now, assuming that the resonance frequencies, quality factors, and resistance of the first resonator are synchronized, let us investigate the effect of changing the coupling coefficient. Three coupling values are studied (Table 4.5): ideally coupled, over-coupled, and under-coupled cases. As observed from Fig. 4.13, the location of the maxima of the input resistance plot depends on the coupling coefficient. If the resonance frequency, quality factor and resistance of the first resonator are tuned to the desired values, one should expect that the desired location of the maxima will correspond to those from (4.42). It should be noted that, in a practical scenario, changing the coupling coefficient requires changing the separation between resonators, which may affect their resonance frequency and quality factor. However, following the “Golden Response” approach in an
iterative manner between the frequency, quality factor, first antenna resistance, and the coupling coefficient should result in very fast converging design cycle.

Table 4.5. Three Different Cases for Variation in the Coupling Coefficient.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case 1</th>
<th>Case 2</th>
<th>Case 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$f_{01} = 1.889, f_{02} = 1.889$</td>
<td>$f_{01} = 1.889, f_{02} = 1.889$</td>
<td>$f_{01} = 1.889, f_{02} = 1.889$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Q_{01} = 19.91, Q_{02} = 19.91$</td>
<td>$Q_{01} = 19.91, Q_{02} = 19.91$</td>
<td>$Q_{01} = 19.91, Q_{02} = 19.91$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_1 = 233, R_2 = 233$</td>
<td>$R_1 = 233, R_2 = 233$</td>
<td>$R_1 = 233, R_2 = 233$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$D = 0.02$</td>
<td>$D = 0.09$</td>
<td>$D = 0.0557$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4.13. Variation in the input resistance with different coupling coefficients.

Case 3 of the last scenario is essentially what should be realized (Table 4.5) in order to meet the specifications of covering 1.7-2.1GHz with VSWR=3. The reflection coefficient seen at the input, with a 50 Ohm feed line, is plotted in Fig. 4.14 for the ideal case from Table 4.1, along with that realized after implementing the aforementioned rapidly-converging iterative steps.
Fig. 4.14. Ideal response versus realized one from application of Golden Response iterations.
4.3.4 Design Steps

Having outlined some of the key formulations utilizing the proposed coupled antenna model and discussed the application of the concept of Golden Responses towards a rapid design methodology, the design cycle procedure can be summarized as follows [GS.11]:

1) Specify the required band edge frequencies \( f_{RL1} \) and \( f_{RL2} \). The bandwidth is given as \( BW = f_{RL2} - f_{RL1} \).
2) Specify the required VSWR level \( S \).
3) Use the following equation to determine the corresponding resonance center frequency: \( f_0 = \sqrt{f_{RL1} f_{RL2}} \).
4) Use the following equation to determine the corresponding quality factor, \( Q \), of the antenna: \( Q_0 = \frac{f_0 \sqrt{(S^2 - 1)(9S^2 - 1)}}{2S} \).
5) Use the following equation to determine the corresponding resistance, \( R_1 \), of the antenna connected to the feeding line at \( f_0 \): \( R_1 = \frac{3S^2 + 1}{2S} Z_0 \).
6) Design the antenna to resonate at the resonance frequency, with the required \( Q \) and required input resistance. Using \( Q_G \) or \( Q_B \) (>10) should significantly facilitate the design procedure.
7) Now add the second antenna. A good initial design is starting with identical dimensions for the first antenna. The required coupling is then defined from:

\[
D = J^2 R_2 = \frac{S \left( 3S^2 - 1 \right)}{Z_0 \left( 3S^2 + 1 \right)}.
\]

One should note that, when adding the second antenna, there will be mutual loading effects between the two antennas. Depending on the topology, this may result in a shift in the apparent resonance frequency, \( Q \), and input resistance of each of the antennas. However, utilizing the Golden Response should facilitate the design procedure. This means that once the second antenna loads the first one, observing the impedance behavior
rapidly informs us how the frequency, $Q$ and resistance have changed. This guides the designer to quickly adjust the antennas in an iterative manner to match the Golden Response. A possible practical route is to:

8) Use the “Frequency Golden Response” to synchronize the antenna frequencies to the desired value.
9) Use the “Quality Factor Golden Response” to tune the $Q$s to their desired values.
10) Use the “Resistance Golden Response” to tune the resistance of the first antenna to its desired value. For probe-fed antennas, this is simply implemented by changing the feed location.
11) Use the “Coupling Golden Response” to adjust the location of the maxima of the input resistance.

It is again emphasized that, in practical designs, steps 8–11 are implemented in an iterative manner. Nevertheless, following this design routine in the specific outlined order should yield a very fast converging design cycle.
### 4.3.5 Multiple-Coupled Lossy Resonators

By generalizing the analysis outlined earlier, it is possible to study the bandwidth limits associated with any number of coupled lossy resonators. Extending the aforementioned manipulations for N-identical coupled resonators, the resulting maximum achievable bandwidth may be written as:

\[
\frac{BW}{f_0}Q_0 = \frac{1}{2S}\left(\prod_{n=1}^{N}\left(1 + 8(n-1)S^2 - 1\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{N}}
\]

(4.44)

Fig. 4.15 illustrates the \(Q\)-BW limits for different VSWR values when using different numbers of coupled resonators. In Fig. 4.16, the resulting reflection coefficient at the feed port, meeting a VSWR limit of 3, is plotted for different numbers of coupled identical antenna models. Note that each antenna resonator model used in this plot has a \(Q\) of 10.

![Fig. 4.15. Q-BW limits versus different VSWR values.](image)

The results in (4.44) indicate that, by adding more resonators/radiators, one may extend the realizable impedance bandwidth for a given VSWR level. On an abstract level, there seems to be no limitation on the number of resonators to be used. However, physically, the more resonators used and the wider the bandwidth, the harder it is to realize a physical structure with the required coupling coefficients. In addition, for antenna
problems, there are usually other system requirements than the VSWR level, such as gain bandwidth, pattern, and polarization of the radiated field. In fact, with this proposed technique for the realization of wide-band multiple-coupled antenna designs, it is the radiated field behavior that sets the operational limits on the resulting performance.

Fig. 4.16. Resulting reflection coefficient at the feeding port.
4.4 Fano Limits Revisited

Lopez [150] and Hansen [138] studied the Fano limits [77] on broadband matching. In [150] Lopez presented a summary listing the $Q$-BW relations for some of the important cases. It should be noted that although the cases in [150] assume ideal lumped elements, in reality, these elements suffer from associated losses and thus degrade the efficiency of the system. Table 4.6 reproduces Table I of [150] with the addition of the results produced in this work.

Table 4.6. $Q$-BW Limitations from Prior Work Compared to This Work.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Impedance Matching Circuit</th>
<th>$Q \cdot FBW$</th>
<th>Q-FBW VSWR=2</th>
<th>Q-FBW VSWR=3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Single-Tuned Mid-band Match (non-Fano)</td>
<td>$\frac{S-1}{\sqrt{S}}$</td>
<td>0.707</td>
<td>1.155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single-Tuned Edge-band matching (Lopez-Fano, $n=1$)</td>
<td>$\frac{S^2-1}{2S}$</td>
<td>0.750</td>
<td>1.333</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double-Tuned Matching (Lopez-Fano, $n=2$)</td>
<td>$\sqrt{S^2-1}$</td>
<td>1.732</td>
<td>2.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two Coupled Antennas (This work, $n=2$)</td>
<td>$\sqrt{(S^2-1)(9S^2-1)}$</td>
<td>2.562</td>
<td>4.216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infinite-Tuned Matching (Fano-Bode, $n=\infty$)</td>
<td>$\frac{\pi}{\ln\left(\frac{S+1}{S-1}\right)}$</td>
<td>2.860</td>
<td>4.532</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Three Coupled Antennas (This work, $n=3$)</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2S}\left(\prod_{n=1}^{3}\left[\left(1+8(n-1)S^2-1\right)^{\frac{1}{3}}\right]\right)$</td>
<td>4.790</td>
<td>7.665</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

One may draw a number of interesting conclusions from Table 4.6. For example, using three coupled antennas can easily result in more than a 50% increase in bandwidth compared to using a simple single antenna with an infinite number of ideal matching elements. In fact, the two coupled antennas can realize an impedance bandwidth close to
that when using infinite ideal matching elements. Table 4.6 also highlights the fact that, by using two coupled antennas, one can easily achieve a four-fold increase in bandwidth compared to traditional single-tuned mid-band match. Such enlargements in the achievable bandwidths without utilizing any matching networks emphasize the importance and the potentials of circuit-based systematic design techniques for antennas.
4.5 Practical Examples

In this section, the presented procedure to design various common classes of antennas is applied. As will be demonstrated, the proposed approach will typically result in quite a fast design cycle. This is illustrated through the design of several commonly utilized configurations. Although the method is general and can easily handle fully 3D configurations (such as the dielectric resonator antennas and the 3D conformal metallization), the examples listed herein will focus on the planar technology. This is mainly due to its popularity and the ease of fabrication of the proof-of-concept prototypes. Two substrate materials are chosen for the designs: Rigid foam and FR4. The former is selected where maximum efficiency is required, while the latter is chosen since it is the most common material used in microwave circuits. Each of these two materials will result in different radiation and loss characteristics. However, it will be shown that the design method remains applicable, irrespective of the choice of the substrate material.

One interesting characteristic of coupled antennas is the excitation of odd mode distributions. With the presence of a slight amount of loss, these modes severely degrade the radiation efficiency, resulting in significant reduction in the radiated power. This is in addition to the fact that they typically alter the direction of the main radiation lobe completely. This feature could be quite useful in the design of asymmetric and symmetric radiation patterns with respect to the operating frequency, and thus will be elaborated further in the presented design examples.

4.5.1 Practical Considerations

The Golden Response plots discussed earlier were generated assuming simple two/multiple coupled resonators. This means that the effect of the feeding probe for patch antennas was not present in any of these models. Ignoring the probe inductance may alter the results substantially from those predicted by the simple model. On the other hand, merely adding it after applying the previous design routine will result in a design that deviates from meeting the VSWR bandwidth. Several research groups studied the calculation of the associated inductance with a feeding probe [6] [196] [268]. This allows
estimation of the value of inductance for a given substrate thickness. Thus, if the value is incorporated in the model before the actual design process starts, then a different Golden Response is generated, with proper embedding of the effect of the inductor on the design process. Fig. 4.18 shows the effect of a 2nH probe on the response of a simple coupled resonant circuit. When such an inductance is incorporated in the model before defining the resonator/radiator parameters, another set of different parameters is achieved, with a Golden Response that minimizes the feeding effect and hence yields better design results.

Fig. 4.17. Probe inductance is added for the circuit when modeling probe-fed antennas.

Fig. 4.18. Accounting for probe inductance before and after the design procedure.
4.5.2 Design of a Dual Mode Square Patch Antenna

A square/circular patch antenna can easily excite two orthogonal modes by perturbing one of the patch corners [153]-[158]. Several researchers tried to place the design of the patch into simplified systematic forms [159]-[161]. Here, the proposed procedure is applied to realize a wide-band dual-polarized antenna.

Fig. 4.19. Schematic for square patch antenna with notch and sample prototype.

Let us choose a 62 mil FR4 substrate for the design and start with a simple 35X35mm square patch, feeding it at 10mm from the edge along its axis. The patch impedance at 2GHz will be 56.43+j10.46, with a probe inductance of nearly 0.83nH and approximate Q of 32.5. Given the low value of inductance, the simple coupled model will be used and the inductance model added to it at the end of the procedure. For matching with a return loss of 10dB, the input impedance at 2 GHz needs to be adjusted to 157.36 Ohm. By varying the feed location from the edge through 10 to 8 to 1 mm, we can find the desired feed location (Fig. 4.20).
Fig. 4.20. Varying the feed location to achieve the desired value.

Now a 6 mm square notch is added to the corner of the patch, a shift in the resonance frequency is noticed, as seen in Fig. 4.21. It is clear that the synchronized resonance frequency of the two modes is slightly perturbed from the desired one.

Fig. 4.21. Effect of adding a notch to a simple square patch.
This shift can be adjusted by extending the side length to 35.6mm instead of 35mm. Fig. 4.22 clearly shows the resulting shift due to this increase in length.

![Graph showing the effect of increasing side length of patch.](image)

**Fig. 4.22. Effect of increasing side length of patch.**

After a very quick iterative routine following the proposed procedure, the final design is 35.8X35.8mm, with a notch of 6.6mm and a probe at 1mm. Fig. 4.23 shows the final result of the EM simulation with the plots expected from the circuit model (with and without) the probe inductance.
The three-dimensional radiation gain pattern is plotted at 2GHz in Fig. 4.24. In order to compare the radiation from the notched patch (N) to the simple one (S), the realized gain pattern (Fig. 4.25) is evaluated for each of the patches at three distinct sets of angles: \( \{ \phi = 0^\circ, \theta = 0^\circ \} \), \( \{ \phi = 0^\circ, \theta = 30^\circ \} \) and \( \{ \phi = 0^\circ, \theta = 60^\circ \} \). The realized gain pattern is defined as the ratio of the antenna’s radiation intensity in a given direction in watts per steradian to the total power incident at the antenna’s ports in watts.

\[
\text{Realized Gain} (\theta, \phi) = 4\pi \frac{U(\theta, \phi)}{P_{\text{inc}}} \quad (4.45)
\]

Note that the term “realized gain pattern” is used instead of the term “gain pattern” to include the mismatch at the antenna ports. This allows us to compare different antennas relative to fixed available power by the source at the input of the antenna. This will also be useful in looking at their filtering characteristics in subsequent sections.
Now, let us compare the polarization of the field produced by a notched patch to a simple one. In Fig. 4.26, the gain values are normalized to 0dB and the E field values are normalized to the maximum of Ex (or Ey). It is clear that the polarization characteristics
of the notched patch differ significantly from its simple square one. Still, for many applications, the notched antenna is considered a suitable candidate antenna.

Fig. 4.26. Normalized realized gain and field magnitudes of simple and notched patches.
4.5.3 Design of a Stacked Patch Antenna

There has been a noticeable interest in the stacked antenna topology, whether by stacking metallic patches [162]-[174] or by stacking dielectric resonators [146] [176] to achieve wider bandwidth and higher gain. Various design procedures are available in the literature. Some of them are based on general guidelines [159]-[161], some involve parameter extraction and curve fitting [146], and some apply extensive EM simulations in parallel with optimization routines to realize the desired response [147]-[148].

To illustrate the implementation of the proposed procedure, let us postulate that we need to synthesize a stacked antenna (using patches) to cover 200MHz bandwidth between 1.9-2.1GHz at a VSWR of 3. Starting with a simple patch of 34X20mm, such patch resonates at 2GHz with \( Q_0 = 36.3 \) on 62mil FR4. From Table 4.6, these parameters mean that this single patch should maximally cover \( f_0/Q_0 = 73.46 \) MHz, without using any external matching elements. If the substrate thickness is doubled (i.e., a 124 mil FR4 is used instead), the quality factor will be \( Q_0 = 27.9 \), which in turn can cover 95.5795 MHz at maximum. However, if we have two patches, of the same \( Q_0 = 36.3 \), then it is possible to cover up to 232.31 MHz. Hence, the stacking technique may be utilized to realize such a wide bandwidth in a small footprint.

Using the design procedure outlined earlier, the dimensions of the patch can be rapidly adjusted. One needs to start with the simple patch first. The location of the feed probe should be adjusted so that the input resistance is around 233. This is achieved by locating a 1.3mm probe at 3.5 mm from the radiating edge. It is important to mention that these values are achieved by using a 62mil FR4 cover, which will back the metallization for the other patch on top.

Next, another patch is placed on top of the upper substrate. Since the substrate height is fixed, the patch length and its relative location should be adjusted to realize the desired resonance and coupling factors. Following the Golden Responses, one would quickly reach an acceptable realization (Fig. 4.27), with a lower patch dimension of 33.6X20mm.
fed by a probe at 2.5mm from its radiation edge, and an upper patch of 36.7X20mm shifted by 4.3mm from the radiating edge of the lower patch.

![Fig. 4.27. Schematic for two-patch stacked antenna.](image)

Fig. 4.27. Schematic for two-patch stacked antenna.

Fig. 4.28 shows the reflection coefficient at the feed port for a single patch (S), a dual patch model (D), and a dual patch model with its probe inductance (D + probe), in addition to the full wave EM simulation of the realized stacked antenna.

![Fig. 4.28. Evolution of equivalent models of stacked antenna compared with realized EM simulation response.](image)

Fig. 4.28. Evolution of equivalent models of stacked antenna compared with realized EM simulation response.

It should be emphasized that realizing a wider bandwidth with coupled antennas usually results in variations of the pattern characteristics from the simple antenna case. In order
to investigate the pattern properties of the stacked antenna, the realized gain function is evaluated at the normal to the antenna plane, \( \{ \phi = 0^0, \theta = 0^0 \} \). The variation of this function versus frequency is plotted in Fig. 4.29, along with that of a single patch antenna. It is clear that there is a sharp reduction in radiation in the normal-to-patch direction around the higher band-edge frequencies. For clarification, the realized gain function is evaluated (Fig. 4.30) at two different frequencies, 1.95GHz, and 2.15GHz, over two cuts in space (the two normal planes to the antenna plane \( \phi = 0^0 \) and \( \phi = 90^0 \) ). It is noted that there is a significant reduction in radiation efficiency at the 2.15GHz compared to the 1.95GHz one. Although the radiation efficiency degrades rapidly near the higher band edge, there is still a significant increase in the gain bandwidth compared to the case of a single patch antenna.

![Fig. 4.29. Realized gain of single and presented stacked antennas versus frequency.](image)
4.5.4 Design of on-Foam Coupled Antennas: Asymmetric Response

In order to clearly assess the potential bandwidth increase when dividing a given area into a number of coupled patches, one option - instead of using a single patch - is to study a structure made of multiple copper plates backed on high quality rigid foam. Thus, all losses in the system will mainly be due to radiation, with very little conductor loss and negligible substrate losses. A simple 80X10mm patch separated by 8mm from the ground plane will have a resonant frequency at around 1.7GHz, with $Q$ of 39.5. The patch will theoretically cover a maximum bandwidth of around 57.5 MHz if used on its own. If another patch with the same $Q$ is added, the system can potentially cover up to 181.5 MHz for VSWR=3. It should be noted that adding a single matching network to the single patch would maximally cover up to 125.3 MHz. On a practical side, if another patch is added (of dimensions 76X10mm) to the simple patch and separated by 5 mm and 2 mm from the non-radiating and radiating edges, respectively, then it is possible to cover VSWR=3 bandwidth of about 143MHz. This is slightly less than the theoretical maximum limit of 181.4 MHz; however, it is an expected decrease due to the large probe inductance involved (about 4.5 nH). On the other hand, if a large patch of the same foot
print as the coupled patches, i.e., of size 80X25mm, is used, the patch will resonate at 1.643GHz, with a Q of 24.3. This has a maximum bandwidth of 90.1MHz. It is clear, then, that using more than one resonator in a given area could potentially cover more bandwidth than one larger antenna in the same area. Furthermore, it should be noted that the major difference between this example and the previous ones (including those in the relevant appendices) is the utilization of a foam substrate. Thus, the resulting quality factor is purely that of the radiation effect. It is to be noted that the choice of rectangular metallic patches merely serves in demonstrating the capabilities of the proposed method. An antenna designer may elect to chose other configurations (loop/triangular/slot structures, etc…) while maintaining the applicability of the design technique.

Fig. 4.31. Schematic for two coupled patches and their prototype on foam.

The simulated realized gain is plotted in Fig. 4.32, while the measured response is shown in Fig. 4.33. There is only a slight difference from simulation to measurement, which is largely attributed to the method of assembling the metallization on foam. The metal strips are cut, and a Kapton adhesive layer is placed on them to keep their relative orientation intact. Next, the adhesive metallic layer is placed on the foam (Fig. 4.31). The metallization adhesive layer, in addition to the Kapton one, introduces some variation in the effective dielectric constant and loss tangent, thus affecting the coupling coefficient and subsequently shifting the resulting response slightly from the simulated one.
In order to evaluate the realized gain, two identical antennas are placed facing each other at a separation of 20cm, and $S_{21}$ (in their broadside) is measured. Fig. 4.33 shows the measured scattering parameters for one of these antennas. It is noted that a sharp reduction in broadside radiation occurs out of the VSWR=3 band. However, observing the gain function over space (Fig. 4.34), one can see that the radiation mode at the higher band edge shifts from an even mode to an odd mode. With no loss in the system, the odd mode will significantly contribute to radiation in the end-fire direction. Actually, the realized maximum gain at 1.83GHz is 1.8dBi, while at 1.72 it is 8.75dBi. Fig. 4.35 shows the realized gain function versus frequency when evaluated at different angles off broadside. This realized gain plot reveals some important features. Specifically, outside the VSWR=3 bandwidth, the spatial radiated power significantly degrades over space. This suggests the potential use of such antennas in incorporating some useful filtering functionality. Moreover, the broadside radiation of this class of antennas experiences an interesting asymmetric sharp reduction in the radiated power. Interestingly, this feature can be easily extended to the realization of symmetric sharp reductions on the edges of the impedance bandwidth, as demonstrated in Appendix VI. Generally speaking, these filter-integrated antennas have the potential of significantly simplifying the front-end circuitry. Further studies on the control of these transmission zeros and the potential effect on the system signal to noise ratio might be an interesting topic for future work.
Fig. 4.32. Realized Gain and Directivity of single and coupled antennas.

Fig. 4.33. Measured response.
Fig. 4.34. Directivity (left) and realized gain (right) functions at 1.72GHz (broadside) and 1.83GHz (off-broadside).

Fig. 4.35. Realized gain function versus frequency when evaluated off-broadside.
4.6 Discussion and Conclusions

The simple filter-antenna analogy, discussed in Chapter 3, was used to develop a new theory for the design of multi-coupled antennas. This is a general design theory that significantly simplifies the antenna design process, especially when wider bandwidths are needed in a compact volume. Detailed steps were presented, along with a number of examples illustrating the usefulness of the proposed formulations. An observation was made about the possibility of integrating filtering functionalities into these types of antennas. In other words, it is possible to realize antennas with patterns featuring symmetric or asymmetric transmission zeros in the frequency plane (see Appendix VI for more examples). This is an interesting capability which is worth further studies to assess its potential (particularly for interference mitigation applications) and possibility for casting it into a generalized design strategy for filter-integrated antennas.

Despite of its merits, it is important to observe that the presented design technique is limited when a multi-band multi-feed antenna is desired. Hence, it is seeking a general technique to facilitate the design of multi-band multi-feed antennas that triggered the work discussed next.
Chapter 5: Modal Analysis Techniques for the Calculation of Antenna Q and Input Impedance

“You have to work hard to find the easy way”

H. A. Wheeler

Modal analysis among the circuit and filter communities is widely spread. A close look at the design steps of many of the available modern filters reveals the utilization of modal techniques as a key design component [24]. Notably, modal analysis of antennas has long been known in the antenna community. Lo and Richards contributed significantly to the advancement of this theory in the late 1970s and early 1980s [180]. However, their approach was limited in its accuracy due to the utilization of some theoretical predictions of the complex resonant frequencies of the antennas they studied. These predictions imposed significant limitations on the antennas’ structures that could be analyzed, along with limitations on the achievable $Q$ values. To date, modal analysis in antenna design has not evolved at the same rate it did in circuit/filter applications.

In this chapter, the modal theory of antennas is re-visited, believing that it brings invaluable information towards facilitating the design of multi-feed multi-band antennas. First, some subtle changes are proposed to enhance the applicability of this theory. Next, using some efficient computational techniques, the proposed formulations are shown to predict, to a very high accuracy, the input impedance of any antenna under study. This greatly simplifies the antenna problem and focuses design efforts on finding the appropriate complex resonance frequency to cover a required band. Finding the appropriate feed location is then a matter of extracting the corresponding impedance map for this antenna through simple field manipulations.
5.1 Review

There exists a plurality of work on antenna analysis using modal expansion techniques. The work of Harrington and Mautz is one example [86], and the work of Shen and MacPhie is another [88]. Remarkably, the analysis and design of printed antennas is widely documented in numerous research papers and assembled in several books [177]-[199]. In its simplest form, a planar printed antenna is modeled as a transmission line model with its radiating edges treated as slots. A more rigorous analysis came in 1977 by Professor Lo [180] who treated the planar printed antenna as a cavity. His work was amended by multiple refinements to the modal approach for antenna design [188]-[192]. However, the research following his approach essentially diminished since the early 1990s with rare subsequent occurrences in publications. This is primarily due to the limited accuracy of the approach when dealing with practical antenna designs. The limited accuracy was mainly attributed to the approximate calculation of the complex resonant frequency. This means that if such a frequency were calculated to a higher accuracy, then the technique would result in much better results. This will be the main focus of this chapter.

5.2 Modal Expansion

Although the transmission-line model is easy to use, it suffers from numerous disadvantages [185]. For instance, it is only useful for patches of rectangular shape, the fringe factor must be empirically determined, it ignores field variations along the radiating edge, and it is not adaptable to inclusion of the feed. These disadvantages are diminished in the modal expansion analysis technique, whereby the patch is viewed as a thin cavity with magnetic walls. In this technique, the field between the patch and the ground plane is expanded in terms of a series of cavity resonant modes or Eigen functions, along with its eigenvalues or resonant frequencies associated with each mode. The effect of radiation and other losses is represented in terms of either an artificially increased substrate loss tangent [185] or by the more elegant method of an impedance boundary condition at the walls [180] [182]. In fact, the later method results in a much more accurate formulation for the input impedance and resonant frequency for
rectangular, circular, and other simple geometrical patches, at only a modest increase in
dmathematical complexity. However, it remains quite limited when irregular geometries
are considered. To summarize, the traditional cavity model analysis implies that:

1. The E field has only a component normal to the surface of radiator.
2. The field is independent of the normal direction to the surface of patch, at all
frequencies under consideration.
3. The electric current has no component normal to the edge, at any point on the
edge. This means that the tangential H component should be negligible.

