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#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ denote the polynomial ring over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$, and let $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ denote the subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ containing all polynomials of degree strictly less than $N$. For non-zero elements $r_{1}, \cdots, r_{s}$ of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ satisfying $r_{1}+\cdots+r_{s}=0$, let $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right)$ denote the maximal cardinality of a set $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{N}$ which contains no non-trivial solution of $r_{1} x_{1}+$ $\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$ with $x_{i} \in A(1 \leq i \leq s)$. We prove that $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right) \ll\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right| /\left(\log _{q}\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|\right)^{s-2}$.


## 1. Introduction

For $k \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2, \cdots\}$, let $D_{3}([1, k])$ denote the maximal cardinality of an integer set $A \subseteq[1, k]$ containing no non-trivial 3 -term arithmetic progression. In a fundamental paper [6], Roth proved that $D_{3}([1, k]) \ll k / \log \log k$. His result was later improved by HeathBrown [2] and Szemerédi [7] to $D_{3}([1, k]) \ll k /(\log k)^{\alpha}$ for some small positive constant $\alpha>0$. Recently, Bourgain [1] proved that $D_{3}([1, k]) \ll k(\log \log k)^{1 / 2} /(\log k)^{1 / 2}$, which provides the best bound currently known. In this paper, we consider a generalization of Roth's theorem in function fields.

Let $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ denote the ring of polynomials over the finite field $\mathbb{F}_{q}$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ denote the subset of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ containing all polynomials of degree strictly less than $N$. For an integer $s \geq 3$, let $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{s}\right)$ be a vector of non-zero elements of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ satisfying $r_{1}+\cdots+r_{s}=0$. A solution $\mathbf{x}=\left(x_{1}, \cdots, x_{s}\right) \in \mathcal{S}_{N}^{s}$ of $r_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$ is said to be trivial if $x_{j_{1}}=\cdots=x_{j_{l}}$ for some subset $\left\{j_{1}, \cdots, j_{l}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \cdots, s\}$ with $r_{j_{1}}+\cdots+r_{j_{l}}=0$. Otherwise, we say a solution $\mathbf{x}$ is non-trivial. Let $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right)$ denote the maximal cardinality of a set $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{N}$ which contains no non-trivial solution of $r_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$ with $x_{i} \in A$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$, and let $\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|$ denote the cardinality of $\mathcal{S}_{N}$. In this paper, we prove that

Theorem 1. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right) \ll \frac{\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|}{\left(\log _{q}\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|\right)^{s-2}} .
$$

Here the implicit constant depends only on $\mathbf{r}$.
In the special case that $\mathbf{r}=(1,-2,1)$, the number $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right)$ denotes the maximal cardinality of a set $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{N}$ which contains no non-trivial 3 -term arithmetic progression. As

[^0]a direct consequence of Theorem 1, we have $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right) \ll\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right| / \log _{q}\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|$. We note that this result is sharper than its integer analogue proved by Bourgain. Our improvement comes from a better estimate of an exponential sum in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ than in $\mathbb{Z}$ (see Lemma 2). In addition, when $\mathbf{r}=(1,-2,1)$ and $\operatorname{gcd}(2, q)=1$, by viewing $\mathcal{S}_{N}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{p}$ of dimension $M N$, where $q=p^{M}$, one can also derive the above bound for $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right)$ from the result of Meshulam in [4, Theorem 1.2]. However, for a general $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{s}\right)$, if $r_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q} \backslash \mathbb{F}_{p}$ for some $1 \leq i \leq s$, then Meshulam's method can not be extended to bound $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right)$. In order to prove Theorem 1, we employ a variant of the Hardy-Littlewood circle method for $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$.

One can also obtain some information about irreducible polynomials from Theorem 1. Let $\mathcal{P}_{N}$ denote the set of all monic irreducible polynomials in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ of degree strictly less than $N$, and let $A_{N}$ denote a subset of $\mathcal{P}_{N}$. By the prime number theorem for $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$ (see [5, Theorem 2.2]), we have $\left|\mathcal{P}_{N}\right| \gg\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right| / \log _{q}\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|$. For $s \geq 4$, Theorem 1 implies that there exists a positive constant $c(\mathbf{r})$ such that whenever $\left|A_{N}\right| /\left|\mathcal{P}_{N}\right| \geq c(\mathbf{r}) /\left(\log _{q}\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|\right)^{s-3}$, it follows that $A_{N}$ contains a non-trivial solution of $r_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$ with $x_{i} \in A_{N}$ $(1 \leq i \leq s)$. More work is needed to study the case when $s=3$, and we will return to this matter in a future paper.