These implications will be dealt with in what follows, in view of the practical and typical
values in current antenna designs. If we consider a rectangular patch of width “a” and
length “b” over a ground plane with a substrate of thickness “h” and a dielectric
constant “\(\varepsilon_r\)”, with the patch axis “z” directed, then as long as the substrate is
electrically thin, the electric field will be \(z\)-directed and the interior modes will be

\[ \text{"TM}_{mn} \] to \( \text{"z}\), so that one can write:

\[
E_z(x, y) = \sum_m \sum_n A_{mn} e_{mn}(x, y) \tag{5.1}
\]

where \(A_{mn}\) are the mode amplitude coefficients and \(e_{mn}\) are the \(z\)-directed ortho-
normalized electric field mode vectors. For the basic scenario where magnetic walls
surround the cavity sides (i.e., non-radiating cavity), one can write [182]-[185]:

\[
e_{mn}(x, y) = \frac{X_{mn}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon \mu ab h}} \cos k_x x \cos k_y y \tag{5.2}
\]

with
\[
\chi_{mn} = \begin{cases} 
1, & m = 0 \text{ and } n = 0 \\
\sqrt{2}, & m = 0 \text{ or } n = 0 \\
2, & m \neq 0 \text{ and } n \neq 0 
\end{cases}
\] (5.3)

and

\[
k_{mn}^2 = \omega_{mn}^2 \mu \varepsilon = k_x^2 + k_y^2
\] (5.4)

where, for a non-radiating cavity, \( k_x = \frac{n \pi}{a} \) and \( k_y = \frac{m \pi}{b} \). The magnetic field ortho-normalized mode vectors are found from Maxwell’s equations as:

\[
h_{mn} = \frac{1}{j \omega \mu \sqrt{\varepsilon \mu}} \chi_{mn} \{ x k_y \cos k_x x \sin k_y y - y k_x \sin k_x x \cos k_y y \}
\] (5.5)

It is important to note that the boundary condition \( n \times h_{mn} = 0 \) is satisfied only if the cavity is non-radiating. When the cavity is allowed to radiate, the eigenvalues become complex, corresponding to complex resonant frequencies. This means that the magnetic field mode vectors no longer have a zero tangential component on the cavity side walls, which simply translates to limited accuracy for the analysis in its current form. Nevertheless, it is useful to probe further with the mathematical analysis and study the effect of underlying approximations.

If a z-directed current probe with constant current \( I_0 \) of small rectangular cross section \((d_x, d_y)\) is placed at \((x_0, y_0)\), then the coefficients of the electric mode vectors are found from [3]:

\[
A_{mn} = \frac{i \sqrt{\mu \varepsilon k}}{k^2 - k_{mn}^2} \iiint J \cdot e_{mn}^* dv
\] (5.6)

which reduces to:
where the probe feed effect (assuming a cylindrical probe) is included using:

\[
D_{mn} = \frac{\sin \left( \frac{n\pi d_x}{2a} \right)}{\frac{n\pi d_x}{2a}} \frac{\sin \left( \frac{m\pi d_y}{2b} \right)}{\frac{m\pi d_y}{2b}}
\]  

(5.8)

One should note that:

\[
k_{mn} = \tilde{\omega}_{mn} \sqrt{\mu\varepsilon}
\]  

(5.9)

with \( \tilde{\omega}_{mn} \) representing the complex resonant frequency of the \( mn \)th mode.

It is worth noting that the relation for the coefficients \( A_{mn} = \frac{j\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon k}}{k^2 - k_{mn}^2} \iint J \cdot e^*_{mn} dV \) is based on the orthogonal property of the mode vectors. However, the introduction of the radiation condition means that these mode vectors are no longer orthogonal in the strict sense. This requires care when dealing with thick substrates, and assuming validity of the coefficients.

Using (5.1) - (5.7), one can write:

\[
E_z(x, y) = \sum_m \sum_n \left( \frac{j\sqrt{\mu\varepsilon k}}{k^2 - k_{mn}^2} \iint J \cdot e^*_{mn} dV \right) \left( \frac{\chi_{mn}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon ab h}} \cos k_z x \cos k_y y \right)
\]

\[
= jI_0 \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \sum_m \sum_n \frac{D_{mn}}{k^2 - k_{mn}^2} \left( \frac{\chi_{mn}}{\sqrt{ab}} \cos k_z x_0 \cos k_y y_0 \right) \left( \frac{\chi_{mn}}{\sqrt{ab}} \cos k_z x \cos k_y y \right) \phi_{mn}(x_0, y_0) \phi_{mn}(x, y)
\]

(5.10)
This permits writing the voltage at the feed as:

\[
V_{in} = -\int_{0}^{h} E_z(x_0, y_0) \, dl
\]

\[
= -j I_0 h \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} k \sum_{m} \sum_{n} \frac{D_{mn}}{k^2 - k_{mn}^2}} \varphi_{mn}^2 (x_0, y_0)
\]

(5.11)

Now, assuming a uniform feed current, the input impedance can be written as:

\[
Z_{in} = \frac{V_{in}}{I_0}
\]

\[
= -j h \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon} k \sum_{m} \sum_{n} \frac{D_{mn}}{k^2 - k_{mn}^2}} \varphi_{mn}^2 (x_0, y_0)
\]

(5.12)

This form of the impedance expression suggests an equivalent circuit as that shown in Fig. 5.1. The \((0, 0)\) term with \(k_{00}\) represents the static capacitance term. The \((0, 1)\) term represents the dominant RF mode. The \((m, n)\) term represents the other RF modes of interest. All other higher order modes have negligible losses and sum to form a net inductance \(L_m\). Thus, one can write:

\[
Z_{in} = -j \frac{1}{\omega C_{dc}} - j h \omega \frac{\varphi_{ab}}{\varepsilon \omega^2} \sum_{m} \sum_{n} \frac{D_{mn}}{\omega^2 - \omega_{mn}^2} \varphi_{mn}^2 (x_0, y_0)
\]

\[
= -j \frac{1}{\omega C_{dc}} - j \omega \sum_{m} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{C_{mn}} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_{mn}^2} D_{mn}
\]

(5.13)

where

\[
C_{dc} = \frac{\varepsilon ab}{h}
\]

(5.14)

with

\[
\varphi_{mn} (x_0, y_0) = \frac{\chi_{mn} \psi_{mn} (x_0, y_0)}{\sqrt{ab}}
\]

(5.15)
Now, noting that:

\[ e_{mn}(x, y) = \frac{\chi_{mn}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon abh}} \psi_{mn} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.16)

it is then possible to write:

\[ C_{mn}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{h \frac{1}{\varepsilon ab} \chi_{mn}^2 \psi_{mn}^2 (x_0, y_0)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.17)

\[ = \frac{1}{h^2 e_{mn}^2 (x_0, y_0)} \]

For a high \( Q \) equivalent circuit, it is possible to write [182]-[185]:

\[ R_{mn}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{Q_{mn}^{\text{rad}}}{\text{Re}\{\omega_{mn}\} C_{mn}(x_0, y_0)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.18)

and

\[ L_{mn}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{\left(\text{Re}\{\omega_{mn}\}\right)^2 C_{mn}(x_0, y_0)} \]  \hspace{1cm} (5.19)
Thus, in order to find the circuit representation, one needs to find $\omega_{mn}$ and $Q^{rad}_{mn}$. It should be noted that if the antenna is lossy, $Q^{rad}_{mn}$ should be replaced by $Q^{total}_{mn}$, denoting the total loss mechanism in the antenna.

At this stage, a number of parameters should be identified to evaluate (5.17) to (5.19). These are:

1. $\omega_{mn}$
2. $Q^{rad}_{mn}$
3. $e_{mn}(x_0, y_0)$

Several techniques addressed the evaluation of these parameters [182]-[193]. Among them is treating the radiating walls of the patch by an impedance boundary condition leading to a complex transcendental eigenvalues equation. One widely adopted form was produced in [182] which besides being an approximate formula and only valid for thin substrates, requires some form of iterative solution. In addition, the analysis becomes excessively complex for thick substrates, or for complex structure printed antennas. Nevertheless, once the complex Eigen frequency (or wave vector) for a mode is found, its corresponding quality factor is then approximated by [3], [182]-[185]:

$$Q^{rad}_{mn} = \frac{\text{Re}\{k_{mn}\}}{2\text{Im}\{k_{mn}\}}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.20)

To summarize, the most utilized form for the calculation of the radiation quality factor adopts three main approximations. First, radiation is accounted for through some approximate impedance boundary condition. This might be acceptable for simple patches of a high $Q$. However, it is quite difficult to produce satisfactory results for realistic antennas with low $Q$ and/or multiple slots. Second, the complex Eigen frequency is found using formulations based on assuming orthogonal source mode vectors. This assumption
implies deteriorated accuracy with more radiation from the antenna. Third, the \( Q \) expression in (5.20) is itself an approximate one and results in an additional error for low quality factor values. Hence, seeking a more accurate and generally applicable approach lets us investigate the problem from a slightly different point of view.

### 5.3 Quality Factor of a General Resonant Circuit

Let us first study the approximation in (5.20) by considering a lossy transmission line terminated in short circuit at both ends. The condition of free oscillation is given by:

\[
Z_0 \tanh \gamma \ell = 0 \tag{5.21}
\]

where

\[
Z_0 = \sqrt{\frac{R' + sL'}{G' + sC'}} \tag{5.22}
\]

and

\[
\gamma = \sqrt{(R' + sL')(G' + sC')} \tag{5.23}
\]

where \( R' \), \( G' \), \( L' \), and \( C' \) are the resistance, conductance, inductance and capacitance per unit length, respectively. Hence, for natural oscillation we need:

\[
\gamma \ell = \pm jm\pi \tag{5.24}
\]

That is:

\[
s^2 + \left( \frac{R'}{L'} + \frac{G'}{C'} \right) s + \left[ \frac{R'G'}{L'C'} + \left( \frac{m\pi}{L'C'} \right)^2 \right] = 0 \tag{5.25}
\]

yielding a solution in the form:
\[
s_m = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R'}{L'} + \frac{G'}{C'} \right) \pm j \sqrt{\frac{m \pi}{\sqrt{L'C'}}} - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{R'}{L'} - \frac{G'}{C'} \right) \right]^2 \quad m = 1, 2, \ldots \tag{5.26}
\]

In a similar fashion, if the problem is simplified to a parallel \( RLC \) circuit, where \( R(G) \), \( L \) and \( C \) denote the total resistance (conductance), inductance, and capacitance, respectively, one can then write:

\[
s_0 = -\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{G}{C} \right) \pm j \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \left[ \frac{1}{2} \left( -\frac{G}{C} \right) \right]^2}, \quad \omega_0 = \frac{1}{\sqrt{LC}}
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \omega_0 \left( \frac{1}{Q_0} \right) \pm j \sqrt{\omega_0^2 - \omega_0^2 \left( \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{Q_0} \right)^2}, \quad Q_0 = \frac{\omega_0 C}{G} \tag{5.27}
\]

\[
= -\frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0}{Q_0} \pm j \omega_0 \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{1}{2Q_0} \right)^2}
\]

which can be re-written as:

\[
\omega_{res} = \omega_r + j \omega_i
\]

\[
= \omega_0 \sqrt{1 - \left( \frac{1}{2Q_0} \right)^2} + j \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0}{Q_0} \tag{5.28}
\]

These two simple examples show that for circuits adequately modeled by a lossy transmission line, or a series/parallel RLC tank, the Eigen solution can be used to extract \( \omega_0 \) and \( Q_0 \), respectively, through:

\[
\omega_0 = \sqrt{\omega_r^2 + \omega_i^2} \tag{5.29}
\]

and

\[
Q_0 = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{\omega_r^2 + \omega_i^2}}{\omega_i} \tag{5.30}
\]
Noting that for high $Q$, the resonance frequency can be well approximated by:

$$\omega_{res} = \omega_0 + j \frac{1}{2} \frac{\omega_0}{Q_0}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.31)

Fig. 5.2 illustrates the variation of the apparent resonance frequency with $Q$. It shows that, for an error less than 1% in the estimation of the real part of the complex resonance frequency using (5.31), $Q$ should then have a value of more than 4. A typical antenna would most probably have a larger $Q$ value. However, in several emerging applications (such as the wireless implant devices), the $Q$ can be much lower.

![Graph showing the variation of the real frequency with Q.](image)

Fig. 5.2. Variation of the real frequency with $Q$.

Now, assuming that the modal expansion is valid for any antenna $Q$, and seeking a generalized expression for the input impedance, it is of a higher accuracy and generalized applicability, than those in (5.18) - (5.20), to write:
\[ Z_{in} = -j \frac{1}{\omega C_{dc}} - j \frac{\omega}{\varepsilon_{ab}} \sum_{m} \sum_{n} \frac{D_{mn}}{\omega^2 - \omega_{mn}^2} \chi_{mn}^2 \psi_{mn}^2 (x_0, y_0) \]

\[ = -j \frac{1}{\omega C_{dc}} - j \omega \sum_{m} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{C_{mn}} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_{mn}^2} D_{mn} \]

\[ \omega_{mn0} = \sqrt{(\text{Re}(\omega_{mn}))^2 + (\text{Im}(\omega_{mn}))^2} \] (5.33)

\[ Q_{mn0}^{\text{rad}} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\sqrt{(\text{Re}(\omega_{mn}))^2 + (\text{Im}(\omega_{mn}))^2}}{\text{Im}(\omega_{mn})} \] (5.34)

with \( Q_{mn0}^{\text{rad}} \) replaced by \( Q_{mn0}^{\text{total}} \) for a lossy antenna. Thus,

\[ C_{mn} (x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{\hbar^2 \psi_{mn}^2 (x_0, y_0)} \] (5.35)

\[ R_{mn} (x_0, y_0) = \frac{Q_{mn0}^{\text{rad}}}{\omega_{mn0} C_{mn} (x_0, y_0)} \] (5.36)

\[ L_{mn} (x_0, y_0) = \frac{1}{\omega_{mn0}^2 C_{mn} (x_0, y_0)} \] (5.37)

At this point, there are still two major approximations with the modal theory that need to be studied: the use of the impedance boundary condition, and the effect of radiation on the orthogonality condition and all of the associated formulations.

### 5.4 Eigen Mode Solver

As discussed earlier, in order to realize an \( RLC \) equivalent network for an antenna, we need to have accurate knowledge of the value of the complex modal resonance frequency \( \omega_{mn} \). Using approximate impedance boundary conditions is limited in its application to antennas with simple configurations and high \( Q \) values. Moreover, modern antenna designs typically feature structures with several slots, along with relatively low \( Q \) values.
This severely limits the utilization of the concept of impedance boundary conditions for such a problem.

One possible alternate method, given the advancements in the computational powers and techniques, is to utilize a generalized EM Eigen solver. Several numerical Eigen solvers found their way recently into leading commercial EM software, targeting applications in acoustics, photonics, and RF filters. A brief list of EM-based commercial Eigen solvers would include MAFIA (using Finite Integration), HFSS from Ansoft (using finite elements), and the EM Solver Module of COMSOL Multiphysics (using finite elements).

The EM finite elements approach for the Eigen frequency search is a variational technique in which a minimization process automatically seeks out the characteristic solution (see Appendix VI). This is usually done in a numerical process that truncates the space around the modeled structure in a perfect metallization. However, driven by needs for better radar cross section analysis, the technique was substantially improved, allowing for the incorporation of perfectly absorbing materials for truncation of the solution space and thus adequately simulating the space bounds of the antenna. This yields a different and more general approach compared to the earlier method in [3] and [182]-[185], where the interior region of the antenna is mathematically decoupled from the exterior region through the use of an equivalent aperture admittance as the boundary condition. It can be readily seen that the earlier approach is limited by the accuracy of the equivalent aperture admittance. However, using a numerical Eigen solver, this limitation does not exist anymore, since the solver domain is only truncated at the absorbing boundaries. This allows for the calculation of complex Eigen frequencies of any general antenna. To date, very little published research utilizes these capabilities. In fact, just recently, Stuart [203]-[204] adopted the concept of Eigen mode analysis using COMSOL to study the $Q$ of small 3D antennas.

It is noteworthy to mention at this point that Eigen solvers are also available in some Method of Moments (MOM) codes. For example, the open source Matlab Antenna toolbox [30] features such a capability. However, the MOM Eigen solvers suffer from severe complexities when finite dielectrics are included in the analysis. This results in a
significant decrease in both the computational speed and the resulting accuracy, especially when compared to those achieved by the FEM Eigen solvers.

As an example to illustrate some of the features of EM Eigen solvers, let us consider a patch antenna with dimensions \( L = 35\, \text{mm} \) and \( W = 25\, \text{mm} \), on a foam substrate, and a height of \( H = 200\, \text{mil} \), placed on an infinite ground plane. Such a patch can be easily studied using a 3D Eigen mode solver like those available from COMSOL or Ansoft (which became part of ANSYS). Fig. 5.3 shows the magnitude of the electric field for the first three resonant modes of the patch. The solution set for each mode contains the complex resonant frequency in addition to the electric and magnetic field vectors. This information is sufficient to construct the \( RLC \) model for a resonant antenna, as discussed in the next section. Fig. 5.4 illustrates how the complex resonant frequency calculation converges during different simulation steps.

![Normalized electric field magnitude maps of first three modes.](image)
5.5 Adopted Antenna Modeling and Input Impedance Calculations

Let us consider a randomly shaped antenna having an axis aligned with the z-axis and placed on top of a PCB with relative permittivity $\varepsilon_r$. Let us also assume that the antenna will be probe-fed. So the target here is evaluate the input impedance at some arbitrary feed locations without having to undergo multiple full-wave driven simulations (to find the best feed location from an impedance point of view). The setup for a numerical Eigen problem would take the form shown in Fig. 5.5, but without any feed-specific model. The Eigen fields would then occupy all the space surrounding the antenna (reflecting all possible fringing fields). To utilize the modal data in calculating the input impedance, one needs to evaluate:

$$Z_{in}(x_0, y_0, z_0 = h) = \frac{V_{in}(x_0, y_0, z_0 = h)}{I_{in}(x_0, y_0, z_0 = h)} = \frac{-\int_0^h E_z(x_0, y_0, z)\, dz}{\int J(x_0, y_0, z_0 = h)\, ds} \quad (5.38)$$
Fig. 5.5. Setup for the Eigen problem. Outer cavity bounded with PEC walls.

The electric field between the top antenna metalization and the ground plane may then be expanded using the Eigen field functions as [2] [3]:

\[
E_z (x, y, z) = j \omega \mu \sum_p \frac{1}{k_z^2 - k_p^2} \langle J_p, \psi_p \rangle \psi_p (x, y, z)
\]  

(5.39)

where

\[
\langle J_p, \psi_p \rangle = \int J_p \psi_p^* dv
\]  

(5.40)

and

\[
\langle \psi_p, \psi_p \rangle = \int \psi_p \psi_p^* dv
\]  

(5.41)

Note that the modal field for mode \( p \) is given by \( E_{zp} = \psi_p (x, y, z) \) and \( v \) denotes the volume surrounding the antenna and enclosed by the PML cover. Also, note that in (5.38) and all of the subsequent formulations, the surface and volume integrals are written in a compact format for simplicity. In terms of the complex resonance frequency, the electric field at the source location may be re-written as:
\[ E_z(x_0, y_0, z_0) = j\omega \sum_p \frac{1}{\varepsilon_\omega^2 - \omega_p^2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \langle J, \psi_p \rangle \psi_p(x_0, y_0, z_0) \]  

(5.42)

As discussed in section 5.4, the complex resonant frequency and the normalized field distribution are direct outcomes of any FEM Eigen mode solver. Thus, the field normalization process can be easily carried out as a post-processing numerical integration step. Hence, one can write:

\[ Z_{in}(x_0, y_0, z_0 = h) = -j\omega h^2 \sum_p \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \psi_p \psi_p^* dv \]  

(5.43)

The input impedance can then be re-written in an expandable form of a parallel RLC circuit as:

\[ Z_{in}(x_0, y_0, z_0) = -j \frac{1}{\omega C_{dc}} - j\omega \sum_p \frac{1}{\varepsilon C_p(x_0, y_0, z_0)} \frac{1}{\omega^2 - \omega_p^2} \]  

(5.44)

where \( C_{dc} \) denotes the dc capacitance of the patch (DC mode), and

\[ \frac{1}{\varepsilon C_p(x_0, y_0, z_0)} = h^2 \psi_p^*(x_0, y_0, z_0) \psi_p(x_0, y_0, z_0) \frac{1}{\varepsilon} \int \psi_p \psi_p^* dv \]  

(5.45)

\[ R_p(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \frac{Q_{rad}}{\omega_{p0} C_p(x_0, y_0, z_0)} \]  

(5.46)

\[ L_p(x_0, y_0, z_0) = \frac{1}{\omega_{p0}^2 C_p(x_0, y_0, z_0)} \]  

(5.47)

with

\[ \omega_{p0} = \sqrt{\left( \text{Re}(\omega_p) \right)^2 + \left( \text{Im}(\omega_p) \right)^2} \]  

(5.48)
The generalized equivalent circuit for a multimode radiator can then be developed, as in Fig. 5.6. It should be noted that an additional inductance is added to account for the inductance associated with probe feeding. $C_{dc}$ is the dc capacitance, where its associated loss is typically ignored when using low-loss substrate materials. The $R_p L_p C_p$ circuit represents the fundamental antenna mode, with $R_p L_p C_p$ representing the higher order modes taken into consideration. The term $L'$ is associated with an approximation for the effect of the higher order modes that are not considered in detailed analysis.

As discussed, the forms in (5.42) and (5.44) are easier to evaluate and more accurate than that in (5.13). However, it is important to mention that both were developed assuming orthogonal mode expansions, a condition which is not strictly satisfied when treating the antenna as a lossy cavity (due to radiation and/or material losses). Notably, most published research does not seem to mind the effect of such approximation on the published data (mainly using impedance boundary condition at the patch edges). For
example, Chow and Luk derived a CAD formula for rectangular patch antennas on thick substrates where the error in the calculated antenna impedance is less than 3% [201].

It is important to note that the accuracy of the Eigen analysis is further mystified when trying to assess the validity of modal expansion technique using numerical PML boundaries. Observing Fig. 5.5, one would notice that the problem space is bounded by PEC walls defining a metal cavity. The PMLs are placed inside the cavity to encompass the space around the antenna. From an Eigen point of view, this can be classified as modal analysis of an inhomogeneous cavity. For the orthogonality condition to remain valid, this metal cavity needs to remain lossless. Here the choice of PML boundaries makes a significant impact on the orthogonality of the Eigen modes, since the most prevalent formulations include that of [207] which involves lossy PML, and that in [208] which is a lossless form. The former is easier to implement numerically, while the latter is preferable from a formulation point of view. Regardless of the nature of the PML, as will be shown later, the Eigen-based method remained useful for presenting first-order designs of many different types of antennas, despite the lack of strict orthogonality among the Eigen modes.
5.6 Effect of the Feeding Mechanism

Before being able to construct the impedance response (following Fig. 5.6), one first needs to account for the feeding mechanism. Proximity coupling and aperture feeding are among the possible schemes [6] [7] [8] [191] [195] [196] [268]. In this section, the probe-feeding mechanism is chosen as an example for discussion. For most typical probe-fed antennas, the probe can be modeled with an inductance, $L_{probe}$. There are several papers on the modeling of such an inductance. The work in [200] summarizes different techniques for the calculations and presents an interesting discussion on their accuracy.

Fig. 5.7 shows a pictorial that illustrates a typical circuit resonance with and without a feed probe. The reactance plot clearly shows the effect of the probe reactance on a parallel resonant circuit model, with no effect (as expected) on the resistance plot. The values shown are for $L_{patch} = 1\text{nH}, C_{patch} = 1\text{pF}, R_{patch} = 100\Omega$, and a common probe inductance of $L_{probe} = 2\text{nH}$. This means that care should be exercised when developing impedance models for the antennas, since the feed inductance can severely affect the realizable impedance bandwidth.

Fig. 5.7. Impedance plots of circuit model with and without feed probe.
Apart from the circuit-model manipulations, and to clearly assess the effect of the probe inductance on the input impedance of antennas, let us study the variation of patch antenna impedance when varying the probe location. Fig. 5.8 shows a typical rectangular patch configuration, with its typical mesh layout and fundamental mode field configuration. The substrate is chosen to be foam with $\varepsilon_r = 1$ and no associated losses. The substrate height is allowed to vary in discrete values: $H = 20$ mil, $H = 100$ mil, and $H = 200$ mil. For illustration purposes, the patch is chosen as a fixed rectangular metallic strip with dimensions of length $L = 25$ mm (y-dimension) and width $W = 35$ mm (x-dimension). This means that the fundamental mode is directed longitudinally along the patch's width. The effect of a probe feeding a patch antenna at different feed locations $(x_f, y_f) = (X_{loc}, Y_{loc})$ is studied in Fig. 5.9 - Fig. 5.12. (Origin of the co-ordinate system is located at the center of the patch).

Fig. 5.8. Typical CAD model of probe-fed patch antenna demonstrated using its meshing configuration and the resulting field distribution of its first mode at resonance.

Fig. 5.9 illustrates the variation of the input reactance that occurs from varying the feed location across the x-direction for different substrate heights. The reactance remains fairly constant for each fixed height. On the other hand, Fig. 5.10 shows that the input
resistance varies, as predicted, from (5.46) and is not affected by the associated probe inductance.

Fig. 5.9. Reactance variation with moving feed location in the x-direction.

Fig. 5.10. Reactance variation with moving feed location in the x-direction.

Fig. 5.11 and Fig. 5.12 show how the antenna reactance and resistance, respectively, vary across the y-direction. Varying the probe location across the y-direction adds some complexity to the analysis, such that simple probe models are not valid anymore [200].
This is mainly due to the fact that most of the analysis on probe inductance assumes symmetric placement across the axis of a patch antenna or a parallel plate waveguide [2]. In reality, when the probe is closer to one edge than the other, it will suffer from some unbalanced currents, possibly explained by applying the image theorem at the probe location. Thus, in realistic antenna design with several slots and complex shapes, the exact calculation of the probe inductance at a random feed location is quite a tedious task. A similar challenge arises when dealing with proximity or aperture feeding mechanisms (i.e., how to extract a transformer model to properly represent the proximity/aperture scheme for an arbitrary shaped 3D antenna). Such cases require further investigation. However, as illustrated next, the method is quite efficient in facilitating the design process of many practical antennas.

Fig. 5.11. Reactance variation with moving the feed location in the y-direction.
5.7 The Concept of Impedance Maps

A close look at (5.43) - (5.49) suggests the possibility of creating a map of the input impedance at each point of an antenna surface when fed by a probe. As discussed in the previous section, the probe inductance may significantly affect the input reactance. In addition, there is no accurate formulation to predict its associated inductance when feeding through an arbitrary off-axis location. However, the input resistance remains nearly unaffected. The ability of creating such maps is of great importance. Traditional techniques involve a large number of parametric trials and optimization cycles until a desired feed resistance is found. With the proposed concept, it is shown that seeking a location with specific input resistance is reduced to a single Eigen mode simulation and some simple field processing procedures.

To illustrate the proposed concept of impedance maps, two examples are presented [GS.10]. First, an E-Slot antenna [158] [202] is analyzed through the modal solver. By analyzing its first two fundamental modes, it is possible to create the associated resistance maps. These are then used to find proper feed locations to have
dual-feed dual-band antenna, as well as a single-feed dual-band antenna. Second, a very simple 3D conformal antenna, suitable for operation in a portable device at the GSM low band, is presented. The concept of impedance maps is then demonstrated by facilitating the determination of an appropriate feeding location.

### 5.7.1 Dual-Band Single-Feed and Dual-Feed Dual-Band Antenna Designs

An E-Slot antenna \([158] [202]\) is widely known in the antenna community. It is simply a patch antenna with two slots forming the shape of the letter “E”. It is usually used to realize two close resonances and thus meet a wider bandwidth requirement, which is not achievable with a simple patch of the same dimensions. Also, it is sometimes used to create two resonances apart, to meet some dual band requirement. The latter application will be studied here. Let us arbitrarily choose the following values for the parameters shown in Fig. 5.13: \(L = 65\), \(W = 105\), \(W_1 = 15.3\), \(W_2 = 6.3\), \(L_s = 47\), and \(H = 3\text{mm}\) on a foam substrate. An Eigen mode simulation is applied seeking the first two fundamental modes of such patch. Fig. 5.14 shows a screen capture of the Eigen solver report solved in HFSS, and processed in Matlab, with Fig. 5.15 - Fig. 5.18 illustrating the resulting modal electric field and surface current distributions of both modes.

![Fig. 5.13. The E-Slot antenna.](image)
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Complex Frequency</th>
<th>Extracted Q</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2.0586+j*0.045109</td>
<td>22.824</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.2591+j*0.046232</td>
<td>24.4373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 5.14. Screen capture of the modal solution results.

Fig. 5.15. Electric field distribution of the first fundamental mode.
Fig. 5.16. Electric field distribution of the second fundamental mode.

Fig. 5.17. Surface current distribution of the first fundamental mode.
Now, using the resulting information from the Eigen solver and processing it using (5.44) - (5.49), one can plot the resistance values at any location on the patch surface, without the need for excessive parametric procedures. Fig. 5.19 and Fig. 5.20 show the resulting maps for each of the modes, respectively. For comparison, Fig. 5.21 compares the normalized input resistance across the center line of the E-patch at 2.05GHz (when generated using an actual feeding probe through driven simulations) versus that predicted from the map. A very good correlation is observed. As noted in Fig. 5.19 and Fig. 5.20, there are a number of separate feed locations that can each excite one of the modes, while slightly perturbing the other. By placing two physical feed probes at the locations highlighted in Fig. 5.19 and Fig. 5.20, one realizes a dual-band dual-feed antenna with its response verified through a single driven numerical simulation, as shown in Fig. 5.22.
Fig. 5.19. The resulting resistance map for the first mode with a feed location suitable for dual feed operation.

Fig. 5.20. The resulting resistance map for the second mode with a feed location suitable for dual feed operation.
Using the same maps, one can easily find a feed location to excite both modes of interest, simultaneously. Fig. 5.23 and Fig. 5.24 highlight one possible location. Using (5.44) and the modal analysis information, it is possible to predict the return loss plot of such single-feed dual band antenna. Fig. 5.25 compares the resulting return loss plot from using (5.44) with that from a single driven simulation with an actual feed placed at the location shown in Fig. 5.23. It is noteworthy to observe that the deviation seen in Fig. 5.25 may be
attributed to two important factors. First, only the first two modes were utilized in (5.44). Adding more modes should increase the accuracy of the model, at the expense of more computational complexity. Second, placing the feed probe near the corner of the patch implies some inaccuracy in the utilized probe formulation (as discussed in section 5.6).
Fig. 5.23. The resulting resistance map for the first mode with a feed location suitable for single feed operation.

Fig. 5.24. The resulting resistance map for the second mode with a feed location suitable for single feed operation.
5.7.2 Design of a Smartphone Antenna

The previous example featured a planar antenna. To verify the usefulness and the generality of the proposed modal analysis approach, this example discusses the design of a conformal 3D cell phone antenna covering the GSM900 band. The design flow can be listed as follows:

1. Simple estimations using the $Q$-BW relations discussion in Chapter 4 indicate that we need a $Q$ of around 11 to cover GSM900 band with VSWR of 3.
2. For a smartphone board of 45X90mm, one may use a simple strip wrapped around the edge of the board, as shown in Fig. 5.26. The wrapped strip has an overall height of 4mm and is connected to the board through a short strip connection. The exact dimensions are determined from an Eigen mode simulation that searches for the required complex frequency to meet the bandwidth requirements. After some parametric trials, it is possible to have a design with modal data, as shown in Fig. 5.27. Here, the first fundamental radiating mode of the antenna is numbered as Mode 2. Its quality factor is around 9.6, which ensures meeting the required impedance bandwidth. It is worth mentioning that Mode 1 in Fig. 5.27 denotes a non-radiating numerical artifact mode associated with the perfect matching layers that terminate the space around the cell board.

3. Once a satisfactory $Q$ is realized at the desired resonance frequency, the field data associated with the simulated structure is processed and the impedance (resistance) maps are generated (see Fig. 5.28). Studying these maps, one can rapidly find a suitable location for the feeding probe. Fig. 5.29 shows the resulting return loss from a numerical driven simulation of the cell antenna. It can be seen that the required bandwidth was successfully covered.

Fig. 5.26. Visualization of a simple smartphone antenna.
Fig. 5.27. Screen snapshot of the resulting modal data.

Fig. 5.28. Impedance (resistance) map of the smartphone antenna.
To further demonstrate the accuracy of the generated maps, Fig. 5.30 and Fig. 5.31 show the predicted input resistance at two arbitrary locations. Next, an actual feed probe is used in a number of driven simulations. The probe location is fixed across the x-axis, and is allowed to vary across the y-dimension. The resulting input resistance is shown in Fig. 5.32. Comparing Fig. 5.30, Fig. 5.31, and Fig. 5.32, there is a maximum of 5% deviation between the results from the maps and those from the driven simulations. This is an acceptable accuracy, considering that the resistance maps were generated for only the first fundamental radiating mode. Including a few more additional modes should enhance the accuracy at the expense of more computational efforts.
Fig. 5.30. The resistance map at \((x,y) = (45,-14)\).

Fig. 5.31. The resistance map at \((x,y) = (45,-2)\).
5.7.3 Tunable Capacitor-Loaded Antenna

Let us consider a probe-fed patch antenna design of 60X40mm, on a 3mm foam layer over a large ground plane. The dominant radiation mode of the antenna resonates at 2.16GHz. Let us further assume that the antenna shape has to be fixed, while meeting a VSWR=2:1 or better from 1.9GHz to 2.1GHz. A look at the antenna scattering parameters using a probe 5 mm off-center of the patch's long edge, and using the aforementioned $Q$ calculations, it becomes clear that no $RLC$ matching network exists to enable meeting the requisite bandwidth. One alternative is to use a tunable matching network. One realization for this network is shown in Fig. 5.34, where $L_1 = 22\,[\text{nH}]$, $C_1 = 1\,[\text{pF}]$, and $C_2$ varies from $1\,[\text{pF}]$ to $4\,[\text{pF}]$. Variable capacitors are widely available in various technologies, such as MEMS, BST or Silicon. Unfortunately, there is no such thing as ideal components, and all inductors and capacitors will have an associated $Q$ value. When dealing with portable devices, one is typically bounded by surface mount devices (SMDs) to keep the circuit footprint as small as possible. The
inductor $Q$ of the 0402 family is typically less than 15, with slightly better numbers for the capacitors [205]. The $Q$ numbers are even worse for smaller families as the 0201. Currently, higher $Q$ values are possible, but with a significant markup on price [205]. Thus, when considering the component losses in the matching network, and assuming a $Q$ of 20 for the inductors and $Q$ of 25 for the capacitors, the overall system efficiency (match+antenna) would range from 70% to 80% across 1.9GHz to 2.1GHz instead of the near 100% efficiency for a metal-based naturally-matched resonant patch antenna on a foam substrate.

Another critical issue associated with matching networks is the ability to withstand high peak RF voltages when the load is in a high VSWR scenario. For example, let us consider feeding the aforementioned antenna when matched with the circuit in Fig. 5.34, using a 34dBm signal. Let us also assume using a silicon-based solution, as that from Peregrine Semiconductors. The peak RF voltage rating of such a tunable capacitor is typically much less than 30V. Interestingly, a quick calculation of the RF voltages across $C_2$ for different load conditions reveals that the capacitor may in fact suffer from much higher voltages (Fig. 5.35, courtesy of Peregrine Semiconductors). This is quite a serious issue that limits the practical application of the tunable capacitor concept in many applications.
Fig. 5.33. Scattering parameters of the probe-fed patch antenna.

Fig. 5.34. Possible matching network.
Let us now consider an alternate solution by connecting the tunable capacitor directly to the antenna metallization. This topology is widely adopted in reconfigurable antenna solutions [206]. Here, the Eigen mode analysis discussed earlier can be applied to find the optimum location and value of the tunable element, in order to cover the desired range of frequencies. A capacitor $Q$ of 25 was used in all numerical simulations. It was found that placing the capacitor 10mm off-center across the long edge of the patch antenna would suffice. It is important to note that placing the capacitor directly at the edge would result in a wider tuning range at the expense of lower antenna efficiency. Fig. 5.36 shows a pictorial of the probe-fed antenna when loaded with a tunable capacitor at 10mm off-center (probe placed 15mm apart from capacitor). Fig. 5.37 demonstrates the tuning range of the antenna. A full-wave simulation reveals that the antenna has an overall efficiency of 90% or higher for all tuning states. In addition, the peak RF voltage remains below 17V in all cases. This example shows the versatility of the Eigen mode method in tackling tunable-based designs. It also demonstrates that loading the antenna with the tunable element has some system advantages compared to relying on a tunable matching network.
Fig. 5.36. Probe-fed patch antenna loaded with a tunable capacitor.

Fig. 5.37. The variation in the input reflection coefficient of the antenna for different capacitor values.
5.8 Discussion and Conclusions

In this chapter, the modal theory of antennas was re-visited. Through some basic analysis, a number of limitations with the commonly used formulations were highlighted. Some subtle changes were proposed to increase the range of validity and improve the accuracy of the relevant formulations. Through these formulations, the designer will always have relevant information about the maximum attainable bandwidth, the operational frequency, and the radiation pattern of any antenna at hand.

In addition, the concept of impedance maps was proposed and was demonstrated as an efficient design tool through a number of design examples. This is a special concept that allows the designer to predict beforehand the impedance values at any location on a general antenna, without need for parametric and optimization trials seeking an appropriate feed location. Notably, the presented implementation steps are quite general and can be easily used towards the design of multi-band multi-feed antennas. In fact, the proposed method have been successfully implemented in designing several in-market antennas, ranging from indoor distributed antennas to handheld devices with full metal ring bezels.

Notably, there is a major challenge with the outlined design procedure. Specifically, there is still no systematic approach to find an antenna structure with the required complex frequency needed to meet the design specifications. To search for such a design, an efficient optimization strategy is needed. One should note that this optimization cycle is expected to be different from the traditional ones, given the presented advancements in the calculation of the antenna quality factor. An enhanced optimization cycle would then translate the return loss specifications into seeking structures with specific resonant frequencies and quality factors. This translation would provide the optimizer with some physics-based knowledge of the structure investigated, which in turn should improve the convergence of the optimization cycle. Interestingly, various optimization routines are already implemented in commercial EM solvers. However, most of them require a significantly large number of simulations before a simple antenna design can be optimized. Thus, it is not of an apparent benefit if one attempts modifying these relatively slow converging algorithms to accommodate the proposed design procedure. Hence,
there is quite an urgent need for the development of an efficient optimizer suitable for the antenna problems. This precise motivation led to the work presented in the next chapter.
Chapter 6: Multi-Objective Optimization Algorithm for Accelerated Antenna Design

“Making the simple complex is easy. Making the complex simple, awesomely simple, now THAT is genius.”

Charles Mingus

In the previous chapter, it was concluded that a new fast optimization strategy is needed for the practical application of the proposed modal-based design techniques. Several interesting optimization techniques have been developed thus far, some of which have already become standard features in many commercial electromagnetic simulators [209]. Among these are the classical quasi-Newton techniques [210], genetic-based algorithms [93]-[211], particle swarm [213]-[215], evolutionary programming [216]-[218], and space mapping [219]-[223]. Many of these algorithms are also integrated with neural networks [224]-[225] seeking an efficient design approach. Still, it has been observed that most available commercial solvers relying on these optimization routines require numerous simulations before a solution is achieved. In addition, when dealing with several design parameters or in multi-objective designs, the typical number of required simulations is excessively high, with many routines even failing to approach a satisfactory solution.

Realistically speaking, in most practical design scenarios, parametric analysis and optimization cannot be alleviated. To this end, one motivation for this work is to apply a new antenna design approach that requires fewer calls to the EM simulator and thus results in a faster design cycle. In addition to achieving a feasible design, it is also desirable to assess its sensitivity to fabrication/assembly tolerances without the need for further EM simulations. This motivates research for models that accurately describe the behavior of the designed antenna.

The proposed optimization approach can be classified as an optimization-on-the-fly procedure that does not require any priori simulations or knowledge [226]-[228]. It
simply relies on constructing a multi-dimensional rational Cauchy model [229]-[231] that is then optimized to predict a design parameter set. The cycle terminates if the set results in a design that meets the required specifications; otherwise, the cycle continues, using the new developed parameter set (along with sets from previous iterations) to build a better rational Cauchy model, which is then used in the new optimization cycle.

This chapter is divided as follows. First, a review of the utilized multi-dimensional rational Cauchy modeling technique is presented in section 6.1. Next, the proposed design through optimization methodology is discussed in sections 6.2 through 6.4. The flow chart of the algorithm and its different steps is discussed in detail in section 6.5. Select examples are then presented in section 6.6 to demonstrate the algorithm’s functionality.

6.1 A Brief History of Multi-dimensional Rational Functions

One commonly utilized approach to model the frequency response of electromagnetic structures is the Cauchy interpolation technique [229]-[231]. In this approach, the frequency response is modeled using a rational function of two polynomials. For a long time, this approach was only limited to modeling the frequency response for a given set of parameters. In the 1970s, however, the Cauchy mathematical approach was extended to model multi-dimensional systems for control applications [231]. Later, in the last decade, this multi-dimensional approach was also adapted for EM problems [232]-[236], where rational polynomials are functions of both the frequency and other design parameters. However, modeling a moderate scale EM problem using this approach would typically require numerous full wave EM simulations. In addition, one remaining challenge is how to obtain the coefficients of these polynomials to model resonant structures [236]. This added a considerable amount of complexity to the problem.

It is noteworthy to observe that most of the previous work that addressed multi-dimensional modeling aimed at utilizing the developed models in optimization. A few points can be observed with this approach. First, a large number of sample points is typically needed [232]-[236]. For example, the lowest possible number of points reported uses 125 points for modeling a simple resonant three-variable problem [232]. Recently,
this number was improved to 64 points [237] with each of these points representing a full wave EM simulation. This is still considered a costly process, given that if the design invoked 5 parameters instead of 3, the number of required simulations would be around 1024. Large numbers of points exceeding 1000 was also reported in [234]-[236]. Another issue with this type of modeling is that it assumes a given domain for the parameters. Enlarging this domain would significantly complicate the process, as more points may be needed, especially if resonances occur in this domain. On the other hand, shrinking the domain would result in limited modeling scope, in spite of the considerable number of simulations needed to build it.

Thus, to realize an antenna that should incorporate multiple bands and fit into various miniaturized packages, the model-then-optimize approach, in its current form, is quite costly. It would most likely provide similar (if not inferior) performance to currently available optimization routines used in antenna design. Still, one should emphasize that the model-then-optimize approach has the advantage of providing a parameterized model that can be used in tolerance, statistical and yield analyses.

### 6.2 Proposed Formulation of Multi-dimensional Cauchy Rational Functions

#### 6.2.1 Notations

Let us consider a general electromagnetic response, \( R(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \), which is a function of \( n \) parameters, \( p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n \). These parameters may include frequency, dimensions of different discontinuities, and material properties. Such a response may then be modeled by a multidimensional rational function in the form:

\[
R(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) = \frac{N(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)}{D(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n)} \quad (6.1)
\]

where \( N(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \) and \( D(p_1, p_2, \ldots, p_n) \) are polynomial functions of the design parameters. The order of these polynomials is typically determined according to the
nature of the problem. In the most general form, the numerator or denominator of order \( M \) with \( N \) parameters can be written in the form:

\[
\left( a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i p_i \right)^M
\]  

(6.2)

with the number of terms in this polynomial given by:

\[
\# \text{ of Terms} = 1 + \sum_{j=1}^{M} \left( \prod_{i=1}^{j} \left( \frac{N + i - 1}{i} \right) \right)
\]  

(6.3)

For example, a third-order multi-dimensional polynomial in \( N \) parameters can be written as:

\[
\left( a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i p_i \right)^3 = a_0^3 + 3a_0^2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i p_i \right) + 3a_0 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i p_i \right)^2 + \left( \sum_{i=1}^{N} a_i p_i \right)^3
\]  

(6.4)

Each of the polynomial terms is associated with a coefficient. To solve for these coefficients, one would typically need a number of sample points equal to the number of unknown coefficients in both the numerator and denominator. A system of equations may then be constructed and solved.

In order to clearly illustrate the approach used in this work, let us first describe the typical steps in solving this system. Without loss of generality, for a single variable system one can write:

\[
R(p) = \frac{N(p)}{D(p)} = \frac{\sum_{i=0}^{n} a_i p^i}{\sum_{i=0}^{n} b_i p^i}
\]  

(6.5)
Setting \( b_0 = 1 \), we have \( n + m + 1 \) coefficients to solve for. Using \( n + m + 1 \) distinct \( p \) values, the corresponding function values are:

\[
R(\xi_i) \quad i = 0, 1, ..., n + m \quad (6.6)
\]

Thus, it is possible to write:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
a_0 \\
a_1 \\
\vdots \\
a_n \\
b_1 \\
\vdots \\
b_n
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
-R(\xi_0) \\
-R(\xi_1) \\
\vdots \\
-R(\xi_{n+m})
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (6.7)
\]

which takes the form \( Ax = b \), with \( A \) given by:

\[
A = \begin{bmatrix}
-1 & \cdots & -\xi_0^n & \xi_0 R(\xi_0) & \cdots & \xi_0^m R(\xi_0) \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
-1 & \cdots & -\xi_{n+m}^n & \xi_{n+m} R(\xi_{n+m}) & \cdots & \xi_{n+m}^m R(\xi_{n+m})
\end{bmatrix}
\quad (6.8)
\]

Extending this matrix formulation to the multi-dimensional case is a straightforward but lengthy procedure. Solving it, however, presents some notable challenges. In [235], the problem formulation is changed to \( Ax = 0 \) rather than \( Ax = b \), to utilize the total least squares method instead of the least squares method. This approach produced good results in low-dimensional problems but suffers from spurious solutions that did not correspond to a physical model [235]. In [232], the multidimensional problem was divided into a number of one-dimensional problems that are solved recursively. However, this approach requires a large number of sample points to reach a satisfactory model. Other techniques are found in [236], but these approaches were not proven suitable for rapidly varying responses such as those associated with antenna structures.
6.2.2 Rational Model Development Through Optimization

Here, the problem is tackled differently from those in [232]-[236] by casting it into a linear programming form [237]. Assume there are \( N \) data samples available, given by \( R_i = R(p_i), i = 1, 2, \ldots N \). The \( i \)th sample is given in a multidimensional Cauchy model by:

\[
\hat{R}_i = \frac{a^T x_i}{b^T y_i},
\]

where \( a = [a_0 \ a_1 \ \cdots \ a_{N_a}]^T \) and \( b = [b_0 \ b_1 \ \cdots \ b_{N_b}]^T \) are the optimizable coefficient vectors. The proposed Cauchy model is thus not affected if the numerator and the denominator are scaled by the same factor. The vectors \( x_i \) and \( y_i \) are, in general, parameter- and frequency-dependent vectors. The coefficient vectors \( a \) and \( b \) should minimize the error between the actual output data points and the Cauchy model. The corresponding optimization problem can be written as:

\[
\min_{a,b} \left\{ \max_i \left| b^T y_i R_i(p_i) - a^T x_i \right| \right\}
\]

(6.10)

Typically, in any numerical solver or in a realistic measurement experiment, there exists a tolerance margin for the accuracy of the simulated/measured response. Including this tolerance margin, \( \varepsilon_i \) of the \( i \)th data sample is likely to enhance the robustness of the algorithm. The modified optimization algorithm is thus modified to:

\[
\min_{a,b} \left\{ \max_i \left\{ \max_{|\Delta R_i| < \varepsilon_i} \left| b^T y_i \left[ R_i(p_i) + \Delta R_i(p_i) \right] - a^T x_i \right| \right\} \right\}
\]

(6.11)

where \( \Delta R_i \) is the expected error in the \( i \)th sample with \( |\Delta R_i| < \varepsilon_i \). One problem with this type of formulation is that it allows for the spurious solution \( a^T x_i = b^T y_i = 0 \). This solution, observed also in [235], results in a non-physical situation. In a linear programming problem, this situation can be avoided by adding a set of suitable linear constraints.
For example, and without loss of generality, when modeling the scattering parameters response of passive structures such as antennas, one can write:

\[
\min_{a,b} \left\{ \max_i \left\{ \max_{|\Delta S_i| < \epsilon} \left[ b^T y_i \left( S_i(p_i) + \Delta S_i(p_i) \right) - a^T x_i \right] \right\} \right\} \quad (6.12)
\]

To ensure that the numerator is never zero, a set of linear constraints is added:

\[
b^T y_i \geq 1 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s
\]  
(6.13)

Note that the coefficients of the numerator and the denominator are scalable. Any positive number can thus be used in (6.13). The second set of linear constraints:

\[
a^T x_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s
\]  
(6.14)

ensures that the response is larger than or equal to zero. For a passive structure, the scattering parameters are between 0 and 1. Thus, the third set of linear constraints is added:

\[
b^T y_i \geq a^T x_i \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s
\]  
(6.15)

The integration of the aforementioned linear constraints is numerically a standard procedure in convex optimization problems. However, in its current form, the objective function in (6.11) is a non-linear function. Classical linear programming techniques allow for dealing with this problem by introducing an auxiliary variable \( t \), as follows [238]-[239]:

\[
\begin{aligned}
\min t \\
\text{Subject to:} \\
\max_{|\Delta R_i| < \epsilon} \left| b^T y_i \left[ R_i(p_i) + \Delta R_i(p_i) \right] - a^T x_i \right| \leq t, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s
\end{aligned}
\]  
(6.16)

where the set of constraints can be expanded by adding constraints associated with the physical limitations of the system, as discussed earlier. The current nonlinear constraints can now be made linear by expanding it into the two linear constraints:
\[
\max_{[\|k\|_k \leq \epsilon_i]} \left\{ b^T y_i \left[ R_i (p_i) + \Delta R_i (p_i) \right] - a^T x_i \right\} \leq t \tag{6.17}
\]

and
\[
- \min_{[\|k\|_k \leq \epsilon_i]} \left\{ b^T y_i \left[ R_i (p_i) + \Delta R_i (p_i) \right] - a^T x_i \right\} \leq t \tag{6.18}
\]

where either (6.17) or (6.18) is active for the current sample with \( i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s \).

For a positive valued response, these two sets of linear constraints can be rewritten as:
\[
b^T y_i \left[ R_i (p_i) + \varepsilon_i \right] - a^T x_i \leq t \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s \tag{6.19}
\]

and
\[
-b^T y_i \left[ R_i (p_i) + \varepsilon_i \right] + a^T x_i \leq t \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s \tag{6.20}
\]

Now, integrating all previous steps, the following linear convex programming problem for the \( S \) parameters is achieved:

\[
\min_{a,b,t} t
\]

Subject To:
\[
b^T y_i \left[ S_i + \varepsilon_i \right] - a^T x_i \leq t \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s
\]
\[
b^T y_i \left[ - S_i + \varepsilon_i \right] + a^T x_i \leq t \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s \tag{6.21}
\]
\[
b^T y_i \geq 1 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s
\]
\[
a^T x_i \geq 0 \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s
\]
\[
b^T y_i \geq a^T x_i \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, N_s
\]

This type of a linear program can be solved by the simplex method [238]-[239] which supplies the global solution of the problem. Linear constraints can be added or removed from (6.21) to model other types of responses. It is worth mentioning that there are several available efficient optimization packages for solving these types of linear programming problems, including MOSEK [240], TOMLAB [241], and MATLAB [30].
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6.3 The Proposed Design Through On-the-Fly Optimization

Having illustrated the proposed approach in treating the multi-dimensional Cauchy modeling, let us consider the proposed design through on-the-fly optimization. The approach proposed in this work is to construct a parameterized Cauchy model while simultaneously applying optimization. This approach still maintains the capability of performing tolerance, yield, and statistical analyses, along with its capability in finding a feasible solution for the design problem in a relatively low number of iterations. The following two simple examples illustrate some of the fundamental concepts of the proposed approach. This is followed by detailed description of the algorithm used therein.