We conclude this section by introducing the Fourier analysis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. Let $\mathbb{K}=\mathbb{F}_{q}(t)$ be the field of fractions of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, and let $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}=\mathbb{F}_{q}((1 / t))$ be the completion of $\mathbb{K}$ at $\infty$. We may write each element $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}$ in the shape $\alpha=\sum_{i \leq v} a_{i} t^{i}$ for some $v \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $a_{i}=a_{i}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(i \leq v)$. If $a_{v} \neq 0$, we define ord $\alpha=v$, and we write $\langle\alpha\rangle$ for $q^{\text {ord } \alpha}$. We adopt the conventions that ord $0=-\infty$ and $\langle 0\rangle=0$. For a real number $R$, we let $\widehat{R}$ denote $q^{R}$. Hence, if $x$ is a polynomial in $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, then $\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}$ if and only if the degree of $x$ is strictly less than $N$. Consider the compact additive subgroup $\mathbb{T}$ of $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$ defined by $\mathbb{T}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}:\langle\alpha\rangle<1\right\}$. Given any Haar measure $d \alpha$ on $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$, we normalize it in such a manner that $\int_{\mathbb{T}} 1 d \alpha=1$. Thus, if $\mathfrak{M}$ is the subset of $\mathbb{K}_{\infty}$ defined by $\mathfrak{M}=\left\{\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}: \operatorname{ord} \alpha<-N\right\}$, then the measure of $\mathfrak{M}$, $\operatorname{mes}(\mathfrak{M})$, is equal to $\widehat{N}^{-1}$.

We are now equipped to define the exponential function on $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$. Suppose that the characteristic of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ is $p$. Let $e(z)$ denote $e^{2 \pi i z}$, and let $\operatorname{tr}: \mathbb{F}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_{p}$ denote the familiar trace map. There is a non-trivial additive character $e_{q}: \mathbb{F}_{q} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$defined for each $a \in \mathbb{F}_{q}$ by taking $e_{q}(a)=e(\operatorname{tr}(a) / p)$. This character induces a map $e: \mathbb{K}_{\infty} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}$by defining, for each element $\alpha \in \mathbb{K}_{\infty}$, the value of $e(\alpha)$ to be $e_{q}\left(a_{-1}(\alpha)\right)$. It is often convenient to refer to $a_{-1}(\alpha)$ as being the residue of $\alpha$, an element of $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ that we denote by res $\alpha$. In this guise we have $e(\alpha)=e_{q}(\operatorname{res} \alpha)$. The orthogonality relation underlying the Fourier analysis of $\mathbb{F}_{q}[t]$, established in $[3$, Lemma 1] , takes the shape

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}} e(h \alpha) d \alpha= \begin{cases}1, & \text { when } h=0, \\ 0, & \text { when } h \in \mathbb{F}_{q}[t] \backslash\{0\} .\end{cases}
$$
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Notation For $k \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f(k)$ and $g(k)$ be functions of $k$. If $g(k)$ is positive and there exists a constant $c>0$ such that $|f(k)| \leq c g(k)$, we write $f(k) \ll g(k)$. In this paper, all the implicit constants depend only on $\mathbf{r}$.

## 2. Proof of Theorem 1

For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \geq 3$, let $\mathbf{r}=\left(r_{1}, \cdots, r_{s}\right)$ and $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right)$ be defined as in Section 1. Write $d_{\mathbf{r}}(N)=D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right) /\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|$. For convenience, in what follows, we will write $D\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right)$ in place of $D_{\mathbf{r}}\left(\mathcal{S}_{N}\right)$ and $d(N)$ in place of $d_{\mathbf{r}}(N)$. Hence, to prove Theorem 1, it is equivalent to show that $d(N) \ll 1 / N^{s-2}$.