6.3.1 A One-Dimensional Illustrative Example

Assume that one needs to find the value of the variable \( p \), denoted by \( p^* \), such that the function \( R(p^*) = 0.5 \). For simplicity, the function \( R(p) \) is assumed to represent some unknown system behavior that is best described as \( R(p) = \cos(p) \). Typically, this \( \cos(p) \) behavior is not known beforehand to the designer. Now, from a designer’s perspective, very few test values of the variable \( p \) should be needed to find the value, which realizes a system response \( R(p^*) = 0.5 \). Hence, the algorithm will take the form of Fig. 6.1. The designer has to supply some initial guess for the value of the parameter \( p \), along with some bounds that would typically reflect some physical/fabrication limits, in addition to the required response. In this example, the solution is assumed to exist within the domain \( p \in [0, \pi] \). Also, an initial guess for \( p \) is chosen randomly as \( p_{\text{initial}} = 0.9 \).
The proposed algorithm runs as follows. First, the algorithm uses the user input, \( p_{\text{initial}} \), to call a numerical solver or control a physical experiment. The resulting response \( R(p_{\text{initial}}) \) is used along with the initial value \( p_{\text{initial}} \) to build the first iteration Cauchy rational model with a pre-defined order. As discussed earlier, this problem can be cast as a convex linear programming to find the best fit for the rational Cauchy function, given the available sample points. The second step in the algorithm is to find a better value for \( p \). The Cauchy model is optimized to seek the parameter \( p^{\text{opt}} \) that satisfies the specified objective. The obtained design is then verified through a call to the numerical/EM solver to obtain the corresponding new response. Next, a new Cauchy rational model is constructed using all available sample points. The cycle goes on until the desired response is achieved. Table 6.1 illustrates the steps for \( p_{\text{initial}} = 0.9 \), while Fig. 6.2 shows how the resulting models behave as a function of the parameter \( p \).
Fig. 6.2. A local comparison between the models developed using Cauchy rational functions and the exact response.

Table 6.1. Algorithm Results Starting with $p_{\text{initial}} = 0.9$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iteration</th>
<th>Parameter Value ($p$)</th>
<th>Response Value $R(p)$</th>
<th>Absolute Error</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.6216</td>
<td>0.1216</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.08</td>
<td>0.4713</td>
<td>0.0287</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1.0443</td>
<td>0.5025</td>
<td>0.0025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1.0472</td>
<td>0.5000</td>
<td>$2 \times 10^{-6}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The resulting second-order Cauchy model after 4 iterations can then be written as:

$$R_{\text{Estimate}}(p)\bigg|_{p_{\text{initial}} = 0.9} = 1.088 \frac{1.00 - 0.3918 p - 0.1612 p^2}{1.00 - 0.1612 p + 0.0613 p^2}$$

(6.22)

It should be emphasized that each iteration corresponds to a single call to the numerical/EM solver or to a single physical experiment. Changing the initial point to $p_{\text{initial}} = 0.1$ in this example results in comparable accuracy but a slightly different model:

$$R_{\text{Estimate}}(p)\bigg|_{p_{\text{initial}} = 0.1} = 1.021 \frac{1.00 - 0.3361 p - 0.1907 p^2}{1.00 - 0.2488 p + 0.1421 p^2}$$

(6.23)

Changing the order to 1 results in a simpler model, but with limited range of accuracy:
Fig. 6.3 shows a wide range comparison between the Cauchy models of different orders and the exact response. As expected, the models match well within the region of the sample points obtained during optimization. Obvious deviation is noted outside this region, as the Cauchy model was not given any sample points therein.

\[
R_{\text{Estimate}}(p)\bigg|_{p_{\text{initial}}=0.9} = 1.1788 \frac{1.00 - 0.6512p}{1.00 - 0.2394p}
\]  

(6.24)

Fig. 6.3. A wide range comparison between the models developed using Cauchy rational functions to the exact response for the one-dimensional example.

### 6.3.2 A Three-variable Resonance Circuit

As discussed earlier in this work, many resonant-based responses can be approximated by a combination of parallel or series resonance networks. So, let us consider an **RLC** parallel network. The input impedance of such a network is written as:

\[
Z_{\text{in}}(\omega, L, C, R) = \frac{1}{R + j\omega C + \frac{1}{j\omega L}}
\]  

(6.25)

The input reflection coefficient seen at the ports of the network can then be written as:

\[
S(\omega, L, C, R) = \frac{Z_{\text{in}}(\omega, L, C, R) - Z_0}{Z_{\text{in}}(\omega, L, C, R) + Z_0}
\]  

(6.26)
where \( Z_0 \) is the characteristic impedance of the feed line. After some simple manipulations, one can write:

\[
|S(\omega, L, C, R)|^2 = \left( \frac{\omega^4 Z_0^2 L^2 C^2 R^2 - 2\omega^2 Z_0^2 LCR^2 + \omega^2 L^2 R^2 - 2\omega^2 Z_0 L^2 R + \omega^2 Z_0^2 L^2 + Z_0^2 R^2}{\omega^4 Z_0^2 L^2 C^2 R^2 - 2\omega^2 Z_0^2 LCR^2 + \omega^2 L^2 R^2 + 2\omega^2 Z_0 L^2 R + \omega^2 Z_0^2 L^2 + Z_0^2 R^2} \right) \tag{6.27}
\]

In order to accurately model the behavior of this network, a polynomial with the highest powers of both the numerator and denominator should be generated. That is, in principle, one needs a Cauchy rational function of order 10 to capture all of the details of this function. However, in a realistic design situation, one typically tries to find the values of components, or physical dimensions, which realize a desired response at select frequency points. For example, Fig. 6.4 shows a typical desired frequency response where the reflection coefficient at the input ports meets specific constraints.

![Fig. 6.4. The constraints on the three-variable resonance circuit.](image)

This simple observation should allow the designer to select a few discrete frequencies and include the constraints at each of these frequencies in the convex linear programming algorithm. Thus, the problem simplifies to:
Thus, at each frequency, the problem is simplified to constructing a rational Cauchy model of order 6 to capture all details of the behavior of this function. In this example, one now needs to solve for 168 coefficients. However, as illustrated earlier, for design purposes, it is not necessary to model the full functional behavior. For example, if multidimensional rational functions of order 3 are used, then there are only 40 coefficients to identify. Here, 13 distinct frequency points are specified, with the response constrained to be below a certain value for the 11 middle ones and higher than a certain value at the edge frequencies, as shown in Fig. 6.5. A suitable linear programming problem is then set up as discussed earlier.

\[
\left[ S(L, C, R) \right]_\text{max}^2 = \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
\omega_N^{-4} Z_0^2 \dot{L}^2 C^2 R^2 - 2 \omega_N^{-2} Z_0^2 LCR^2 + \omega_N^{-2} \dot{L}^2 R^2 \\
-2 \omega_N^{-2} Z_0^2 L^2 R + \omega_N^{-2} Z_0^{-2} L^2 + Z_0^{-2} R^2 \\
\omega_N^{-4} Z_0^2 \dot{L}^2 C^2 R^2 - 2 \omega_N^{-2} Z_0^2 LCR^2 + \omega_N^{-2} \dot{L}^2 R^2 \\
+2 \omega_N^{-2} Z_0^2 L^2 R + \omega_N^{-2} Z_0^{-2} L^2 + Z_0^{-2} R^2 
\end{array} \right. 
\]

(6.28)

Fig. 6.5. The constraints imposed on discrete frequencies of the three-variable resonance circuit.

Following the previously outlined algorithm, and utilizing a min-max objective function, a third-order rational model provides a maximum cost of \(-3 \times 10^{-4}\) deviation at the
selected frequencies, after only 13 calls to the circuit simulator, each with $R$, $C$, and $L$ values as the input parameters. The responses at the thirteen selected frequency points are the corresponding output of the simulator. The same accuracy can be achieved with 16 iterations, if we use six-order rational functions, as shown in Fig. 6.6.

Fig. 6.6. The change of the min-max cost function with each iteration for the three-variable resonance circuit.

It is important to notice the significant acceleration in the achieved results as compared to the “build complete model then optimize” approach [232]-[237]. For example, following [232] and assuming 5 points per dimension along with third-order rational functions, one would need at least $4^5 = 1024$ calls to the circuit solver. Optimization would then be carried out exploiting this model to reach the same results that the proposed algorithm reached in only 13 calls.

To assess the potential of the third order model to be used for sensitivity and tolerance analysis, Fig. 6.7 shows the absolute error between the exact model and the input reflection coefficient $|S(L, C, R)|$ calculated from the third-order multi-dimensional model $|S(L, C, R)|^2$ at 2.0 GHz. To perform this calculation, the design parameters are allowed to vary within ±10% from their nominal value, that is $L \in [1.8, 2.2] \text{ nH}$,
$C \in [2.7, 3.3] \, \text{pF}, \text{ and } R \in [45, 55] \, \Omega$. Each dimension is uniformly divided into 10 points, creating a grid of 1,000 points. Figure 6.7 shows a histogram of the resulting error calculated at each of the grid points. It is clear that the maximum error is below $5 \times 10^{-3}$.

Fig. 6.7. The results of the absolute error in tolerance analysis between a third-order Cauchy model and actual simulation using a tolerance of 10% for all parameters at 2.0 GHz.

If the variables are allowed to vary by $\pm 20\%$ from their nominal value, keeping a uniform grid of 1,000 points, then the resulting errors can be illustrated as shown in Fig. 6.8.
Fig. 6.8. The results of the absolute error in tolerance analysis between a third-order Cauchy model and actual simulation using a tolerance of 20% for all parameters at 2.0 GHz.

So far, the multi-dimensional rational models of a number of parameters at each selected frequency were generated. Fig. 6.9 illustrates such a situation. It is often desirable, however, to reduce the number of selected frequency points. This speeds up the overall simulation/measurement time and reduces its associated costs. To that end, the Padé approximation is employed on each of the resulting coefficients to generate frequency-dependent coefficients, as illustrated in Fig. 6.10. Using these frequency-dependent coefficients of the multi-dimensional rational model allows us to interpolate and extrapolate rapidly for frequencies other than those selected during the design process.

Fig. 6.9. The Cauchy coefficients are obtained for a set of discrete frequencies.
Fig. 6.10. The Padé approximation is applied to determine the Cauchy coefficients for frequencies other than those considered.
6.4 The Algorithm

The algorithm explained in the previous two introductory examples can be summarized in the following steps:

1. Initialization: The iteration counter is set to \( k = 0 \). The starting point \( p_0 \) is determined by the user. The extraction set is initialized with \( \Phi^{(0)} = \{p_0\} \), with the best available solution initialized with \( p^* = p_0 \). Note that the set \( \Phi^{(k)} \) contains the samples used to construct the Cauchy model in the \( k \)th iteration.

2. The EM simulator is called (or alternatively measurements are carried out) to determine \( R(p_0) \).

3. The Cauchy model of the \( k \)th iteration \( R^{(k)}_{CM}(p) \) is built using the points in the set \( \Phi^{(k)} \) by solving a linear program similar to (6.21). Note that a partial set of the points in the set \( \Phi^{(k)} \) may be used in (6.21) depending on how close the points are to the current iterate \( p^* \). As mentioned earlier, constraints could be added to or removed from (6.21) to fit the considered physical response.

4. The current Cauchy model, \( R^{(k)}_{CM}(p) \), is optimized to obtain the new design \( p_{k+1} \). A trust region approach [222] is integrated to limit the search for the new design to a region where the Cauchy model is trusted.

5. The response at the new point, \( R(p_{k+1}) \), is calculated using EM simulation or measurements.

6. If the new design offers a better objective function, i.e., \( U(p_{j+1}) < U(p^*) \), then the best available solution \( p^* \) is set to \( p_{k+1} \). Note that \( U \) is the objective function to be minimized during the design process. It may be a least squares or a min-max cost function, depending on the application.
7. If the termination condition is satisfied, the algorithm is terminated and \( \mathbf{p}^* \), along with the coefficients of the current Cauchy model, \( R_{CM}^{(k)}(\mathbf{p}) \), are reported. The utilized termination condition may include several unsuccessful iterations, or that the iteration counter \( k \) exceeded a certain maximum value.

8. The extraction set is augmented with the new point, i.e., \( \Phi^{(k+1)} = \Phi^{(k)} \cup \mathbf{p}_{k+1} \). The algorithm starts a new iteration from Step 3.

Fig. 6.11. The flowchart of the algorithm.
6.5 Examples

The proposed algorithm was applied to a number of practical antenna designs [GS.12]. The relation between the response and the different parameters in these designs is not known. However, as discussed earlier, by selecting an appropriate order for the multidimensional rational polynomials, it is possible to accelerate the design process. In all examples, the order of the Cauchy model is fixed to 3. With this order, it is possible to generate a locally accurate model that can be used for optimization, sensitivity, and tolerance analyses. The stopping criterion for the algorithm is when the required specifications are strictly met. The min-max objective function employed is defined by:

\[
U(p) = \max \left\{ \begin{array}{c}
R_{1}^{\text{sign}} \left[ R_{1}^{\text{Actual}} - R_{1}^{\text{Const}} \right], \ldots, R_{N_{f}}^{\text{sign}} \left[ R_{N_{f}}^{\text{Actual}} - R_{N_{f}}^{\text{Const}} \right] \end{array} \right\}
\]

where \( R_{N_{f}}^{\text{Actual}} \) is the actual response at the frequency sample \( N_{f} \), with \( R_{N_{f}}^{\text{Const}} \) denoting the response constraint at this frequency. \( R_{N_{f}}^{\text{sign}} \) indicates whether the constraint is an upper or lower constraint. When all specifications are met, the value of the objective function in (6.29) should be less than zero.

As discussed earlier and summarized in Fig. 6.1, the designer needs to specify the design specifications, the initial guess for the solution, and bounds on the design parameters reflecting typical fabrication limitations or size constraints. These are specified in the in-house control code developed in the context of this work. Next, the processing core of this code applies the algorithm, as discussed earlier in section VI. During processing, the code needs to access an EM solver to reach a valid design. Several powerful EM solvers are available on the market. Here, the code allows the user to choose from a set of solvers, which usually depends on the nature of the problem. After specifying a solver, the code automatically accesses the EM solver, sets up the design, performs a simulation, retrieves the results, and uses them in the processing cycle (Fig. 6.11). In addition to in-house solvers, commercial EM solvers currently integrated with the developed code include Ansoft’s Designer (Circuit and Method of Moments MOM solvers) [31], Ansoft’s HFSS (Finite Element Method Solver FEM) [32], COMSOL (Finite Element
Method Solver, with electro-static, magneto-static modules, in addition to thermal and mechanical solvers) [33], Sonnet EM (Method of Moments Solver) [34], CST’s Microwave Studio (Finite Integration Solver, tailored to run on our parallel graphical processing unit GPU cluster) [35], and SPEAG’s SEMCAD (Finite Difference Time Domain Solver, running on our GPU cluster) [36].

To demonstrate the algorithm, a number of antenna designs are presented in this section. They illustrate the behavior of the algorithm when designing to meet single as well as multiple objectives. Since all of these examples are equally produced by any of the listed EM Solvers, the focus will be on the code capabilities in reaching a feasible design, and not on methods for setting up the problem in the corresponding solvers. In addition, the examples are attempted on some commonly available optimizers in the aforementioned solvers. This should highlight the potential speed-up in convergence when using the proposed algorithm compared to available ones. It is worth mentioning that genetic algorithms, evolutionary programming, and particle swarms are not listed here, due mainly to the excessively large number of EM calls they need to reach a feasible design. Therefore, only optimizations using quasi-Newton, sequential non-linear, and pattern search algorithms will be listed.

6.5.1 Multi-Objective Four-Variable Patch Antenna

Here, the proposed algorithm is applied to the design of a global positioning system (GPS) patch antenna. The patch is designed on a rigid foam material with a thickness of 10.0 mm. The patch should meet the constraint VSWR ≤ 2 over the bandwidth $1575 \pm 20$ MHz. In addition, the patch has to be of circular polarization over this band. The following two additional responses are considered:

\begin{equation}
R_{magE} = \left| \frac{|E_\theta| - |E_\phi|}{|E_\theta| + |E_\phi|} \right| \tag{6.30}
\end{equation}

and

\begin{equation}
R_{angE} = |\angle E_\theta - \angle E_\phi| \tag{6.31}
\end{equation}
The far field is given by \( \mathbf{E} = E_o \hat{\theta} + E_o \hat{\phi} \). To achieve practical circular polarization [13] at a given frequency, \( R_{magE} \) should be less than 0.1, with \( R_{\angle E} = 90^\circ \pm 15^\circ \). Six uniformly distributed in-band frequency points are considered.

![Fig. 6.12. The optimizable dimensions of the patch antenna.](image)

The patch is shown in Fig. 6.12, with the feeding probe located anywhere on the patch. In searching for optimal design of \( \mathbf{p}^* = [L_p^*, W_p^*, x_f^*, y_f^*] \), the following practical bounds are utilized:

\[
\begin{align*}
50.0 \text{ mm} & \leq L_p \leq 100.0 \text{ mm} \\
50.0 \text{ mm} & \leq W_p \leq 110.0 \text{ mm} \\
5.0 \text{ mm} & \leq x_f \leq 30.0 \text{ mm} \\
5.0 \text{ mm} & \leq y_f \leq 30.0 \text{ mm}
\end{align*}
\] (6.32)
Fig. 6.13. Optimization convergence of the GPS antenna design using the proposed algorithm.

Fig. 6.14. Optimization convergence of the GPS antenna example using some of the available commercial algorithms.
Fig. 6.15. The initial and optimized VSWR of the GPS antenna obtained using the proposed algorithm.

Table 6.2 shows a summary of results with the convergence shown in Fig. 6.13. The algorithm converged to a feasible design after 18 EM simulations. The results obtained when applying other commercially available algorithms are shown in Fig. 6.14. The optimization cycle using the commercial routines was terminated after 35 EM simulations without reaching a feasible design. Fig. 6.15 to Fig. 6.17 show the results of the initial and optimized responses of the GPS antenna obtained using the proposed algorithm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Set in mm</th>
<th>Optimal Set in mm</th>
<th># of Iter.</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[100.0 100.0 27.0 27.0]</td>
<td>[75.4 86.28 13.55 27.21]</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>-0.0414</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6.16. The initial and optimized axial ratio of the GPS antenna obtained using the proposed algorithm.

Fig. 6.17. The initial and optimized $|\angle E_\theta - \angle E_\phi|$ of the GPS antenna obtained using the proposed algorithm.
6.5.2 A Five-Variable Yagi-Uda Antenna

A Yagi-Uda Antenna [13] is a directional antenna system consisting of an array of a dipole and additional closely coupled parasitic elements (usually a reflector and one or more directors). The design of this antenna has attracted several researchers [243]. Here, the proposed algorithm is utilized in designing such an antenna to meet the specifications VSWR ≤ 2.0 over the bandwidth 1.5 GHz to 2.5 GHz. For demonstration purposes, the number of directors is limited to one only. In addition, the out-of-band behavior of the antenna is not restricted. The diameters of the dipoles are set to 2.1 mm, with the dipoles arranged as shown in Fig. 6.18. Thus, this translates into a five-dimensional problem with five design variables: the height of the feed dipole \( H_F \), the height of the reflector \( H_R \), the height of the director \( H_D \), the distance between the reflector and the feed dipole \( L_R \), and the distance between the director and the feed dipole \( L_D \). Ten uniformly distributed in-band frequency points are utilized.

In searching for the optimal design of the parameters \( \mathbf{p}^* = [H_F^*, L_D^*, H_D^*, L_R^*, H_R^*] \) mm, the following practical parameter ranges are imposed:

![Fig. 6.18. The simplified Yagi-Uda example.](image-url)
Table 6.3 shows a summary of the results using the proposed algorithm. After 25 EM simulations, a solution that meets the required specifications is found. The convergence of the algorithm is shown in Fig. 6.19, with the initial and optimized results shown in Fig. 6.20. For comparison purposes, commercial optimization tools are utilized as well. The optimization cycle was halted after performing 40 EM simulations without reaching a solution, as shown in Fig. 6.21.

![Optimization convergence of the Yagi-Uda example using the proposed algorithm.](image-url)

Table 6.3. Summary of Results for the Yagi-Uda Antenna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Set in mm</th>
<th>Optimal Set in mm</th>
<th># of Iter.</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[53.0 28.0 43.0 82.0]</td>
<td>[41.71 9.92 23.7 45 64.44]</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>-0.028</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 6.20. The initial and optimized results obtained using the proposed algorithm for the Yagi-Uda example.

Fig. 6.21. Optimization convergence of the Yagi-Uda example using some of the commercially available algorithms.
6.5.3 Multi-Objective Six-Variable E-Slot Antenna

In the previous two examples, the number of iterations increased with the number of parameters involved. However, this is not a characteristic of the proposed algorithm, and largely depends on the nature of the problem. To illustrate, let us consider the design of an E-shaped slot antenna. Slot antennas [268] are widely utilized for their high gain and larger bandwidth capabilities as compared to traditional patch antennas. Here, the proposed algorithm is applied to the design of an E-slot antenna [158] [202]. This is a multi-objective problem, where the desired specifications are VSWR ≤ 2.0 and gain ≥ 8.0 dB over the frequency band 1.8 GHz - 2.4 GHz. Choosing a foam substrate of 15.0 mm, the E-slot patch has six different variables (see Fig. 6.22), given by

\[ \mathbf{p}^* = \begin{bmatrix} L^* \ W^* \ L_s^* \ W_1^* \ W_2^* \ x_f^* \end{bmatrix} \text{ mm} \]. The following limits are imposed:

\[ \begin{align*}
30.0 \text{ mm} & \leq L \leq 70.0 \text{ mm} \\
30.0 \text{ mm} & \leq W \leq 100.0 \text{ mm} \\
20.0 \text{ mm} & \leq L_s \leq 70.0 \text{ mm} \\
4.0 \text{ mm} & \leq W_1 \leq 20.0 \text{ mm} \\
4.0 \text{ mm} & \leq W_2 \leq 20.0 \text{ mm} \\
15.0 \text{ mm} & \leq x_f \leq 65.0 \text{ mm}
\end{align*} \] (6.34)

Fig. 6.22. The E-slot antenna.
Table 6.4. Summary of Results for the Slot Antenna.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Initial Set in mm</th>
<th>Optimal Set in mm</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[65.0 95.0 60.0 18.0 18.0 60.0]</td>
<td>[57.04 92.77 40.57 12.81 14.46 46.09]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th># of Iterations</th>
<th>Cost</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>-0.007</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 6.4 shows a summary of the results using the proposed algorithm, along with its convergence shown in Fig. 6.23. The required specifications are met after 17 EM simulations. The optimized impedance bandwidth and the gain bandwidth are shown in Fig. 6.24 and Fig. 6.25, respectively.

Fig. 6.23. Optimization convergence for the E-slot antenna obtained using the proposed algorithm.
Fig. 6.24. The initial and optimized results of the reflection coefficient of the E-slot antenna obtained using the proposed algorithm.

Fig. 6.25. The optimized gain of the E-slot antenna obtained using the proposed algorithm.
6.6 Discussion and Conclusions

A novel on-the-fly optimization algorithm for the optimization of antenna structures was presented. In each iteration, the proposed approach utilizes a surrogate model that exploits a multi-dimensional rational approximation. This approximation is constructed using the available samples obtained during optimization with no prior knowledge or in-advance simulations. The algorithm was successfully utilized in the design of several antenna structures with single or multiple objective functions. The convergence of this approach was shown to be superior, for the considered structures, to other commercially available optimizers.

With the presented new optimization algorithm at hand, along with the proposed modal-based design techniques (Chapter 5) and the multi-coupled design techniques (Chapter 4), we now have a systematic practical design methodology employing a physics-based optimization approach for realizing multi-band multi-feed antennas. For example, the presented optimization scheme can be applied to the examples in 5.7.1 and 5.7.2 to rapidly realize the desired $Q$ at the target frequency of operation. Additionally, it can be shown that the presented technique can be efficiently applied to the design of multi-coupled antennas, such as those discussed in section 4.5.
Chapter 7: Select Applications of Next-Generation Flexible Wireless Devices

“For a successful technology, reality must take precedence over public relations, for Nature cannot be fooled.”

Richard Feynman

RF technologies are increasingly used as a means of short-range, high-bandwidth communications utilizing very low power levels where transmitted signals are spread over a significantly large portion of the radio spectrum. Interestingly, numerous recent applications of radios target sensor data collection, precision localization, and tracking applications. The term IoT, has become a buzz word in the engineering community, announcing the rise of the Internet of Things. Such new trends necessitate the deployment of a large number of antennas to meet system requirements. To this end, it is important to keep the cost per antenna as low as possible to maintain an adequate operational cost for the respective systems.

A quick look at some of the common techniques for the fabrication of antennas reveals that photolithography has been the most dominant technology. However, this method involves multiple steps such as etching, masking, and electroplating, rendering it a time-consuming, labor-intensive, and expensive process. In addition, since the solvent used in the etching process is corrosive, the choice of substrates is limited, and the photolithography process generates high volumes of hazardous waste that are environmentally detrimental. Considering these negative aspects, an alternative technique is clearly needed.

In addition to demonstrating a green technology for realizing antennas up to mm-Waves, the work shown in this chapter demonstrates how the antenna design techniques presented throughout this thesis work can be made useful in providing designs for next-generation wireless systems.
### 7.1 Using Inkjet Printing

In this work, we propose the utilization of inkjet printing of conductive inks on flexible substrates to realize low-cost, environment-friendly antennas, with operational ranges from UHF to mm-Waves [GS.13]- [GS.21].

It is widely known that one of the most common methods of digital printing is through inkjet printers, almost ubiquitous in home or office applications. Notably, inkjet printing has been recently enhanced with the capability of printing new functional materials, such as conductive and carbon nanotube CNT-based inks, leading to an increased deployment in printed electronics, such as flexible displays, RFIDs, sensors, solar panels, fuel cells, batteries, and, most recently, in antennas [244] [245] [246] [249].

Inkjet printing for RF applications is a challenging endeavor, where precise control of the achieved conductivity and surface roughness are required. In general, there are two critical factors that affect the print quality: the ink properties, and the settings of the printing system itself. The most notable ink properties to observe are viscosity, surface tension, and dispersion stability (printing with high contact angle, high viscosity, and high-tension ink produces smaller sized dot patterns). The most crucial settings of the printing parameters include the volume of the jetted ink, the traveling velocity of the ejected droplet, the gap distance between each droplet, the printing frequency, the temperature of the jetted ink, the temperature of the substrate, and the sintering/curing mechanism performed on the printed structures.

Prior to this work, a number of researchers studied inkjet printing for high frequency applications. However, most successful research was limited to UHF frequencies [244] [245] [246] Extension to higher frequencies required greater control of the tolerances of the printed structures, without sacrificing the RF conductivity. This is a challenging task that requires adequate control of various parameters.

In general, it is important to ensure jetting of an adequate drop formation. This is controlled through the jetting voltage and duty cycle. For example, an excessively high jetting voltage results in a large droplet velocity, resulting further in a drop splash into the substrate, which then leads to poor quality. In contrast, if the jetting voltage is too low,
proper ejection of droplets may be obstructed. Hence, it is important to appropriately control the jetting parameters such as jetting voltage, pulse width, and rise time in order to get a proper droplet formation.

Secondly, it is important to ensure jetting at the desired location. This is controlled by the relative distance between the jetting device and the substrate, and the printing speed. Additionally, it is important to be able to control the surface conditions of the substrate, particularly the substrate temperature, to ensure that the droplet remains in its desired location without excessive spreading.

It is thus noticeable that proper inkjet printing is not a universal problem, since the proper jetting parameters depend on the material properties and interactions between the material and the jetting device. Hence, a special study for each set of conductive ink and substrate material is required. In this work, two substrates were used: Liquid Crystal Polymers (LCP), and photographic paper. Reasons for the selection will be made clear later in this chapter.

Modern inkjet printers are capable of jetting miniature liquid droplets down to several pL. In this work, we have utilized the DMP2800 inkjet printer, which is a tabletop printer available from Dimatix, Inc. (www.dimatix.com), shown in Fig. 7.1 and Fig. 7.2. The DMC-11601 cartridges were used throughout printing. Each cartridge has 16 nozzles capable of jetting 1pL drops. Thus, it is theoretically possible to realize printed feature sizes of 10 micrometers when all parameters are properly set. To ensure good RF properties, an in-house recipe was developed considering all of the aforementioned parameters. In all prints, the utilized Dimatix 1pL cartridges were kept at a distance of 0.5 mm from the surface of target substrate on a DMP-2800 printer. The printer head was adjusted to achieve a print resolution of 2540 dpi, which ensures good RF conductivity up to several gigahertz. Next, the printer cartridges are filled with conductive silver ink. Several vendors supply this ink, including AnaPro, CimaNanoTech, InkTec, NanoMasTech, and SunJetTech. In this work, we use CCI-300 from Cabot Corporation (www.cabot-corp.com). To avoid the jetting of air bubbles instead of ink droplets, the cartridges are sonicated for 10 minutes after filling for degassing. The conductive ink was
then jetted at a temperature of 40 C, while the substrate was maintained at 60 C. Next, each printed structure was cured in a thermal oven for 2-3 h at 120-200 C, depending on the used substrate. This is a crucial step to increase the resulting conductivity. For most RF applications, several layers are needed to increase conductivity. To this end, multiple layers were printed with 10-minute thermal treatment intervals in-between (up to eight layers were tested for maximum conductivity).

Generally, the best results were achieved when controlling the drop speeds at 7-9 meters/second with jetting at a rate of 13Kcycle/s. Fig. 7.3 and Fig. 7.4 show the typical drop formulation. Fig. 7.5 shows the typical firing voltage diagram, while Fig. 7.6 shows the effect on the applied voltage on the droplet mass for the utilized ink. It is important to ensure, at a given drop velocity and elevation of the nozzle from the substrate, that the droplet will hit the substrate without having a tail drop and without significant spreading. Proper drop placement was enhanced by keeping the substrate temperature at 60 C throughout printing. As fewer nozzles are used, better print quality is achievable (Fig. 7.8) at the expense of a slower printing time. However, this can be mitigated through selective printing, where more nozzles are used away from critical gaps and narrow lines.

Fig. 7.1. The Dimatix DMP2800 tabletop inkjet printer
Fig. 7.2. Different components of the DMP2800 printer.

Fig. 7.3. Conceptual diagram of an inkjet printer.

Fig. 7.4. Drop formulation.
7.2 LCP Characterization

It is widely spread that fabrication of mm-Wave antennas and microwave circuits using the typical deposit/pattern/etch scheme is a challenging and costly process due to the strict limitations on permissible tolerances. This fabrication technique becomes even more challenging when dealing with flexible substrate materials, such as liquid crystal polymers. These materials have lately received considerable attention as a high frequency circuit substrate as well as a packaging material. This is mainly due to its impressive electrical characteristics, nearly constant relative permittivity at 3.2 and stable loss tangent below 0.005 up to 110GHz [247]-[248]. Thermal expansion characteristics of LCPs are equally desirable since their controllable coefficient of thermal expansion
(CTE) can be engineered to match various materials such as copper or silicon [248]. From an environmental perspective, LCPs are recyclable, impervious to most chemicals, and can withstand relatively high temperatures (up to 350°C). Thus, Liquid Crystal Polymers are potentially useful in the conformal packaging of many antenna systems and circuits, particularly in the evolving mm-Wave indoor communication, sensing, and imaging applications at 60, 77, and 94 GHz, respectively.

### 7.2.1 Ink Jetting on LCP

The inkjet printing recipe outlined earlier was tailored for printing silver nano-particles unto LCP substrates for eventual production of LCP-enabled mm-wave packages akin to that shown in Fig. 7.7. Fig. 7.8 demonstrates the capability of realizing feature sizes as small as 20-50 microns. A nominal thickness of 3 microns was measured using a Wyko profilometer with noticeable variations around the edges. A four-point probe was used to characterize the resistivity of the printed silver. The measured conductivity ranged from $3 \times 10^6$ S/m - $7 \times 10^6$ S/m, which is around an order of magnitude less than the bulk conductivity of silver. A number of coplanar waveguide lines were printed on 4mil LCP. The response of a 2.5mm line featuring a 300um center conductor and 150um gap is shown in Fig. 7.9. Note that the system reference impedance is changed to 90 Ohms instead of 50 Ohms to accommodate the chosen line dimensions, since the ratio of the center conductor width to the gap separation of a 50 Ohm CPW line is quite large. Nevertheless, the results demonstrate acceptable losses, clearing the way for the realization of inkjet-printed mm-Wave low-cost antennas and wireless-enabled packages.
Fig. 7.7. LCP-enabled packaging for mm-Wave systems.

Fig. 7.8. Realized resolution, before curing (left) and after curing (right).
7.2.2 Antennas on LCP

Here, we demonstrate the first reported inkjet-printed antenna on LCPs for mm-Wave applications. This is a proof-of-concept simple dual band antenna design that could serve as a sensor in the 24/60/70GHz bands. The antenna is a variation of the planar monopole antenna. By controlling the size of the side ground plane as well as the length of the center conductor along with the width to gap ratio, one can quickly optimize (using the techniques in Chapter 6) the antenna to cover the aforementioned bands. The antenna was numerically modeled as a thin conductor 5 μm thick, with 1μm surface roughness and conductivity of $3 \times 10^6$ S/m. The antenna model was fed in a GSG probe-mimicking scheme (Fig. 7.11). The probe-based measurements of this antenna were quite challenging (Fig. 7.10). The antenna was placed on top of a custom assembled fixture to ensure that the radiation mechanism was not affected. The result is summarized in Fig. 7.12, and Fig. 7.13 shows the simulated gain. Simulated efficiency is above 80%, even for the low conductivity value.
Fig. 7.10. Probe measurement of a sample antenna.

Fig. 7.11. Actual probe and its CAD model used in measurements.

Fig. 7.12. Modeled vs. realized data of the inkjet printed antenna on LCP.
7.3 Paper as a Flexible Substrate

One interesting issue that was encountered in obtaining LCP substrates was the lack of un-cladded supply. Vendors only had copper cladded samples, which required a full etching process before being able to print on them. Although this problem would most likely be alleviated once more interest in bare LCPs rises, it remains a barrier to quick proof-of-concept designs. On the other hand, paper – as a substrate/superstrate – possesses a number of intriguing attributes that makes it amenable for low-cost “green” electronics. It is cellulose in nature and is thus considered a renewable resource. Additionally, it can be easily processed in a reel-to-reel fashion, enabling low-cost manufacturing solutions. Driven by the fact that it is challenging and cost-inefficient to apply photolithography techniques to paper, inkjet printing of conductive particles provides a promising solution. Previously, characterizing paper as a substrate was achieved up to only 1GHz [246]. In this work, the properties of paper substrates were studied up to 60GHz through the use of the cavity/waveguide and split-post dielectric resonator techniques [250]-[251]. Several cavities covering the band from 1 to 60 GHz were utilized. Each blank paper sample was cured first in a thermal oven for 2 h at 120 to mimic the curing process of the printed ink. The results for the extracted relative
permittivity of the 10-mil-thick cured paper are shown in Fig. 7.14. The measured
dielectric loss tangent values were bounded between 0.06 and 0.07 for the whole
frequency range.

Fig. 7.14. Characterization of the paper material through the split-ring resonator method.

7.3.1 Ink Characterization on Paper
The conductivity of the printed conductive ink was studied through the use of the
Signatone Four Point Probe (www.signatone.com). To ensure good RF conductivity, the
in-house recipe discussed earlier was utilized. The resulting ink thickness, measured
using the Wyko profilometer (www.veeco.com), was around 3 micrometers, with
consistent measured dc conductivity in the range of $9 \times 10^6$ S/m - $1.1 \times 10^7$ S/m. Fig. 7.15
shows the SEM image of silver ink before and after curing. Fig. 7.16 and Fig. 7.17 show
the resulting profile of select sample structures, while Fig. 7.18 shows the AFM data of
one of the lines. A resolution of 50um can be consistently realized across different
samples (Fig. 7.19). Select printed structures are shown in Fig. 7.20. The test setup used
in measuring some of these structures is shown in Fig. 7.21. The resulting scattering
parameters of one of the CPW lines are shown in Fig. 7.22 (measurements up to 40GHz).
Fig. 7.15. Silver ink before (left) and after (right) curing.

Fig. 7.16. Profiling a single trace line.

Fig. 7.17. Profiling coupled trace lines.
Fig. 7.18. AFM data for a single trace line.

Fig. 7.19. Realized printing accuracy down to 50um.

Fig. 7.20. Realized CPW lines of lengths up to 10cm (left) and a flex coupled line circuit (right).
7.3.2 Application in UWB Antenna

To investigate the applicability of inkjet-printed paper-based technology for the realization of high frequency structures, a planar UWB monopole [252]-[253] was developed as a proof-of-concept. The design was modeled through full-wave finite-difference time-domain (FDTD) simulations using a commercially available solver from SPEAG (www.semcad.com). This type of antenna can be quickly realized using the concepts in Chapter 6. Numerical simulations incorporated the actual ink thickness, along with the frequency-dependent permittivity of the paper substrate. The antenna was printed on a paper sheet following the previously outlined guidelines. The paper sample was cut to a square slice of 58X58 mm to form the overall antenna. A computer-aided design (CAD) model is shown in Fig. 7.23. Note that a model for a long piece of a
coaxial cable was utilized in simulations to mimic the setup used in measurements. This helps in modeling the common-mode currents flowing on the feeding cable, and typically results in better correlation between the measured and simulated pattern/gain values. It is noteworthy to observe that such an antenna is fully conformal. The Agilent PNA-X network analyzer (N5245A) was used for the scattering parameters measurements. Fig. 7.24 shows good agreement between the simulated versus the measured responses at the input port of the antenna up to 16 GHz. The resulting group delay is plotted in Fig. 7.25.

For proper UWB operation, it is important to keep the variation in the group delay value as low as possible so as not to distort the transmitted/received signals. This proof-of-concept prototype shows an acceptable variation in the group delay up to a maximum of 0.6 ns. The radiation pattern was measured using Satimo’s Stargate 64 Antenna Chamber measurement system. NIST Calibrated SH8000 Horn Antenna was used for the calibration of the measured radiation pattern at the selected in band frequencies (3, 4.5, 6, 7.5, 9, and 10.5 GHz). The prototype antenna was placed in the yz plane, as outlined in Fig. 7.26. The measured radiation pattern at different elevation angles is shown in Table 7.1. The resulting pattern is almost uniform (omnidirectional) for the selected in-band frequencies, which is ideal for many UWB applications, and the antenna efficiency was better than 80% throughout the entire band.

Fig. 7.23. Numerical model for the printed antenna on paper.
Fig. 7.24. Simulated and measured performance of the antenna.

Fig. 7.25. Resulting group delay of the antenna.
Fig. 7.26. Measured and simulated directivity of the antenna.
Table 7.1. Measured Radiation Patterns at Select in-Band Frequencies (solid for xz-plane and dashed for yz-plane).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Freq=3 [GHz]</th>
<th>Freq=4.5 [GHz]</th>
<th>Freq=6 [GHz]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freq=7.5 [GHz]</td>
<td>Freq=9 [GHz]</td>
<td>Freq=10.5 [GHz]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
7.3.3 Application in Sensor Design

One important consequence of being able of producing ink-jetted structures operating at high frequencies is paving the road to the realization of a plurality of non-traditional wireless system applications. Among these is utilizing silver nano-ink along with carbon nanotubes CNTs to develop a high sensitivity low-cost gas sensor.

Carbon nanotubes have been researched extensively for gas sensing applications due to their unique electrical, chemical, and structural properties [254]-[255]. Single-walled carbon nanotubes (SWNTs) have been predominantly used due to their superior electrical conductivity and higher sensitivity relative to multiwalled carbon nanotubes [256]. In addition, SWNTs can be functionalized enhancing their gas detection sensitivity (see [257] for details about functionalized SWNTs). In this kind of work, SWNTs are grown on special substrates through a cost expensive process in clean rooms [258] [260] [261]. In practice, remote operation of gas sensors is needed, which places stringent requirements on the sensitivity, selectivity, and range of the designed sensor. Also, for rapid deployment in buildings, highways, bridges and other infrastructure, it is desirable to have low-cost sensors that can be powered from thin-film batteries or by power scavenging, and incorporate integration of the sensor and the RF communication device on the same substrate.

Hence, in contrast to prior solutions, this work incorporates SWNTs through ink-jet printing. The proposed process involves a thin film of SWNT ink-jetted on paper to characterize its behavior at frequencies from DC to few GHz. The adopted sensor concept then relies on incorporating the thin film as a load into a nano-silver printed antenna. Upon exposure to gas, the SWNT thin film load will change its RF properties and thus the return loss/scattering properties of the antenna will reflect the change. Through proper choice of the antenna structure, the concept realizes a remote-sensor with much higher sensitivity than previously recorded (see, for example, [258], [260] and [261]). To characterize the gas sensitivity, the loaded antenna is measured in a closed system environment (Sealed Glass Chamber) to quantify the sensitivity for low concentrations of different gases, such as ammonia gas, which is usually associated with explosives. The proposed antenna-based wireless gas sensor can be utilized in several remote sensing
applications, given its small form factor, light weight, and minimal (or no) power requirements.

7.3.3.1 RFID-Based Gas Sensor

There has been significant research into passive RFID-enabled sensor solutions, and some passive RFID prototypes for sensing applications have been proposed [262]-[263]. However, the sensing capabilities are usually realized by adding a discrete sensor or a special coating to the RFID tag, resulting in difficulties in low-profile integration as well as low sensing sensitivity. Therefore, there is a growing demand for low-cost RFID-based high sensitivity sensors.

In this work, a battery-free conformal CNT-based RFID-enabled sensor node for gas sensing applications is designed. This RFID tag was designed for the European UHF RFID band centered at 868 MHz. The printed CNT particles are Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes (SWCNT) from Carbon Solutions, which were dispersed in-house in dimethylformamide (DMF) solution and sonicated to meet the viscosity requirement for the inkjet printer.

It was previously shown that CNT composites are compatible with inkjet printing. However, instabilities in both the resistance and the reactance dependence on frequency above several MHz were observed, which limited the CNT applications [264]. Thus, to enable the CNT-enabled sensor to be integrated with RFID antenna at UHF band, a special recipe is needed. For this purpose, two types of SWCNT, namely, P2-SWCNT and P3-SWCNT, were tested. P2-SWCNT is developed from purified as-prepared single-walled carbon nano-tubes AP-SWCNT by air oxidation and catalyst removing. P3-SWCNT is developed from AP-SWNT purified with nitric acid. Compared with P2-SWCNT, P3-SWCNT has much higher functionality and is easier to disperse. In experiments, P2-SWCNT started to aggregate at a concentration lower than 0.1 mg/ml, while P3-SWCNT could go up to 0.4mg/ml and still show good dispersion. Therefore, P3-SWCNT was selected for the latter steps. The sample SWCNT powder was dispersed in DimethylFormamide (DMF), which is a polar aprotic solvent. The diluted solution was
purified by sonication for 12 hours to prevent aggregations of large particle residue and thus to prevent nozzle clogging during the printing process.

To characterize the DC and RF performance of the printed CNTs, silver electrodes were printed first, followed by a sintering process. The electrode finger is 2mm by 10mm with a gap of 0.8mm. Then, the 3mm by 2mm SWCNT film was printed. The 0.6mm overlapping zone on each silver arm ensures good contact between the SWCNT film and the electrodes. Four test fixtures with 10, 15, 20 and 25 SWCNT layers were made to investigate the electrical properties. Fig. 7.27 shows the fabricated samples. The color depth of the SWCNT film is due to the different layers.

![Fig. 7.27. Inkjet-printed SWCNT films with silver electrodes.](image)
The electrical resistance of the device was measured by probing the end of the two electrodes. The DC resistance in air is shown in Fig. 7.28. It is observed that the resistance decreases when the number of SWCNT layers increases.

A network vector analyzer (Rohde & Schwarz ZV A8) was used to characterize the SWCNT film electrical performance at UHF band before and after the gas reaction. A GS probe was placed on the silver electrodes for the impedance measurements. The whole setup was kept in a 20L Tedlar bag as the gas chamber. The gas sensor of SWCNT composite shows a stable impedance response up to 1 GHz, as shown in Fig. 7.29. For example, at 868MHz, the printed 25-layer sensor exhibits a resistance of 51.6 Ohm and a reactance of -j6.1 Ohm in air. After being exposed to ammonia, the resistance was increased to 97.1 Ohm and the reactance was shifted to -j 18.8 Ohm.
In a typical passive RFID system, the RFID reader sends an interrogating RF signal to the RFID tag, consisting of an antenna and an IC chip as a load. The IC responds to the reader by varying its input impedance, thus modulating the backscattered signal. The modulation scheme often used in RFID applications is amplitude shift keying (ASK), in which the IC impedance switches between a matched state and a mismatched one. The power reflection coefficient of the RFID antenna can be calculated as a measure to evaluate the reflected wave strength. A similar mechanism can be used to realize RFID-enabled sensor modules, where the CNT film functions as a tunable load (instead of the IC), with a value determined by the existence of the target gas. The RFID reader monitors the backscattered power level. When the power level changes, this indicates there is variation in the load impedance and the sensor detects the existence of gas.

Based on this concept, a bow-tie meander line dipole tag antenna was designed and fabricated on a 100um thickness flexible paper substrate using ink-jetting of silver nanoparticles. The sensing portion is realized through ink-jetting of the CNT material. The size and number of layers were chosen to achieve the best match to the antenna. The RFID prototype structure is shown in Fig. 7.30, along with dimensions. Note that a bowtie shape was chosen, as it facilitates broadband operation. The gaps in the bowtie arms are to reduce the amount of ink used, without significant impact on the design.
In air, the SWCNT film exhibited an impedance of 51.6-j6.1 Ohm, which results in a power reflection at -18 dB. When NH3 is present, SWCNT film's impedance was shifted to 97.1-j 18.8 Ohm. The mismatch at the antenna port increased the power reflection to -7.6dB. That is, there would be 10.8dB increase at the received backscattered power level measured by an RFID reader (Fig. 7.31). By detecting the backscattered power difference on the reader's side, the sensing function can be fulfilled.

**7.3.3.2 High-Q Gas Sensor**

The presented sensor design suffers from a few drawbacks. The utilized CNT is not functionalized. A considerable concentration of ammonia gas is thus needed to achieve the presented results (household ammonia was used in all measurements). In addition, the
low-$Q$ of the antenna design employing an amplitude-based sensing algorithm is not useful in many practical scenarios. Thus, an alternate design is needed that has superior sensitivity to very low concentrations of ammonia. To that end, a new wireless sensor utilizing functionalized CNTs along with a high-$Q$ antenna is proposed. The underlying concept is to utilize frequency variations for better sensing resolution.

Like their counterparts utilizing amplitude variations, prior sensor designs relying on variations in the frequency spectrum of the sensor were very limited in their performance. For example, Chopra [258] discuss the design of a patch antenna coated with a mixture of CNTs (in powder form) and a conductive epoxy for ammonia detection. When the CNT coating is exposed to ammonia, it changes the effective permittivity of the antenna and shifts its resonant frequency. The resonant frequency shift relative to the unexposed film determines the presence of the gas. Only 5 MHz shift has been detected even with a high ammonia concentration (1000 ppm). This small shift can lead to false alarms in a wireless configuration, and even antenna manufacturing tolerances can cause such small shifts. The authors in [260] employ a composite of multiwalled CNTs and SiO2 as a superstrate layer on a planar LC resonator, and use the change in effective dielectric constant, caused by surface interaction, to detect the gas. The effective permittivity is calculated from the resonator parameters. Due to size and cost limitations of fabrication on silicon, this sensor suffers from low sensitivity too, and the shift in dielectric properties can be masked by measurement errors.

The class of sensors relying on frequency shift is most useful for remote wireless sensing because frequency shift is easier to detect than amplitude shift, even in adverse signal-to-noise conditions. Here, we report the first of such RF sensors, comprising a thin-film functionalized CNT layer for the detection of ammonia, integrated with a silver ink-jetted planar antenna on photographic paper substrate.

Chemical functionalization is a method to enhance both processibility and sensing performance of SWNTs. First, it allows the unique properties of SWNTs to be coupled to other materials, such as conducting polymers, metals and metal oxides, to create hybrid sensing materials with enhanced sensitivity, selectivity, and faster response time. Second,
it can improve dissolution and dispersion of SWNTs in various solvents, including water, which enables cost-effective methods to fabricate sensors by simple dispensing or printing techniques. Commercially available single-walled CNTs functionalized with a conductive polymer, polyaminobenzene sulfonic acid (PABS), are used here to enhance the sensitivity to ammonia.

To design a sensor-based antenna, one needs a suitable model for the CNT layer to embed in numerical simulations. Accordingly, a characterization fixture is utilized, as shown in Fig. 7.32. A Short/Open/Load calibration is done at the terminals of the test fixtures, before extracting the equivalent $R(f)C(f)$ model for the CNT sample. The extracted model is then utilized in numerical Eigen simulations (as described in Chapter 5) to design a sensor antenna at a desired working frequency. Intuitively, once the CNT layer changes its properties due to exposure to a certain gas, the loading conditions will change, as will the resonant frequency of the antenna. In order to achieve maximum sensitivity, a patch antenna topology was chosen due to its moderately high $Q$ (and thus narrow bandwidth characteristics), along with being easy to match to 50 Ohm systems.

![Fig. 7.32. Text fixture for extracting the equivalent circuit of the CNT sample.](image)

Fig. 7.32 shows the test setup for characterizing the change in the $RC$ properties of the CNT layer when exposed to certain amounts of ammonia. Scattering parameter measurements at the fixture terminals were conducted using an ammonia gas permeation tube in a vacuum hood and a programmable network analyzer. The concentration of ammonia was controlled electronically using the permeation tube and an auxiliary source of nitrogen. The test fixture (and later the antenna) was clamped under the hood, and ammonia, mixed with the appropriate quantity of nitrogen, was released over the surface.
of the sensor. Fig. 7.34 shows the variation in the scattering parameters of the test fixture upon exposure to 100ppm ammonia.

![Fig. 7.33. Under-the-hood controlled flow test setup.](image1)

![Fig. 7.34. Scattering parameters change upon exposure to ammonia.](image2)

The extracted resistance and capacitance of the CNT layers in the test fixture when exposed to 100ppm ammonia are plotted in Fig. 7.35 and Fig. 7.36, respectively. The variation of the extracted resistance is shown with different exposure levels in Fig. 7.37.
Note that the nitrogen flow shown in Fig. 7.37 is used to purge all ammonia gas before applying a different concentration.

The setup shown in Fig. 7.33 is then used to test the sample sensor antenna. A few topologies were then tested, including the one shown in Fig. 7.38. This design is of particular interest, since the antenna has an overall efficiency of not less than 30% at any of its resonant frequencies up to 20GHz. In principal, CNTs are highly conductive only in
the form of a single tube or a bunch of tubes with aligned domains. On the other hand, a CNT printed layer is a lossy surface coating, which affects the efficiency of the antenna. One can minimize the loss by aligning all single-walled CNTs in the direction of the electric field, but that is a cumbersome and expensive operation. In this work, with the goal of low-cost ink-jet printing in mind, a solution of CNTs is deposited on the paper substrate, producing a mesh of randomly oriented CNTs. To minimize the loss resulting from lower conductivity with such a matrix, the antenna and the sensor locations should be carefully integrated. In the presented design, only a small portion of a stub connected to the antenna (not the main radiation portion) is coated with CNTs, and the rest, including the patch, is fabricated using deposition of highly conductive silver nanoparticles. By doing so, the efficiency of the antenna remains acceptable as a proof-of-concept, along with having the frequency shift of the antenna resonance frequency maximized by the change in impedance of the CNT load upon interaction with ammonia. In fact, a remarkable shift of more than 300MHz when exposed to 100ppm ammonia can be observed in Fig. 7.39. This shows how sensitive this sensor can be, which makes it quite useful in low-cost remote sensing applications. On a system level, an active reader with a phase detection capability can be used to interrogate the passive sensor-antenna and easily detect slight phase changes due to exposure to ammonia.

Fig. 7.37. Variation in the extracted resistance with different levels of ammonia.
Fig. 7.38. The printed sensor realization.

Fig. 7.39. Scattering parameters of the antenna before and after exposure to ammonia.
Chapter 8: Discussion, Contributions, and Future Work

“The journey of a thousand miles begins with a step.”

Lao Tzu

So far, this research work presented a number of ideas seeking an efficient approach for the design of package-conformal integrated antennas. This work features three main topics: model-based antenna design techniques, optimization techniques for electromagnetic applications, and low-cost realization of next generation sensors and antennas. To demonstrate the validity and applicability of the proposed techniques, a number of antenna designs were developed for a set of real-world novel applications. The most significant contributions of this research may be listed as follows:

- A new circuit-based extraction technique for the quality factor is presented in Chapter 3. This expression is general and particularly quite useful in situations where the antenna Q is small. This led to the work in Chapter 4, where a new circuit-based approach for the design of multi-coupled antennas is presented. This is a general technique that significantly simplifies the antenna design process, especially when wider bandwidths are needed in a compact volume. The approach is demonstrated through the realization of a large variety of antennas, which were fabricated, assembled and measured, proving the validity of their underlying design principles.

- A new circuit-theoretic physics-based strategy for the design of modern antennas is proposed in Chapter 5. It is based on focusing the design efforts on meeting a given resonance frequency and a specific quality factor, which in turn means an antenna capable of meeting specific impedance bandwidth requirements. Finding the optimum feed location is then a matter of utilizing the impedance maps. This is a useful design approach, in particular when designing antennas with multiple-feed locations or to
cover multiple-bands. Utilizing the modal approach, the idea of using a tunable
element, whether as part of the antenna or its matching network, has been studied.
The merits of using a tunable capacitor as part of the antenna (compared to being part
of the matching network) are demonstrated. In addition, the presented Eigen-based
technique can be utilized along with that presented in Appendix IV to achieve a
systematic methodology for the reduction of mutual coupling between closely
separated antennas, whether placed on large platforms or integrated in compact
portable devices. The same methodology proved efficient in realizing antennas with
multiple de-coupled feeds, thus creating high efficiency antennas for diversity and
MIMO applications.

• A new optimization technique is presented and discussed in Chapter 6. This is a
  special algorithm that results in a surrogate analytical model for the optimized
  antenna. This model can then be used in assessing the sensitivities and tolerances of
  all the design variables of the developed antenna. For the designs investigated so far,
  the algorithm proved much faster in its convergence than other commercially
  available optimization routines.

• Ink jet printing of high frequency structures has been demonstrated for the first time.
  Prior to the work, the maximum demonstrated frequency for any printed structure was
  less than 5GHz. A UWB antenna ink jetted on paper-based substrates covering up to
  10GHz was demonstrated. Select CPW lines and antennas on paper-substrates were
  then demonstrated up to 40GHz. The techniques were applied to LCP substrates,
  where ink jetted antennas were realized up to 80 GHz.

• Antenna-based gas sensors were studied and a new ultra-sensitive gas sensor
  employing carbon nano-tubes and ink jetted silver was demonstrated. Controlled lab
  tests using pre-defined levels of ammonia proved the extreme sensitivity of the
  proposed sensor. One should note that the applications of sensor-loaded antennas are
  quite diversified and not limited to inkjet printing technologies or to gas sensing.
It is important to emphasize that the primary purpose of this research is to develop systematic techniques for the design of package-conformal integrated antennas and sensors for next-generation wireless devices. As noted from the work presented in this document, several stages have been already implemented towards this target. Here, the itemized proposed plan for possible future work is outlined.

- The analysis in Chapters 3 and 4 will be revisited. The filter community is rich in its various filter configurations that can deliver different in-band and out-of-band behaviors. Such extensive variety is not available in the antenna community. However, as noted in Chapter 4 and Appendix V, it is possible to realize antennas with patterns featuring symmetric or asymmetric transmission zeros in the frequency plane. This is an interesting capability that is worth further studies to assess its potential and possibly cast it into a unified design strategy. Additionally, following the presented analogy between filters and antennas, it is worth investigating if there is a possibility of defining some guidelines in the design procedures to have full control on the group delay properties of the transmitted/received signals. Future studies in this area will investigate the potential of using dielectric resonator antennas for the filter-integrated antenna designs.

- The integration of some adaptive features into the antenna design will be studied further. It is hoped that the concept of impedance maps will provide insight into the optimum location for the placement of some variable capacitors (and their values) or switches, within the antenna for multi-band operation. It is also hoped that this concept will shed some light onto the optimal feed configuration for the antenna to operate efficiently under varying boundary conditions, i.e., antenna in pocket, in hand, or on desk. Although in most tested cases, the difference between the Eigen-based method and the actual driven antenna model was acceptable, a theoretical study is needed to assess the impact of the boundary conditions and material losses on the orthogonality of the Eigen modes under all $Q$ and material combinations to fully assess the method's limitations.
The presented technique in de-coupling closely separated antennas will be investigated further from a system point of view (impact on capacity and throughput). In addition, at this point, it is not quite clear how the proposed technique will affect the theoretical achievable directivity and gain values of the array designs. Further studies are thus required.

Although the presented optimization algorithm is quite fast and robust, there is certainly space for improving its convergence. One particular possibility is embedding the concept of space mapping into the proposed algorithm. This will allow fast creation of some coarse grid of inexpensive simulations, which will then be refined by accurate simulations/experiments. Such hybrid algorithm could benefit from the robustness of the presented algorithm and the fast convergence of space mapping, without suffering from the delicate parameter extraction procedures that are typically involved in the space-mapping algorithms.

The proposed antenna and system design approaches were applied to a wireless medical hearing aid system and have been proven successful. Interestingly, there are numerous other applications where the medical systems are starved for novel solutions. Such devices typically involve an inductive link for the wireless functionality, which implies limited data capacity and range of coverage. To increase both of these parameters, improved versions of the wireless link are required. Hopefully, this will be one of the outcomes of the projected research work.

Further investigations into high frequency applications of low-cost printed electronics are long overdue. Integrated antennas in a package, flexible sensors, and mm-wave ID systems are just a few of the next-generation wireless devices awaiting development.
Appendices
Appendix I: Q Calculations

This appendix should serve in presenting a quick summary for some of the formulations involved in Q calculations. Let us consider a short electric dipole antenna placed along the origin of the rectangular coordinate system and aligned across the z-axis. Such an antenna would radiate a $TM_{01}$ spherical mode with an even symmetry about $\theta = 0$. The associated fields can be obtained from an $r$-directed magnetic vector potential $A_r$. Thus, for the root mean square values of the field components, one can write:

\[ A_r = -\cos \theta e^{-jkr} \left( 1 - \frac{j}{kr} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (I.1)

\[ H_\phi = \sin \theta e^{-jkr} \left( -\frac{1}{r} + \frac{j}{kr^2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (I.2)

\[ E_\theta = \frac{1}{j\omega\varepsilon} \sin \theta e^{-jkr} \left( -\frac{1}{r^2} + \frac{jk}{r} + \frac{j}{kr^2} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (I.3)

\[ E_r = \frac{2}{\omega\varepsilon} \cos \theta e^{-jkr} \left( \frac{j}{r^2} + \frac{1}{kr^3} \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (I.4)

The electric and magnetic energy densities can thus be calculated as:

\[ w_e = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \vec{E} \cdot \vec{E}^* \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \left( |E_r|^2 + |E_\theta|^2 \right) \]  \hspace{1cm} (I.5)

\[ = \frac{\eta}{2\omega} \left( \sin^2 \theta \left( \frac{k}{r^2} - \frac{1}{kr^4} + \frac{1}{k^3 r^6} \right) + 4 \cos^2 \theta \left( \frac{1}{kr^4} + \frac{1}{k^3 r^6} \right) \right) \]

and
The electric energy density associated with the traveling wave, which is the energy calculated from the field components producing the radiated power, can be derived as follows:

\[
H_{\phi}^{\text{rad}} = -\sin \theta \frac{e^{-jkr}}{r}
\]  

(I.7)

\[
E_{\theta}^{\text{rad}} = -\eta \sin \theta \frac{e^{-jkr}}{r}
\]  

(I.8)

\[
W_{e}^{\text{rad}} = \frac{E_{\theta}^{2}}{2} = \frac{\eta^{2}}{r^{2}} \sin^{2} \theta
\]  

(I.9)

By defining the non-propagating electric energy density \(w'_{e}\) as the difference between the total electric energy density and the propagating electric energy density, we have:

\[
w'_{e} = w_{e} - w_{e}^{\text{rad}} = \frac{\eta}{2\omega} \left( \sin^{2} \theta \left( -\frac{1}{kr^{4}} + \frac{1}{k^{3}r^{6}} \right) + 4 \cos^{2} \theta \left( \frac{1}{kr^{2}} + \frac{1}{k^{3}r^{6}} \right) \right)
\]  

(I.10)

The total non-propagating electric energy \(W'_{e}\) is then found from:

\[
W'_{e} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{a} w'_{e} r^{2} \sin \theta dr d\theta d\phi
\]  

(I.11)

The total radiated power may be determined by integrating the real part of the Poynting vector over a spherical surface of any radius:
\[ P_{\text{rad}} = \int_{0}^{2\pi} \int_{0}^{\phi} \text{Re} \left( E \times H^* \right) \cdot \hat{r}, r^2 \sin \theta d\theta d\phi \]
\[ = \frac{8\pi}{3} \eta \]

Hence, the quality factor can be expressed as:

\[ Q = \frac{2\omega W'_e}{P_{\text{rad}}} = \frac{1}{k^2a^3} + \frac{1}{ka} \quad (I.13) \]

This is the result that McLean deduced, which is identical to that derived by Collin. This expression for \( Q \) opposed the long-term expression presented by Chu-Harrington. In fact, McLean identified the inconsistency by pointing out an algebraic mistake in their expression and modifying it to:

\[ Q = \frac{1+2k^2a^2}{k^3a^3 (1+k^2a^2)} = \frac{1}{k^3a^3} + \frac{1}{ka(1+k^2a^2)} \quad (I.14) \]

These expressions can also be produced by using Chu’s equivalent ladder network for the first mode, where the total electric energy stored in the circuit is:

\[ W'_e = \frac{1}{2} C |V_c|^2 = \frac{1}{2\omega} \frac{1}{ka} \quad (I.15) \]

and the power dissipated in the resistor is:

\[ P_r = |I_r|^2 R = \frac{k^2a^2}{1+k^2a^2} \quad (I.16) \]

![Fig. I.1. Equivalent circuit for the \( TM_{01} \) spherical mode.](image)
Therefore, the $Q$ is:

$$Q = \frac{2\omega W_t}{P_r} = \frac{1}{k^3 a^3} + \frac{1}{ka} \quad (I.17)$$

Intuitively, it was shown by Harrington that the lowest achievable radiation $Q$ for a circularly polarized antenna is given by that corresponding to a combination of the $TM_{01}$ and $TE_{01}$ modes. This $Q$ can be derived by using the electric vector potential in a dual manner to dealing with the $TM_{01}$. That is:

$$F_r = -\cos \theta e^{-jk \phi} \left(1 - \frac{j}{kr}\right) \quad (I.18)$$

$$E_\phi = \sin \theta e^{-jk r} \left(-\frac{1}{r} + \frac{j}{kr^2}\right) \quad (I.19)$$

$$H_\phi = \frac{1}{j\omega \mu} \sin \theta e^{-jk r} \left(-\frac{1}{r^2} - \frac{jkr}{r} + \frac{j}{kr^3}\right) \quad (I.20)$$

$$H_r = \frac{2}{\omega \mu} \cos \theta e^{-jk r} \left(\frac{j}{r^2} + \frac{1}{kr^3}\right) \quad (I.21)$$

By combining both of the $TM_{01}$ and $TE_{01}$ modes through proper excitation, one can obtain circular polarization in the far-field. For example, by using a complex amplitude of $j\eta$ for $E_\phi$, a circular polarization is achieved. In this case, the total electric energy density is:

$$w_e = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \varepsilon^* \cdot \varepsilon^* = \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon \left(|E_r|^2 + |E_\phi|^2 + |E_\phi|^2\right) \quad (I.22)$$

and the total non-propagating electric energy is then:
The radiated power for each mode is equal and therefore, the total radiated power is twice that of the $TM_{01}$ mode acting alone. Hence, the radiation $Q$ is given by

$$Q_{c} = \frac{2\omega W_{e}}{P_{r}} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1}{k^3 a^3} + \frac{2}{ka} \right)$$

It should be noted that the $Q$ of a circularly polarized antenna is only approximately half that of a linearly polarized antenna. This is because the $TE_{01}$ mode, while storing predominantly magnetic energy in the non-radiating fields, also stores some electric energy. The dual for the $TM_{01}$ exists as well.
Appendix II: Theory of Characteristic Modes

This appendix summarizes some of the formulations involved in the theory of characteristic modes. Parts of the analysis in this section were developed to clarify some of assumptions used in this theory. Consulting with the referenced articles (see Chapter 2) in parallel with this appendix should give the reader a better understanding of the underlying principles.

Foundations

An impressed external electric field $E^e$ on a conducting body with surface $S$, induces on the conducting surface a current $J$, which generates a scattered field $E^s$. A given distribution of charges and currents localized in some region of space can generate and radiate electromagnetic waves, which propagate to a far distance from the source. The following equations

$$\nabla \times E = -\frac{\partial B}{\partial t} \quad (II.1)$$

and

$$\nabla \cdot B = 0 \quad (II.2)$$

imply the existence of the magnetic and electric potentials, $A(r,t)$ and $\varphi_e(r,t)$, such that the fields $E$ and $B$ can be obtained through:

$$E = -\nabla \varphi_e - \frac{\partial A}{\partial t} \quad (II.3)$$

$$B = \nabla \times A \quad (II.4)$$

Solution of the Maxwell’s wave equations for the potentials yields:
\[ A(r) = \mu \int_{V'} J(r') G(r, r') dV \]  

and

\[ \varphi_s(r) = -\frac{1}{j \omega \epsilon} \int_{V'} \left( \nabla' \cdot J(r') \right) G(r, r') dV \]

where \( r \) is the field observation point, \( r' \) the source point, \( V \) the localized volume in which the charge \( \rho(r') \) and current \( J(r') \) densities are non-zero and \( \nabla' \) the divergence with respect to \( r' \), and \( G(r, r') = \frac{e^{-jkr'}}{4\pi |r-r'|} \) is the Green’s function for the Helmholtz equation.

Hence, by substitution in Maxwell equations, one gets:

\[ E(J) = \frac{1}{j \omega \epsilon} \int_{V'} [J(r') \left( \nabla' \nabla' + k^2 \right) G(r, r') dV \]

Thus, for the generated field, it is possible to write:

\[ E^s(J_s) = \frac{1}{j \omega \epsilon} \int_{V'} J_s(r') \left[ \nabla' \nabla' + k^2 \right] G(r, r') dV \]  

On the surface of a perfectly conducting body, according to boundary conditions, the tangential component of the electric field vanishes:

\[ \left( E^\text{ex} + E^s \right)_\text{tan} = 0 \]  

One may also write:

\[ L_\text{tan} (J_s) - E^\text{ex}_\text{tan} = 0 \]  

and if we denote \( L_\text{tan} \) by \( Z \), then:
\[ Z(J_s) - E_{im}^{ex} = 0 \]  \hspace{1cm} (II.11)

where \( Z \) can be expressed as:

\[ Z = R + jX \]  \hspace{1cm} (II.12)

with

\[ R = \frac{1}{2}(Z + Z^*) \]  \hspace{1cm} (II.13)

and

\[ X = \frac{1}{2j}(Z - Z^*) \]  \hspace{1cm} (II.14)

The theory of characteristic modes focuses on the operator \( Z \) for its representation and description of the system, thus providing the solution \( J_s \) to an external field applied to the system. The characteristic modes can be viewed as natural and inherent system responses. To understand these modes, the following Eigen value problem is formulated:

\[ Z(J_{s,n}) = \nu_n M(J_{s,n}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (II.15)

where \( \nu_n \) represents the Eigen values and \( J_{s,n} \) represents the Eigen functions. The weight operator \( M \) is to be chosen. If \( M = 0 \), we then have a trivial solution. On the other hand, if \( M = R \), we obtain real and orthogonal Eigen functions. Thus, we have:

\[ (R + jX)(J_{s,n}) = \nu_n R(J_{s,n}) \]  \hspace{1cm} (II.16)

That is:
\[
X(J_{s,n}) = \frac{\nu_n R(J_{s,n}) - R(J_{s,n})}{j} \\
= -j(\nu_n - 1) R(J_{s,n}) \\
= \lambda_n R(J_{s,n})
\]

where \( \nu_n = 1 + j\lambda_n \).

The total current induced on the surface can be written as a superposition of the characteristic modes:

\[
J_s = \sum_m \alpha_m J_{s,m}
\]

where \( \alpha_m \) are coefficients to be determined.

Note that \( R \) and \( X \) are Hermitian operators, meaning they are symmetric and real. Such properties yield real Eigen functions and eigenvectors for \( X(J_{s,n}) = \lambda_n R(J_{s,n}) \). Also, note that the choice of \( M = R \) should produce orthogonal functions. If we consider \( (J_{s,n}, \lambda_n) \) and \( (J_{s,m}, \lambda_m) \) two distinctive solutions to \( X(J_{s,n}) = \lambda_n R(J_{s,n}) \), with \( \lambda_n \neq \lambda_m \), and \( X \) being Hermitian, then:

\[
\langle J_{s,m}, X(J_{s,n}) \rangle = \langle X(J_{s,m}), J_{s,n} \rangle \hspace{1cm} (II.19)
\]

\[
\langle J_{s,m}, \lambda_n R(J_{s,n}) \rangle = \langle \lambda_m R(J_{s,m}), J_{s,n} \rangle \hspace{1cm} (II.20)
\]

with

\[
(\lambda_n - \lambda_m) \langle J_{s,n}, R(J_{s,n}) \rangle = 0 \\
\langle J_{s,m}, R(J_{s,n}) \rangle = 0 \hspace{1cm} (II.21)
\]

Using the same procedure, we have:
\[ \langle J_{s,m}, X(J_{s,n}) \rangle = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (II.22)

Combining, we get:

\[ \langle J_{s,m}, Z(J_{s,n}) \rangle = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (II.23)

Now back to:

\[ J_s = \sum_m \alpha_m J_{s,m} \] \hspace{1cm} (II.24)

and using:

\[ Z(J_s) - E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (II.25)

By making use of the linearity of operator, then:

\[ \sum_m \alpha_m Z(J_{s,m}) - E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} = 0 \] \hspace{1cm} (II.26)

Applying the inner product yields:

\[ \left\langle J_{s,n}, \sum_m \alpha_m Z(J_{s,m}) \right\rangle = \left\langle J_{s,n}, E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} \right\rangle \]
\[ \sum_m \alpha_m \left\langle J_{s,n}, Z(J_{s,m}) \right\rangle = \left\langle J_{s,n}, E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} \right\rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (II.27)

Knowing that \( \langle J_{s,m}, Z(J_{s,n}) \rangle = 0 \), then:

\[ \alpha_n \left\langle J_{s,n}, Z(J_{s,n}) \right\rangle = \left\langle J_{s,n}, E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} \right\rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (II.28)

and since \( X(J_{s,n}) = \lambda_n R(J_{s,n}) \), then:

\[ \alpha_n \left( 1 + j \lambda_n \right) \langle J_{s,n}, R(J_{s,n}) \rangle = \left\langle J_{s,n}, E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} \right\rangle \] \hspace{1cm} (II.29)
yielding

\[ \alpha_n = \frac{\langle J_{s,n}, E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} \rangle}{(1 + j \lambda_n) \langle J_{s,n}, R(J_{s,n}) \rangle} \]  (II.30)

Back to \( J_s = \sum_m \alpha_m J_{s,m} \),

\[ J_s = \sum_m \frac{\langle J_{s,m}, E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} \rangle}{(1 + j \lambda_m) \langle J_{s,m}, R(J_{s,m}) \rangle} J_{s,m} \]  (II.31)

where \( \langle J_{s,m}, E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} \rangle \) is defined as the modal excitation coefficient.

Normalization of the Eigen functions \( J_{s,m} \) yields \( \langle J_{s,m}, R(J_{s,m}) \rangle = 1 \), thus:

\[ J_s = \sum_m \frac{\langle J_{s,m}, E_{\text{tan}}^{\text{ex}} \rangle}{(1 + j \lambda_m)} J_{s,m} \]  (II.32)
Interpretations Using the Poynting Theorem

Recalling the Poynting Theorem, it is possible to write:

\[-\frac{1}{2} \int_V \left( E \cdot J_s^* + H^* \cdot M_s \right) \, dV = \frac{1}{2} \oint_S E \times H^* \cdot ds \]

\[+ \frac{\sigma}{2} \int_V |E|^2 \, dV \]

\[+ \frac{\omega}{2} \int_V \left( \varepsilon'' |E|^2 + \mu'' |H|^2 \right) \, dV \]

\[+ \frac{\omega}{2} \int_V \left( \varepsilon' |E|^2 - \mu' |H|^2 \right) \, dV \]

where $S$ is a closed surface enclosing the volume, $V$, $\varepsilon = \varepsilon' - j\varepsilon''$ and $\mu = \mu' - j\mu''$ are the complex permittivity and permeability in consideration of the dielectric loss effects.

The Poynting theorem states that the power delivered by the sources is equal to the sum of the power radiated through the surface, the power dissipated to heat in the volume, and the reactive energy stored in the volume and the flow of reactive energy per unit in time. Applying the above theorem in the absence of magnetic source in free space to the Eigen currents $J_{s,n}$ and $J_{s,m}$, then:

\[\left\langle J_{s,n}^*, Z \left( J_{s,n} \right) \right\rangle = \oint_S E_m \times H_n^* \cdot ds + j \omega \int_V \left( \mu H_m \cdot H_n^* - \varepsilon E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) \, dV \]

(II.34)

Using orthogonality $\left\langle J_{s,m}^*, Z \left( J_{s,n} \right) \right\rangle = 0$, \n
\[\oint_S E_m \times H_n^* \cdot ds + j \omega \int_V \left( \mu H_m \cdot H_n^* - \varepsilon E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) \, dV = 2 \left(1 + j\lambda_n\right) \delta_{mn} P_n \]

(II.35)
\[
\delta_{mn} \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{for } m = n \\
0 & \text{for } m \neq n
\end{cases} \tag{II.36}
\]

In the far-field region, the following relation between the magnetic and electric fields is valid, where \( r \) denotes the unit radial vector and \( \eta = \sqrt{\frac{\mu}{\varepsilon}} \)

\[
E_n = \eta H_n \times r \tag{II.37}
\]

Using the far-field conditions in:

\[
\oint \oint \left( \oint \left( \mu H_m \cdot H_n^* - \varepsilon E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) dV + 2 \left( 1 + j \lambda_n \right) \delta_{mn} P_n \right) dS + j \omega \oint \oint \left( \mu \left( r \times \frac{E_m}{\eta} \right) \cdot \left( r \times \frac{E_n}{\eta} \right) - \varepsilon E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) dV = 2 \left( 1 + j \lambda_n \right) \delta_{mn} P_n \tag{II.38}
\]

it is possible to write:

\[
\oint \oint \left( \oint \left( \mu \left( r \times \frac{E_m}{\eta} \right) \cdot \left( r \times \frac{E_n}{\eta} \right) - \varepsilon E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) dV \right) = 2 \left( 1 + j \lambda_n \right) \delta_{mn} P_n \tag{II.39}
\]

Now, if we apply the conjugate, then:

\[
\left( \oint \oint \left( \oint \left( \mu \left( r \times \frac{E_m}{\eta} \right) \cdot \left( r \times \frac{E_n}{\eta} \right) - \varepsilon E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) dV \right) \right)^* = 2 \left( 1 - j \lambda_n \right) \delta_{mn} P_n \tag{II.40}
\]

Summing and interchanging \( m \) with \( n \) produces:

\[
\frac{1}{\eta} \oint \oint \left( E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) dS = \delta_{mn} P_n \tag{II.41}
\]

One may also show that:

\[
\eta \oint \oint \left( H_n \cdot H_m^* \right) ds = \delta_{mn} P_n \tag{II.42}
\]
The last two equations show that the characteristic electric and magnetic far-fields are orthogonal, which in turn implies orthogonality of the characteristic patterns. Now, if we subtract the following equation from its conjugate,

\[ \oint_S E_m \times H_n^* \cdot dS + j\omega \int_V \left( \mu H_m \cdot H_n^* - \varepsilon E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) dV = 2 \left( 1 + j\lambda_n \right) \delta_{mn} P_n \quad \text{(II.43)} \]

we have:

\[ \omega \int_V \left( \mu H_m \cdot H_n^* - \varepsilon E_m \cdot E_n^* \right) dV = 2\lambda_n \delta_{mn} P_n \quad \text{(II.44)} \]

Furthermore, when \( m = n \), it is possible to write:

\[ \omega \int_V \left( \mu |H_n|^2 - \varepsilon |E_n|^2 \right) dV = 2\lambda_n P_n \quad \text{(II.45)} \]

So, when:

\[ \int_V \left( \mu |H_n|^2 \right) dV < \int_V \left( \varepsilon |E_n|^2 \right) dV \quad \text{(II.46)} \]

this means that the stored electric energy on the volume \( V \) dominates over the stored magnetic energy. Hence, the associated modes are defined as capacitive modes. This, in turn, leads to \( \lambda_n < 0 \). Also, when:

\[ \int_V \left( \mu |H_n|^2 \right) dV > \int_V \left( \varepsilon |E_n|^2 \right) dV \quad \text{(II.47)} \]

this means that the stored magnetic energy on the volume \( V \) dominates over the stored electric energy. Hence, the associated modes are defined as inductive modes. This, in turn, leads to \( \lambda_n > 0 \). Now, when:

\[ \int_V \left( \mu |H_n|^2 \right) dV = \int_V \left( \varepsilon |E_n|^2 \right) dV \quad \text{(II.48)} \]
the stored magnetic energy on the volume $V$ is equal to the stored electric energy and the associated modes are defined as resonant modes. This, in turn, leads to $\lambda_n = 0$.

Back to the basic equation relating the currents to the fields, we have:

$$\left< J_{s,n}^*, Z(J_{s,n}) \right> = \oint_S \mathbf{E}_m \times \mathbf{H}_n^* \cdot dS + j\omega \oint_V \left( \mu \mathbf{H}_m \cdot \mathbf{H}_n^* - \mathbf{H}_n \cdot \mathbf{H}_m^* \right) \cdot dV$$  \hspace{1cm} (II.49)

Through orthogonality, it is possible to write:

$$\left< J_{s,n}^*, Z(J_{s,n}) \right> = \oint_S \mathbf{E}_n \times \mathbf{H}_n^* \cdot dS + j\omega \oint_V \left( \mu \mathbf{H}_n \cdot \mathbf{H}_n^* - \mathbf{E}_n \cdot \mathbf{E}_n^* \right) dV$$  \hspace{1cm} (II.50)

yielding

$$(1 + j\lambda_n)\left< J_{s,n}^*, R(J_{s,n}) \right> = 2P_n + j4\omega \left( \left< W_{m,n} \right> - \left< W_{e,n} \right> \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (II.51)

where $\left< W_{m,n} \right>$ and $\left< W_{e,n} \right>$ are the modal time averages of the stored magnetic and electric field energies, respectively. Simplifying:

$$(1 + j\lambda_n)2P_n = 2P_n + j4\omega \left( \left< W_{m,n} \right> - \left< W_{e,n} \right> \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (II.52)

Thus,

$$\lambda_n = \frac{2\omega \left< W_{m,n} \right> - \left< W_{e,n} \right>}{P_n}$$  \hspace{1cm} (II.53)

Hence, at $\left< W_{m,n} \right> = \left< W_{e,n} \right>$, we have $\lambda_n(\omega) = 0$, defining the Eigen frequency and the corresponding eigenvectors. Recalling that $X(J_{s,n}) = \lambda_n R(J_{s,n})$, then:

$$X(J_{s,n}) \bigg|_{\omega = \omega_h} = 0$$  \hspace{1cm} (II.54)
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Steps for the Calculations

The application of the theory of characteristic modes involves some typical steps. In the first one, the antenna geometry model is typically designed and simulated in a method of moments MoM based electromagnetic software at a frequency of interest. Plane waves are used for excitation to exclude the effect of the source location on the expected results. In the second step, the impedance matrix generated by the EM solver is extracted and processed through a generalized eigenvalues solver. The third step features the computation of characteristic modes and interpretation of the results.

Evaluation of radiation properties requires a number of frequencies in a range of interest. For each single frequency, the previous steps, in the listed order, are performed. Using the numerical results, the resonance frequencies can be identified. A much more complicated task is the evaluation of the radiation quality factor. Hence, one needs to establish a relation between the frequencies of interest and the eigenvalues.


**Radiation Quality Factor**

The radiation quality factor $Q_{\text{rad}}$ of a system is defined to be $2\pi$ times the ratio of the maximum energy stored to the total energy lost per period. A generally accepted definition of the radiation quality factor for antennas can be expressed as follows:

$$Q_{\text{rad}} = 2\omega \frac{\max (\langle W_m \rangle, \langle W_e \rangle)}{P_{\text{rad}}} \tag{II.55}$$

with $\langle W_m \rangle$ and $\langle W_e \rangle$ being the time averages of the stored magnetic and electric field energies, respectively, with $\omega$ denoting the radian frequency, $P_{\text{rad}}$ is the radiated power, and $s = \alpha + j\omega$ defining the complex frequency. Assuming the $n$th mode is generated by an actual source (and not through mode convergence or scattering), and fed through a transmission line, then:

$$\frac{1}{2} V(s) I^*(s) = \frac{1}{2} Z_n(s)|I(s)|^2 \tag{II.56}$$

$$= P_n + j2\omega \left( \langle W_{m,n} \rangle - \langle W_{e,n} \rangle \right) + 2\alpha \left( \langle W_{m,n} \rangle + \langle W_{e,n} \rangle \right)$$

Thus,

$$Z_n(s) = R_n(\alpha, \omega) + jX_n(\alpha, \omega) \tag{II.57}$$

where

$$R_n(\alpha, \omega) = \frac{2P_n + 4\alpha \left( \langle W_{m,n} \rangle + \langle W_{e,n} \rangle \right)}{|I(s)|^2} \tag{II.58}$$

and

$$X_n(\alpha, \omega) = \frac{4\omega \left( \langle W_{m,n} \rangle - \langle W_{e,n} \rangle \right)}{|I(s)|^2} \tag{II.59}$$
For $\alpha \to 0$, and $I(s) \approx I$

$$R_n(\omega) = \frac{2P_n}{|I|^2} \quad (\text{II.60})$$

$$X_n(\omega) = \frac{4\omega\left(\langle W_{m,n} \rangle - \langle W_{e,n} \rangle \right)}{|I|^2} \quad (\text{II.61})$$

$$\frac{\partial X_n(\omega)}{\partial \omega} = \frac{4\left(\langle W_{m,n} \rangle - \langle W_{e,n} \rangle \right)}{|I|^2} \quad (\text{II.62})$$

which can be re-arranged as:

$$\langle W_{m,n} \rangle = \frac{|I|^2}{8} \left( \frac{\partial X_n}{\partial \omega} + \frac{X_n}{\omega} \right) \quad (\text{II.63})$$

$$\langle W_{e,n} \rangle = \frac{|I|^2}{8} \left( \frac{\partial X_n}{\partial \omega} - \frac{X_n}{\omega} \right) \quad (\text{II.64})$$

So using $Q_{rad} = 2\omega \max\left(\langle W_{m,n} \rangle, \langle W_{e,n} \rangle\right) / P_{rad}$, we have

$$Q_{rad} = 2\omega \frac{|I|^2}{8} \left( \frac{\partial X_n}{\partial \omega} \pm \frac{X_n}{\omega} \right) = \frac{|I|^2}{4} \left( \omega \frac{\partial X_n}{\partial \omega} \pm X_n \right) \quad (\text{II.65})$$

But $R_n(\omega) = \frac{2P_n}{|I|^2}$, so

$$Q_{rad} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{|I|^2}{|R_n|^2} R_n \quad (\text{II.66})$$
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However, recalling that $X(J_{s,n}) = \lambda_n R(J_{s,n})$, one may write

$$Q_{rad} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{\partial \lambda_n R_n}{\partial \omega} \pm \lambda_n R_n \right)$$

which is usually simplified assuming $R_n(\omega) = R_n$, to give

$$Q_{rad} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega \frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial \omega} \pm \lambda_n \right)$$

At resonance, $\lambda_n \to 0$, thus

$$Q_{rad} = \frac{1}{2} \left( \omega \frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial \omega} \right)_{\omega=\omega_0}$$

It is important to note that this result was achieved through two critical approximations ($\alpha \to 0$ and $I(s) \approx I$). This yields the resulting expressions only useful for high $Q$ structures. In addition, computationally speaking, the problem is further complicated when considering lossy dielectric materials, which are typically needed in most antenna designs. Using the aforementioned formulations, finding the mode configuration is perhaps the most useful feature of the theory of characteristic modes. However, to evaluate the input impedance, or the bandwidth for low $Q$ antennas ($Q<10$), it is necessary to enhance this theory, or propose an alternate one. Chapter 5 of this work discusses one possible more accurate and more general to implement method.
Appendix III: Cavity Model

Most antenna books cover various aspects of the cavity model for antennas. For convenience, this appendix should highlight some of the underlying concepts and their associated approximations. The reader should consult with any book on antenna theory, particularly chapters on microstrip antennas, for further details. A very important fact to bear in mind is that although the subject has been thoroughly studied from the late 1970s, there is still ongoing research seeking possible improvements to the theory. For example, a quick search among the most recent IEEE publications (2005-2008) will yield a considerable number of attempts to better model the associated probe model. There is certainly a lot to improve in this theory; in fact, the work presented in Chapter 5 can be viewed as a generalization of the theory.

Foundations

Many radiating structures take the form of a plate of arbitrary shaper, parallel to a reflector plane at a distance which is much smaller than the free space wavelength. In such a scenario, the antenna is often modeled as a cavity bounded on its perimeter by magnetic walls. The quality factor, resonance frequency, and the radiation resistance can be computed from the approximate fields. With no feed present, the microstrip source (consider a rectangular metallic plate in xy-plane with width $W$ in x-direction, length $L$ in y-direction on a substrate of height $H$) can be thought of as a cavity bounded by magnetic sidewalls and supporting quasi-discrete modes, which are $TM_{mn}$ to $z$. Note that $m$ is associated with the $y$ direction, while $n$ denotes variation in the $x$ direction.

Let us look at the mode $TM_{10}$, obtained when $L$ is around half of the guided wavelength. In general, the electric field is assumed to be parallel to the $z$ direction and independent of $z$. This field can be expressed as the sum of fields associated with each mode:
\[ E_z = \sum_m \sum_n A_{mn} e_{mn} \]  

(III.1)

where \( A_{mn} \) are the mode coefficients and the \( e_{mn} \) are the ortho-normalized mode vectors given by:

\[ e_{mn} = \hat{\chi} \frac{\chi_{mn}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon LWH}} \cos k_x x \cos k_y y \]  

(III.2)

Similarly,

\[ h_{mn} = \frac{1}{j\omega \mu} \frac{\chi_{mn}}{\sqrt{\varepsilon LWH}} (\hat{\chi} k_y \cos k_x x \sin k_y y - \hat{\chi} k_x \sin k_x x \cos k_y y) \]  

(III.3)

where

\[ \chi_{m0} = \chi_{0n} = \sqrt{2} \quad (m \neq 0, n \neq 0) \]  

(III.4)

and

\[ k^2 = \omega^2 \mu \varepsilon = k_x^2 + k_y^2 \]  

(III.5)

**Impedance Boundary Model**

Carver, in his classical 1979 paper, imposed an impedance boundary condition on each of the four walls (Lo proposed the same idea in the same year). This leads to transcendental equations for the Eigen values, \( k_x \) and \( k_y \)

\[ \tan k_x W = \frac{2k_x \alpha_x}{k_x^2 - \alpha_x^2} \]  

(III.6)

and

\[ \tan k_y L = \frac{2k_y \alpha_y}{k_y^2 - \alpha_y^2} \]  

(III.7)
with

\[ \alpha_x = j \frac{2\pi R_0}{\lambda_0} \frac{H}{W} Y_x F_x \]  

(III.8)

and

\[ \alpha_y = j \frac{2\pi R_0}{\lambda_0} \frac{H}{L} Y_y F_y \]  

(III.9)

where \( Y_x \) is the wall admittance for \( x=0 , x=W \), \( Y_y \) is the wall admittance for \( y=0 , y=L \), and the factors \( F_x \) and \( F_y \) are approximately unity. The approximation is based on the wall conductance of a TEM parallel plate waveguide radiating into half space. In fact, the wall susceptance of a uniform microstrip line gives the following equations for the wall admittance,

\[ Y = G + jB \]  

(III.10)

with

\[ G = \frac{1}{120} \frac{W}{\lambda_0} \]  

(III.11)

and

\[ B = 0.00687 \varepsilon_c \left[ \frac{\varepsilon_c + 0.3}{\varepsilon_c - 0.258} \right] \left[ \frac{W/H + 0.262}{W/H + 0.813} \right] \frac{W}{\lambda_0} \]  

(III.12)

with

\[ \varepsilon_c = \frac{\varepsilon_r + 1}{2} + \frac{\varepsilon_r - 1}{2} \left[ 1 + 10 \frac{H}{W} \right]^{-0.5} \]  

(III.13)

Note that the susceptance can be compared with that from Bahl (1979).
Derneryd (1979) added two more expressions for the metallic and substrate losses, as follows:

\[
B = k_0 Y_c 0.412 H \sqrt{\varepsilon} \left[ \frac{\varepsilon + 0.3}{\varepsilon - 0.258} \right] \left[ \frac{W}{H + 0.262} \right] \frac{W}{\lambda_0} \tag{III.14}
\]

\[G_c = \frac{\pi^2 W (\pi f, \mu_0)^{-1.5}}{4 LH^2 \sqrt{\sigma}} \tag{III.15}\]

and

\[G_\delta = \frac{\pi W \tan \delta}{4 \mu_0 H f_r} \tag{III.16}\]
Approximate Boundary Model
A rough approximation is typically made assuming that the radiating walls at \( y = 0 \) and \( y = L \) are perfect magnetic walls, resulting in \( Y_y = 0, \alpha_y = 0 \) and the first dominant mode corresponding to \( TM_{10} \) with \( k_y = \frac{\pi}{L} \), and the approximate resonant frequency is given by

\[
f_r = \frac{c}{2L\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}}
\]  

(III.17)

Substrate Loss Effect
If the substrate loss is taken into account, the complex resonance formulated by Carver is obtained as:

\[
f'_r + jf'_\delta = \frac{c}{2L\sqrt{\varepsilon_r}} \frac{1 - \Delta / \pi}{\left[1 - j\tan\delta\right]^{0.5}}
\]  

(III.18)

where \( \Delta \) is a complex root of the transcendental equation. The complex Eigen value is then given by:

\[
k_y = \frac{\pi - \Delta}{L}
\]  

(III.19)
**Input Impedance**

It is important to realize that the input resistance depends on the feed point location \((x_0, y_0)\). The input impedance was calculated using a modal expansion method (Carver, 1979). For a patch fed by a probe or a microstrip line, we have:

\[
Z_e = -j \frac{\omega H}{\varepsilon W L} \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \chi_{mn}^2 \frac{\cos^2 \left( \frac{m\pi y_0}{L} \right) \cos^2 \left( \frac{n\pi x_0}{W} \right)}{\omega^2 - \omega_{mn}^2} G_{mn}
\]

(III.20)

where \(\omega_{mn}^2\) is the complex resonance frequency for the \(TM_{mn}\) mode, which is accurately approximated by:

\[
\omega_{mn}^2 = \left( \frac{m\pi}{L} \right)^2 + \left( \frac{n\pi}{W} \right)^2 \frac{1}{\mu \varepsilon}
\]

(III.21)

One should note that the factor \(G_{mn}\) can be accurately approximated by:

\[
G_{mn} = \begin{pmatrix}
\sin \left( \frac{m\pi d_y}{2L} \right) & \sin \left( \frac{n\pi d_x}{2W} \right) \\
\frac{m\pi d_y}{2L} & \frac{n\pi d_x}{2W}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

(III.22)

where \(d_x\) and \(d_y\) are the width of the feed in both the x and y directions, respectively.

For coaxially fed patches, \(d_x = d_y = d\), where \(d\) is the probe diameter. For a filamentary probe, \(G_{mn} = 1\), and the series for \(Z_e\) does not converge for the reactance. One can note that the major part of radiation reactance in the series of \(Z_e\) is mainly influenced by the higher order modes, which can be considered evanescent modes. The input impedance calculated is satisfactory for most high \(Q\) antennas with a simple geometrical shape. For antennas with low \(Q\), slots, or coupled antennas, the traditional cavity model theory
yields poor estimations of the associated input impedance. Further details are presented in Chapter 5 of this work, with a proposed remedy for general antenna configurations.
Appendix IV: A Design Method for Diversity/MIMO Antennas

This appendix describes a synthesis technique for reduction of the mutual coupling between closely separated antennas. This design method is shown to be quite useful in realizing pattern/polarization selective multi-fed printed antennas. The method starts with choosing a suitable printed element, after which the addition of another element, with its feed, introduces significant mutual coupling. The reduction of the coupling is then addressed, yielding a multi-fed antenna system with selective radiation properties.

A paper illustrating the underlying concepts of the presented technique was presented at the IEEE 2008 Antennas and Propagation Symposium, and was awarded the Honorable Mention Best Student Paper Award. The presentation has garnered much attention and initiated a series of correspondences with several IEEE AP professors and students. Among the many positive responses, Fig. IV.1 shows a capture of a correspondence with Professor C. Luxey, professor at the University of Nice Sophia-Antipolis, associate editor of several transactions (including the IEEE Antennas and propagation), 2007 IEEE Wheeler prize holder, and member of the European Antenna Centre of Excellence administrative committee.

Quoting: "With the application example you mention (our antennas on small PCB), we managed to find a neutralization technique without linking the PIFAs, we'll publish it soon. It's really interesting you mention it was possible in your presentation. Don't think this is simple work. Please, consider to publish it. You can see that many papers which are published in the IEEE Transactions are really bad compared to your work."

Fig. IV.1 An excerpt of Professor Luxey’s comments on the proposed work.

Introduction

Deployment of smart antenna systems is beneficial for advanced communication systems that require a miniaturized handheld package, higher data rate, good coverage, and higher capacity. A smart antenna system is one in which the quality of communication system is enhanced by incorporating appropriate signal processing schemes into a set of...
transmit/receive antennas. However, in order to minimize the total volume of the package, the antennas will have to be placed in close proximity to each other. This introduces significant mutual coupling that usually deteriorates system performance and/or complicates the associated signal processing. To address the need for compact antenna array systems, a synthesis method for significantly reducing the mutual coupling between the array elements is presented. In this work, the synthesis method is used to design a diversity antenna system composed of two patch antennas. Measurements of the mutual coupling are presented, along with simulated patterns for different excitations. It should be emphasized that the proposed synthesis method is general and can be applied to guide the design procedure of many related problems.

**Limitations of Available Solutions**

In order to design a switched beam antenna, the designer has to choose a suitable radiating element. This radiator will serve as the building block of the antenna system. For illustration purposes, a simple rectangular patch is chosen. The patch dimensions are chosen to achieve operation at a frequency band around 5.9GHz. In order to realize pattern diversity, or simply realize different beams, the antenna is designed to operate at its second resonance, making it richer in pattern variations.

By bringing two of the patches close together (each separately fed), we have realized a simple array system. However, one problem with the array is the inherently high mutual coupling between the feed ports, resulting in degraded radiation performance. For example, 2mm separation at 5.9GHz typically yields \( S_{21} \approx -5\) [dB] or worse. Figure IV.2 shows some antenna configurations and their typical coupling performance.
Minimizing coupling in arrays has been widely addressed in the literature. Extensive work was done using either electromagnetic band gap structures or relying on hybrid power dividers. Figure IV.3 illustrates a design employing the later approach. Here, a few problems can be quickly noted. For instance, care has to be exercised in matching the hybrid, which typically results in limited bandwidth. Furthermore, this hybrid would require a large spacing, which is typically not feasible for portable devices. One should note that this method does not really de-couple the antennas but de-couples their ports.
Using electromagnetic band gaps is another proposed technique, but suffers from its size requirements and the bandwidth limit of the EBG cells.

Fig. IV.3. De-coupling using hybrids.

Fig. IV.4. De-coupling using EBGs.
Proposed Method

The proposed method to minimize the coupling is to consider the antenna array as a multi-port circuit, as shown in Figs. IV.5 and IV.6, where each antenna is represented by a generalized scattering matrix. In a conventional array of two antennas, a 3-port scattering matrix may be used, where port 1 represents the feed, port 2 represents power radiated (in free space, surface wave and leaky wave are also included), and port 3 is used to represent the mutual coupling between the antennas. In order to reduce coupling, an additional path may be introduced (represented by port 4 in Fig. IV.5). Through proper design of an additional coupling path, it is possible to minimize the mutual coupling significantly. However, it is usually desired to only de-couple the feed ports of the antennas. Thus, the four-port model for each antenna can be reduced to a two-port model (Fig. IV.6). In the two-port circuit model, radiation is treated as loss in the network, with the target being a synthesis of a circuit/printed structure connecting both antennas and countering the mutual coupling between the feed ports.

![Fig. IV.5. Four-port circuit model for two coupled antennas.](image-url)
For a two-port model, the governing system of equations may be expressed as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{1A}^- \\
V_{1A}^+
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
S_{11} & S_{12} \\
S_{21} & S_{22}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
S_{13} & S_{14} \\
S_{23} & S_{24}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{1A}^- \\
V_{1A}^+
\end{bmatrix}
\]

which can be re-written as:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{p}^- \\
V_{p}^+
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
S_{pp} \\
S_{cp}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
S_{pc} \\
S_{cc}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{p}^- \\
V_{p}^+
\end{bmatrix}
= S_{conn}^{-1}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{C}^- \\
V_{C}^+
\end{bmatrix}
\]

where \([S_{conn}]_{2x2}^{-1}\) is the scattering matrix representing a physical network connecting ports “2” in each of the networks. Finding the reduced two-port network out of this general network can then be achieved from:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{1A}^- \\
V_{1A}^+
\end{bmatrix}
= \begin{bmatrix}
S_{pp} \\
S_{cp}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
S_{conn}^{-1} - [S_{cc}]_{2x2}^{-1} - [S_{cp}]_{2x2}
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
V_{1A}^- \\
V_{1A}^+
\end{bmatrix}
\]

which may be written as:
with a desired overall matrix $[S_0]$, such that:

$$[S_0] = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11}^A & 0 \\ 0 & S_{11}^B \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11}^{A\dagger} & 0 \\ 0 & S_{11}^{B\dagger} \end{bmatrix}$$

(IV.5)

where $S_{11}^{A\dagger}$ ($S_{11}^{B\dagger}$) denotes the scattering parameters at the physical input port of patch antenna A (B) when patch B (A) is not present. It is clear, then, that we need to synthesize the connection matrix $[S_{\text{conn}}]_{2 \times 2}$ to achieve the desired overall matrix through:

$$[S_{\text{conn}}]_{2 \times 2} = \begin{bmatrix} S_{CC} & [S_{0}]_{2 \times 2} - [S_{PP}]_{2 \times 2} \end{bmatrix}^{-1} [S_{PC}]_{2 \times 2}^{-1}$$

(IV.6)

for a passive, lossless, symmetrical connection network, the connection matrix itself can be written as:

$$[S_{\text{conn}}] = \begin{bmatrix} S_{11}^{\text{conn}} e^{j \psi_{\text{conn}}} & \sqrt{1 - |S_{11}^{\text{conn}}|^2} e^{j \left( \frac{\psi_{\text{conn}} - 2m + 1}{2} \right)} \\ \sqrt{1 - |S_{11}^{\text{conn}}|^2} e^{j \left( \frac{\psi_{\text{conn}} - 2m + 1}{2} \right)} & S_{11}^{\text{conn}} e^{j \psi_{\text{conn}}} \end{bmatrix}$$

(IV.7)

So, by varying $S_{11}^{\text{conn}}$ and $e^{j \psi_{\text{conn}}}$, one can easily construct the Return and Insertion Loss Maps, which are used to speculate the return and insertion loss levels at the antenna ports using:

$$\begin{bmatrix} V_{A-}^1 \\ V_{B-}^1 \end{bmatrix} = [S_0]_{2 \times 2} \begin{bmatrix} V_{A+}^1 \\ V_{B+}^1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} [S_{PP}]_{2 \times 2} + [S_{PC}]_{2 \times 2} \begin{bmatrix} S_{\text{conn}} \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2}^{-1} \begin{bmatrix} S_{CC} \end{bmatrix}_{2 \times 2}^{-1} [S_{CP}]_{2 \times 2} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} V_{A+}^1 \\ V_{B+}^1 \end{bmatrix}$$

(IV.8)
Figure IV.7 shows a return loss map for two closely coupled antennas, such as those shown in Fig. IV.1, while Fig. IV.8 shows the insertion loss map for the same antennas. These maps present two important pieces of information. First, the best return loss we can achieve is around 25dB, while it is 21dB for the insertion loss. Second, putting both maps together, it is clear that a connection matrix where $|S_{conn}^{11}| = 1$, and $\varphi_{conn}^{11} \approx 45^\circ$, will result in a good de-coupled scenario with the return loss at 25 dB and the insertion loss at around 18dB. In general, this technique is quite useful in:

1. Rapidly defining the best possible location for antenna de-coupling.
2. Rapidly defining the best possible connection matrix, thus defining a hard limit on the expected performance.
3. Allowing the study of the feasibility of realization of such connection matrix.
4. Reducing significantly the number of simulations and optimization cycles needed to design a de-coupled system.

Fig. IV.7. Return loss map.
Figures IV.9 and IV.10 show two very simple implementations of a connection matrix. In Fig. IV.9, the connection is established by connecting the two patches with two printed strips. The target was to null coupling at a single frequency. Another design is shown in Fig. IV.10, where coupling was minimized by adding simple stubs to the patches. The design target was to keep the coupling below 10dB for a wider range of frequencies. It is noted that these examples show mutual decoupling in the H-plane. E-plane decoupling examples were presented in our 2007 RWS paper.
Another design for wider band operation is shown in Fig. IV.11, where coupling is minimized by adding two stepped impedance lines, which are widely known and are commonly used as low-pass filters. However, instead of designing them following the traditional filter polynomials, they are synthesized based on the connection matrix presented in order to counter the coupling mechanism between the patches. Thus, the structure in Fig. IV.11 has two modified rectangular patches connected with stepped impedance lines, forming a squared-like structure of side length 3.2 cm over a ground plane of 4.8X4.8cm on a 118 mil FR4. With measurements agreeing reasonably with simulations, the resulting mutual coupling is approximately -20dB around the measured center frequency at 6 GHz, with a return loss of VSWR<2 covering 5.719-6.309GHz with a bandwidth of 9.81%.

Fig. IV.10. Mutual de-coupling achieved adding a meander stub to each patch.
Eigen Analysis Verifications

Eigen analysis is a mathematical approach where a physical problem is solved assuming no sources. The solution shows the characteristics of the structure, independent of any source/load terminations at the feed ports (presenting a homogenous solution). By applying Eigen analysis (discussed in Chapter 5 of this work) to the structure in Fig. IV.11, the Eigen modes can be easily plotted (modes 5 to 10 are plotted in Fig. IV.12 showing the Eigen electric field distribution). The first 13 resonant frequencies (in GHz) are 2.3192, 3.4072, 3.4423, 3.9936, 4.5722, 4.7022, 5.3302, 5.8773, 5.9024, 6.6344, 7.2066, 7.3357 and 7.4171. The Eigen plots serve to ensure that the physical connection links introduced to the patches do not contribute to the radiation mechanism. As illustrated earlier, their main function is to counter the mutual coupling at the feed ports without altering the resonant/radiation mode distribution.
Fig. IV.12. Eigen electric field distribution of modes 5 to 10. Note that modes 8 and 9 are those of the coupled patch antennas around 5.8GHz.
Diversity in Far Field

The optimized antenna is fed with two coaxial feed ports. The excitation mechanism can be switched in nature, thus allowing the activation of one or both ports, with fixed amplitude and phase. Alternatively, one may consider operating the antenna in the adaptive array mode, where the amplitude and phases are allowed to vary. By inspecting the three-dimensional gain pattern of the antenna, we easily observe its ability in creating a sum/difference pattern, which makes it quite a simple antenna for deployment in switched-beam smart antenna systems.

![Gain Patterns](image)

Fig. IV.13. Gain [dB] for different sets of excitations \( \{(1 \leq 0^\circ), (0 \leq 0^\circ)\}, \{(1 \leq 0^\circ), (1 \leq 0^\circ)\} \) and \( \{(1 \leq 0^\circ), (1 \leq 180^\circ)\} \).
Fig. IV.14. LHCP/RHCP gains for different excitations $\{(1\leq 0^\circ), (0\leq 0^\circ)\}, \{(1\leq 0^\circ), (1\leq 0^\circ)\}$ and $\{(1\leq 0^\circ), (1\leq 180^\circ)\}$. 
De-coupling Using Lumped Elements

During the IEEE 2008 APS presentation, I commented on the fact that the coupling maps for many antennas indicate that we can simply use a single inductor or capacitor to decouple two highly coupled antennas. This comment brought so much attention, and several researchers inquired about sample designs. I was also asked if we had a design with more than two elements. Here, I elaborate further on possible designs through a number of simulation studies. Let us now assume we have two patches closely placed (Fig. IV.15). Each patch is 64mmX50mm on a 3mm FR4 substrate. The edge-to-edge separation is 3 mm, the ground plane is 120mmX150mm, and the coupling is about -6dB. Figure IV.16 shows considerable coupling between the antennas. If the ports are put on the outer sides at the center of the patch edges (Fig. IV.17), the results will look similar to those shown in Fig. IV.18.

Fig. IV.15. Two closely separated patches.
Fig. IV.16. Coupling between the two patches.

Fig. IV.17. Patches with auxiliary ports.
Applying the proposed algorithm, it is possible to generate the return and insertion loss maps shown in Fig. IV.19. Now, if we change the location of the ports to 25mm from the edge, we have a new map. Figure IV.20 shows the return and insertion loss maps at 1.114GHz. One may observe that a possible solution is at 0.5 and -180 degrees, with $S_{11}$ of -20.17dB and $S_{12}$ of -16.53dB.
The map at 1.05GHz is shown in Fig.IV.21. This should help in assessing potential decoupling on a considerable bandwidth. With ports from inside, we have a scenario as shown in Fig. IV.22, with its maps shown in Fig. IV.23.
The maps in Fig. IV.23 show that, at 1.104GHz, a suitable solution exists at 0.9 and -100 degrees, where $S_{11}$ is -36.42 dB and $S_{21}$ is -28.23 dB. One may thus easily synthesize a network close to the required connection matrix, as shown in Fig. IV.24, with its predicted results shown in Fig. IV.25.
One should note that the previous result was obtained by direct synthesis. That is, the return/insertion loss maps were used to find the best solution at a given frequency. For wider band de-coupling, different maps should be developed at each frequency of interest. Figure IV.26 shows a possible wide band de-coupling design.
To verify the predictions for the de-coupling behavior, a full wave numerical simulation is conducted using a commercially available solver, HFSS, by using the network developed in Fig. IV.24, with its layout in HFSS shown in Fig. IV.27. The results shown in Fig. IV.28 correlate well with those found from using a circuit simulator utilizing the impedance maps (as shown in Fig. IV.25). The resulting pattern for odd and even excitations is shown in Fig. IV.29.

Fig. IV.26. Results for wide-band decoupling.

Fig. IV.27. Modeling LC network in full wave simulators.
Fig. IV.28. The resulting de-coupling network.

Fig. IV.29. Resulting pattern for odd and even excitations at the antenna physical ports.

Studying the return and insertion loss maps further, one will observe that there is no physical solution at 25mm from the edge using a single capacitor or inductor. However, if a capacitor is placed at the outer sides of the patches, we can see in Fig. IV.30 that a 4pF capacitor can do the job. The resulting odd and even mode patterns are shown in Fig. IV.31.
Fig. IV.30. Using a single capacitor at the patch’s outer sides to decouple the patches.

Fig. IV.31. Odd and even pattern results when using a single capacitor at each patch.
Array of Four Decoupled Patches

The same proposed technique can be easily applied to a four-patch antenna system as shown below, with its corresponding results summarized in Figs. IV33-35.

Fig. IV.32. A four-patch antenna system.

Fig. IV.33. Resulting coupling between the four patches.
Fig. IV.34. Field distribution at excitation using \{1,-1,-1,1\}.

Fig. IV.35. The resulting gain when exciting using \{1,-1,-1,1\} (top left) and \{1,-1,1,-1\} (top right), and exciting at a quadrature phase difference between the four ports.
**Multiple Antennas for Portable Devices**

The proposed concept was also utilized in designing a number of antennas for portable devices. Figures IV.36-39 show designs for GSM1800/1900/UMTS as well as WLAN 5GHz on a smartphone-sized board.

![Antennas for GSM1800/1900/UMTS](image)

**Fig. IV.36. Antennas for GSM1800/1900/UMTS.**

![Response of the GSM1800/1900/UMTS antennas](image)

**Fig. IV.37. Response of the GSM1800/1900/UMTS antennas.**
Fig. IV.38. Antennas for WLAN applications.

Fig. IV.39. Response of the WLAN antennas.
Appendix V: Compact Coupled Antenna Designs

This appendix presents more examples applying the proposed technique in Chapter 4, further illustrating the simplicity of the technique and its wide range of applicability. The examples should serve in discussing some of the filtering functionalities associated with these antennas.

Design of Coupled PIFAs

A miniaturized antenna design useful for the wireless local area network WLAN frequencies at 2.4GHz is demonstrated. Strictly speaking, there are 14 channels designated in the 2.4 GHz range spaced 5 MHz apart (with the exception of a 12 MHz spacing between the last two channels). Countries apply their own regulations to the permissible channels, number of allowed simultaneous users, and maximum power levels within these frequency ranges. However, it is typical to assume a WLAN antenna should operate at 2.4-2.485 GHz, with a -6dB return loss.

The topology chosen for this design will be based on edge-coupled patch antennas [Chapter 4: 43-47]. If a single simple patch is chosen and a shortening wall is added to one of its radiating sides (for miniaturization), then we have realized what is commonly referred to as a Planar Inverted F-Antenna (PIFA-like) structure (Fig. V.1). At 2.45GHz, a PIFA with dimensions 15.8X8mm, on 62mil FR4, has a $Q$ of about 45, potentially covering up to approximately 73MHz. It is important to note that this relatively low $Q$ (for such a small antenna) is because of the high loss in the FR4 substrate; if the substrate were loss-free, the antenna $Q$ would have been around 400. Now, if the patch is cut in half (sized 15.8X4mm) and fed with a probe at 2.25mm, it will resonate at 2.42GHz with an input resistance of about 81 Ohm and $Q$ of about 48. Such $Q$ means that, at most, the patch can cover 68MHz of bandwidth. In theory, using two coupled patches of the smaller patch ($Q$ of 48) can match up to 215MHz (for return loss -6dB). Following the presented design procedure in Chapter 4, one can realize two simple coupled patches at 2.45GHz, where the driving patch is 15.6mmX4mm and the auxiliary is 15.5X4mm. The separation between the patches is 2.9mm. The shortening via posts is located at 1mm from the edges, with a diameter of 1.3mm. The main patch is driven through a probe with
an equivalent inductance of 0.9nH. Figures V.1-3 show the schematics for the single patch, coupled patches, and the fabricated prototype, respectively.

Fig. V.1. Simple PIFA antenna, with a feed probe and shortening via.

Fig. V.2. Conceptual coupled PIFA.
The realized gain is plotted in Fig. V.4, along with the reflection coefficient at the feed port of both the single and coupled PIFAs. It is clear that the coupled patch modes result in a non-radiating mode near the upper band edge, thus limiting the actual realized gain bandwidth. However, compared to the simple PIFA, the coupled-PIFA design has around 2dB higher realized gain over the frequency band of interest, maintaining a 6dB return loss bandwidth.

The realized gain function of the coupled PIFA system is evaluated at 2.45GHz and 2.56GHz in two cut planes normal to the antenna plane ($\phi = 0^0$ and $\phi = 90^0$). It can be observed from Fig. V.5 that there is significant reduction in the radiation efficiency at the 2.56GHz frequency. The 3D normalized gain plot is also given for these two frequencies in Fig. V.6.
It is also possible to design another version of this antenna without physically connecting the patches. For example, one solution is possible when the driving patch is sized 15.5X4mm, with a secondary patch of 15.35X4mm at a separation of 0.9mm. The layout and the performance of such antenna are shown in Figs. V.7 and V.8, respectively.
Fig. V.7. Two coupled PIFAs without the connection strip line.

Fig. V.8. Realized gain and return loss of the PIFAs.
**Design of Tri-coupled Patches**

As noted in Chapter 4, the proposed technique is valid even for more than two coupled antennas. To illustrate, let us extend the dual-coupled patch system into a tri-coupled one. On a 62mil FR4, a patch of dimensions 34.85X8mm has a resonance at 2GHz with $Q$ of about 41.7. According to the proposed limits, utilizing three coupled patches with the same $Q$ can result in impedance bandwidth of about 368MHz. The model for this coupled system is shown below, with its response. All three resonators are identical ($f_0 = 2[\text{GHz}], Q_0 = 41.7, R_0 = 447.09\Omega$), while the coupling inverters are chosen such that $J_1 = 0.0153$ and $J_2 = 0.0094$.

![Tri-coupled antenna model](image1)

Fig. V.9. Tri-coupled antenna model.

![Ideal response of the tri-coupled antenna](image2)

Fig. V.10. Ideal response of the tri-coupled antenna.

In order to realize this antenna, one needs to design one element at a time. The addition of a second element would result in perturbing the performance of the first element, which
can be re-tuned to realize the desired response. For example, starting with the first element, the following circuit model (Fig. V.11), with \( (f_0 = 2\text{[GHz]}, \ Q_0 = 41.7, \ R_0 = 447.091\Omega) \) should be used. Observing its response, an EM simulation can be rapidly used to realize a patch with very similar impedance plot. The high correlation shown in Fig. V.12 is for a patch with 34.85X8mm and a probe diameter of 1.3mm at 6.2mm from the radiating edge.

![Circuit model](image)

**Fig. V.11.** Circuit model for the first element in the tri-coupled antenna.

![Simulation results](image)

**Fig. V.12.** Full wave simulation and circuit model results.

To proceed, the two-coupled resonant antenna circuit model is studied. When placing the second patch on a 62mil FR4 layer on top of the first patch, it is expected that the first antenna will be slightly affected, which in turn requires slight re-tuning. Figure V.13 shows the circuit model \( (f_0 = 2\text{[GHz]}, \ Q_0 = 41.7, \ R_0 = 447.091\Omega \text{ and } J_1 = 0.0152853) \)
and the realized system, having a lower patch of 33.5X8mm and an upper patch of 35.3X8mm shifted from the edge of the lower patch by 6.4mm.

![Circuit model for two-coupled antennas.](image)

**Fig. V.13.** Circuit model for two-coupled antennas.

![Circuit and EM simulation impedance response for a stacked two-patch system.](image)

**Fig. V.14.** Circuit and EM simulation impedance response for a stacked two-patch system.

Now, the third element in the antenna should be realized. According to the circuit model, one needs to have the three resonators with \( f_0 = 2 \text{[GHz]}, Q_0 = 41.7, R_0 = 447.09 \Omega \), along with a coupling of \( J_1 = 0.0153 \) and \( J_2 = 0.0094 \). If we were to utilize another 62mil FR4, we would quickly notice that the realization of the lower coupling \( J_2 \) could be very problematic. One way is to add further layers, but this simply adds to the volume and cost of the system. An alternate practical solution is to shift the third resonator from
being exactly along the axis of the second resonator, thus allowing for smaller a coupling and keeping the footprint considerably small. The final structure is shown in the following figure, with its response. Note that the return loss plots include both the ideal circuit with the probe effect and that corresponding to changing the coupling to keep the return loss below the VSWR limit (i.e., by making $J_2 = 0.009$). The realized system (Fig. V.15) has a lower patch of 33.5X8mm and an upper patch of 35.2X8mm, shifted from the edge of the lower patch by 6.4mm. The third patch is 38X7mm, shifted by 12mm from the radiating edge of the lower patch and 9.5 from its center axis. It can be noted that by shifting the third patch, its $Q$ has changed; however, by lowering its thickness, one can shift it slightly back (Fig. V.16). Adjustments are determined by the strictness of the design specifications (Fig. V.17).

Fig. V.15. Realized three-patch coupled antenna.
The realized gain of such system, at $\left( \theta, \phi \right) = \left( 0^\circ, 0^\circ \right)$, is compared in Fig. V.18 to one in which a patch antenna is placed on top of the three 62mil FR4 layers. The patch has the same width as the three-patch system (17mm) and a length of 35mm to resonate around 2GHz. Such a single patch has a $Q$ of 22.5 and thus can potentially have bandwidth of 118.5MHz for VSWR=3. One distinct advantage in the three-patch system is its sharp
reduction in the realized gain (radiation efficiency) in the upper band. Figure V.19 shows the realized gain at 1.9GHz, 2.1GHz and 2.3GHz. Although matched at 2.1GHz, one can observe a sharp reduction in the realized gain of the tri-coupled antenna. This is mainly due to the excited odd mode, which significantly lowers the radiation efficiency of the antenna.

Fig. V.18. Realized gain for the single- and three-coupled patches.

Fig. V.19. Realized gain pattern at 1.9GHz, 2.1GHz and 2.3GHz.
This tri-coupled patch antenna example illustrated the possibility of matching an antenna with a $Q$-FBW exceeding the Fano limit. However, this increase comes with significant variations in the realized pattern characteristics. Nevertheless, given its compact size, such an antenna would be capable of achieving stable pattern characteristics over a significantly wide bandwidth. In addition, its reduced radiation efficiency in the upper band suggests some associated filter-integrated characteristics which could be very useful in system level design aspects (i.e., relaxation of the front-end filter specifications and improvement of system SNR).
Design of VSWR=1.925 on-Foam Coupled Antennas: Asymmetric Response

Often, a question is raised about the robustness of a technique in handling different return loss requirements. To address this issue, a design with a -10dB impedance bandwidth, VSWR=1.925, is presented and a driving patch antenna with dimensions 80X10mm is used. A second patch is placed with dimensions of 76.4X10mm at 1.8mm and 15mm from the radiating and non-radiating edges of the first patch, respectively. A single patch of 80X10mm will have a $Q$ of 39.5, yielding a VSWR=1.925 bandwidth of 30.2MHz. On the other hand, the antenna system with two coupled patches is capable of covering 104.6MHz at most. With the large thickness, the presence of the probe affects this theoretical limit. Including the probe effect, the actual maximum value for the system is approximately 90MHz. It is worth noting that if the coupled patch is replaced with an ideal matching circuit at the input of the driving patch, the maximum theoretical possible impedance bandwidth is 72.8MHz. It is also useful to compare the performance of this system to a single large patch consuming the same area as the coupled patches. A large patch of 80X35mm resonates at around 1.624 and has a $Q$ of about 20; thus, it can cover up to a maximum of 57MHz. Adding an ideal single stage matching network at its input would widen its impedance bandwidth to 133.2MHz. It is clear that if no matching networks are used and if all antenna solutions were to occupy the same area, then dividing the antenna system into two coupled patches will result in a much larger bandwidth. Fig. V.20 shows the realized gain and directivity functions evaluated at broadside. The realized gain function is plotted over space in Fig. V.21 at both 1.75 GHz (in band) and 1.8GHz (odd mode frequency). Additionally, the realized gain function is evaluated versus frequency at some spatial angles to assess the filtering functionalities associated with this antenna design (Fig. V.22).
Fig. V.20. Realized gain function evaluated at broadside.

Fig. V.21. Realized gain function at 1.75GHz (broadside) and 1.8GHz (off-broadside).
Fig. V.22. Evaluated realized gain function at broadside and off-broadside.
Design of VSWR=3 on Foam Coupled Antennas: Symmetric Response

As noted, all of the earlier plots had an asymmetric type of response in their broadside radiation near the upper frequency band. Realizing an asymmetric response near the lower frequency band would simply require altering the dimensions of the auxiliary patch. However, it is sometimes desirable to have a symmetric response instead. In these situations, adding a third coupled patch can help in realizing the symmetric response. Let us first look at the response of three coupled patches. Here, the driving patch is 80X10mm. One of the coupled patches is located on one side of the driving patch, while the other coupled patch is on the other side, where the first coupled patch is 76.4X10mm shifted 1.8mm and 15mm from the radiating and non-radiating edges of the driving patch, respectively. The second coupled patch is 76X10mm and located shifted 7mm from the non-radiating edge of the driving patch (Fig. V.23). The response of the antenna is shown in Fig. V.24.

Fig. V.23. Draft schematic for three coupled patches.
On the other hand, a symmetric pattern can be realized by keeping the driving patch and the first coupled patch unchanged and replacing the third patch with another one of 82X15mm shifted 3.5mm from the non-radiating edge of the driving patch (Fig. V.25). The results for this antenna are shown in Figs. V.26-V.29.
Fig. V.25. Layout for in-plane three coupled patches.

Fig. V.26. Realized gain function and directivity function evaluated in the broadside.
Fig. V.27. Realized gain function evaluated in the broadside and off-broadside.

Fig. V.28. Realized gain function at 1.7GHz, 1.76GHz, and 1.81GHz.
Fig. V.29. Sample prototype and its measured response.

**Design of a 1.7-2.1GHz Antenna for Smartphones**

As a final example, the proposed design procedure is utilized to develop a cell phone antenna operating between 1.7-21GHz. For prototyping, the utilized board is 90mmX50mm, the metallization forming the coupled strips is shortened to the cell ground in a PIFA-like topology, and the height of the strips over the metal is 3mm backed by a rigid foam layer. A schematic for the design is shown in Fig. V.30. The simulated reflection coefficient at the ports is shown in Fig. V.31. Various plots for the realized gain at different frequencies, in and out of band, are shown in Figs. 32-39.
Fig. V.30. High-band smartphone antenna.

Fig. V.31. Simulated response.
Fig. V.32. Realized gain at 1.5GHz and 1.55GHz.

Fig. V.33. Realized gain at 1.6GHz and 1.65GHz.

Fig. V.34. Realized gain at 1.7GHz and 1.75GHz.
Fig. V.35. Realized gain at 1.8GHz and 1.85GHz.

Fig. V.36. Realized gain at 1.9GHz and 1.95GHz.

Fig. V.37. Realized gain at 2.0GHz and 2.05GHz.
Fig. V.38. Realized gain at 2.1GHz and 2.15GHz.

Fig. V.39. Realized gain at 2.2GHz and 2.25GHz.
Appendix VI: Basics of EM FEM Eigen Solvers

Let us consider the vector wave equation:

$$\nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \times E \right) - k_0^2 \varepsilon_r E = 0 \tag{VI.1}$$

where $E = E_x \hat{x} + E_y \hat{y} + E_z \hat{z}$. One can rewrite (VI.1), applying Galerkin's method as:

$$\iiint_V \left( \mathbf{T} \cdot \nabla \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \times E \right) - k_0^2 \varepsilon_r \mathbf{T} \cdot E \right) dv = 0 \tag{VI.2}$$

where $\mathbf{T} = T_x \hat{x} + T_y \hat{y} + T_z \hat{z}$. Recalling that:

$$\nabla \cdot (\nabla \times A) = (\nabla \times \mathbf{A}) \cdot \mathbf{B} - \nabla \cdot (\mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B}) \tag{VI.3}$$

Then,

$$\iiint_V \left( \nabla \times \mathbf{T} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \times E \right) dv = k_0^2 \varepsilon_r \iiint_V \mathbf{T} \cdot E dv + \iiint_V \nabla \cdot \left[ \mathbf{T} \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \times E \right) \right] dv \tag{VI.4}$$

From the divergence theorem, one can write:

$$\iiint_V \nabla \cdot \mathbf{A} dv = \oint_s \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{n} ds \tag{VI.5}$$

and

$$\left( \mathbf{A} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \cdot \mathbf{n} = -\mathbf{A} \cdot \left( \mathbf{n} \times \mathbf{B} \right) \tag{VI.6}$$

Thus,

$$\iiint_V \left( \nabla \times \mathbf{T} \right) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \times E \right) dv = k_0^2 \varepsilon_r \iiint_V \mathbf{T} \cdot E dv - \int_s \mathbf{T} \cdot \left[ \mathbf{n} \times \left( \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \times E \right) \right] ds \tag{VI.7}$$
Considering the problem space at hand being bounded by a perfect electric conductor (i.e., forming a cavity), then the field and the testing function will vanish at the cavity surface. This implies that:

\[
\int \int \int (\nabla \times \mathbf{T}) \cdot \left( \frac{1}{\mu_r} \nabla \times \mathbf{E} \right) \, dv = k_0^2 \varepsilon_r \int \int \int \mathbf{T} \cdot \mathbf{E} \, dv
\]  

(VI.8)

Fig. V.1. A first order tetrahedron element.

From a numerical point of view, one can discretize the cavity into a number of multiple-order tetrahedral elements. For simplicity, choosing a first-order tetrahedron with four nodes and six edges, one can write the electrical field in a single tetrahedron as:

\[
\mathbf{E} = \sum_{m=1}^{6} e_m \mathbf{W}_m
\]  

(VI.9)

The vector tangential edge elements are given by:

\[
\mathbf{W}_m = L_m \left( \alpha_{i} \nabla \alpha_{ij} - \alpha_{ij} \nabla \alpha_{i} \right)
\]  

(VI.10)
where "m" represents the edge number, and "i"/"j" are the edge nodes, "L_m" is the length of the edge and "α_i"/"α_j" are the simplex coordinates associated with the nodes "i"/"j". The simplex coordinates for the nodes are given as:

\[
\alpha_i = \frac{V_i}{V}
\]  

(VI.11)

where

\[
V = \frac{1}{6} \begin{vmatrix}
1 & x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & z_3 \\
1 & x_4 & y_4 & z_4
\end{vmatrix}
\]

(VI.12)

with

\[
V_1 = \frac{1}{6} \begin{vmatrix}
1 & x & y & z \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & z_3 \\
1 & x_4 & y_4 & z_4
\end{vmatrix}, \quad V_2 = \frac{1}{6} \begin{vmatrix}
1 & x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\
1 & x & y & z \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & z_3 \\
1 & x_4 & y_4 & z_4
\end{vmatrix}, \quad V_3 = \frac{1}{6} \begin{vmatrix}
1 & x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \\
1 & x & y & z \\
1 & x_4 & y_4 & z_4
\end{vmatrix}, \quad V_4 = \frac{1}{6} \begin{vmatrix}
1 & x_1 & y_1 & z_1 \\
1 & x_2 & y_2 & z_2 \\
1 & x_3 & y_3 & z_3 \\
1 & x & y & z
\end{vmatrix}
\]

One can then write:

\[
W_m = \frac{L_m}{36V^2} \left\{ A_{x_m} + B_{x_m} y + C_{x_m} z \right\} \hat{x} + \left\{ A_{y_m} + B_{y_m} x + C_{y_m} z \right\} \hat{y} + \left\{ A_{z_m} + B_{z_m} x + C_{z_m} y \right\} \hat{z}
\]

(VI.13)

where
$$A_{mx} = a_{ti}b_{tj} - a_{tj}b_{ti}$$
$$B_{mx} = c_{ti}b_{tj} - c_{tj}b_{ti}$$
$$C_{mx} = d_{ti}b_{tj} - d_{tj}b_{ti}$$
$$A_{ym} = a_{ti}c_{tj} - a_{tj}c_{ti}$$
$$B_{ym} = b_{ti}c_{tj} - b_{tj}c_{ti}$$
$$C_{ym} = d_{ti}c_{tj} - d_{tj}c_{ti}$$
$$A_{zm} = a_{ti}d_{tj} - a_{tj}d_{ti}$$
$$B_{zm} = b_{ti}d_{tj} - b_{tj}d_{ti}$$
$$C_{zm} = c_{ti}d_{tj} - c_{tj}d_{ti}$$

(VI.14)

and

$$\alpha_{ti} = \frac{a_{ti} + b_{ti}x + c_{ti}y + d_{ti}z}{6V}$$

(VI.15)

Given that $\hat{t}_m$ is the unit vector along the direction of the edge element, $W_m$ satisfies:

$$\hat{t}_m \cdot W_m = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{edge } m \\ 0 & \text{other edges} \end{cases}$$

(VI.16)

Now, integrating over one tetrahedron, one can write:

$$\frac{1}{\mu_r} \sum_{m=1}^{6} \iiint_{V} (\nabla \times W_m) \cdot (\nabla \times W_n) e_m \, dv = k_0^2 \sum_{m=1}^{6} \varepsilon_r \iiint_{V} (W_m \cdot W_n) e_m \, dv$$

(VI.17)

which can be re-written in a matrix form as:

$$[S_{\text{element}}] [e] = k_0^2 [T_{\text{element}}] [e]$$

(VI.18)

Assembling all the elements over the cavity volume, one can write:

$$[S] [e] = k_0^2 [T] [e]$$

(VI.19)

where $k_0^2$ is the Eigen wave vector being solved for.
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