For a set $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{N}$, let $T(A)=T_{\mathbf{r}}(A)$ denote the number of solutions of $r_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=$ 0 with $x_{i} \in A(1 \leq i \leq s)$. Let $1_{A}$ be the characteristic function of $A$, i.e., $1_{A}(x)=1$ if $x \in A$ and $1_{A}(x)=0$ otherwise. Define

$$
f_{i}(\alpha)=\sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}} 1_{A}(x) e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right)=\sum_{x \in A} e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right) .
$$

Then by the orthogonality relation for the exponential function, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(A)=\int_{\mathbb{T}} f_{1}(\alpha) f_{2}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha) d \alpha \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will estimate $T(A)$ by dividing $\mathbb{T}$ into two parts: the major arc $\mathfrak{M}$ defined by $\mathfrak{M}=$ $\{\alpha$ : ord $\alpha<-N\}$ and the minor arc $\mathfrak{m}=\mathbb{T} \backslash \mathfrak{M}$.
Lemma 2. Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{N}$ contains no non-trivial solution of $r_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$ with $x_{i} \in A(1 \leq i \leq s)$. Then we have

$$
\sup _{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}}\left|f_{i}(\alpha)\right| \leq d(N-1) \widehat{N}-|A| .
$$

Proof: For $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$, let $W=W\left(\alpha, r_{i}\right)=\left\{y \in \mathcal{S}_{N}: e\left(\alpha r_{i} y\right)=1\right\}$. Since ord $r_{i}=0$ and ord $\alpha \geq-N$, we can write ord $\left(\alpha r_{i}\right)=-l$ and $\alpha r_{i}=\sum_{j \leq-l} b_{j} t^{j}$ with $-N \leq-l \leq-1$, $b_{j} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(j \leq-l)$, and $b_{-l} \neq 0$. Then for $y=c_{N-1} t^{N-1}+\cdots+c_{0} \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$, the polynomial $y \in W$ if and only if

$$
\operatorname{res}\left(\alpha r_{i} y\right)=b_{-l} c_{l-1}+b_{-l-1} c_{l}+\cdots+b_{-N} c_{N-1}=0
$$

Hence, we have that $W \simeq \mathbb{F}_{q}^{N-1}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$.
Since ord $\left(\alpha r_{i}\right) \geq-N$, by [3, Lemma 7 ], we have

$$
\sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}} e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right)=0
$$

Hence,

$$
|W|\left|f_{i}(\alpha)\right|=\left|\sum_{y \in W} \sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}} d(N-1) e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right)-\sum_{y \in W} \sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}} 1_{A}(x) e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right)\right| .
$$

For $y \in W$, since $e\left(\alpha r_{i} y\right)=1$ and $y \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$, we have by a change of variables that

$$
\sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}} 1_{A}(x) e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right)=\sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}} 1_{A}(x) e\left(\alpha r_{i}(x+y)\right)=\sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}} 1_{A}(x-y) e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right) .
$$

Hence, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
|W|\left|f_{i}(\alpha)\right| & =\left|\sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}}\left(\sum_{y \in W} d(N-1)-\sum_{y \in W} 1_{A}(x-y)\right) e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right)\right| \\
& \leq \sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}}\left|\sum_{y \in W} d(N-1)-\sum_{y \in W} 1_{A}(x-y)\right| \\
& =\sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}}|d(N-1)| W|-|W \cap(x-A)||
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $r_{1}+\cdots+r_{s}=0$ and $A$ contains no non-trivial solution of $r_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$ with $x_{i} \in A(1 \leq i \leq s)$, the set $W \cap(x-A)$ also contains no non-trivial solution of the same equation. Since $W \simeq \mathcal{S}_{N-1}$ as a vector space over $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ and $r_{i} \in \mathbb{F}_{q}(1 \leq i \leq s)$, any invertible $\mathbb{F}_{q}$-linear transformation from $W$ to $\mathcal{S}_{N-1}$ maps $W \cap(x-A)$ to a subset of $\mathcal{S}_{N-1}$ which contains no non-trivial solution of $r_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$. This implies that $|W \cap(x-A)| \leq d(N-1)|W|$. It follows that

$$
|W|\left|f_{i}(\alpha)\right| \leq \sum_{\langle x\rangle<\widehat{N}}(d(N-1)|W|-|W \cap(x-A)|)=d(N-1)|W| \widehat{N}-|W||A|
$$

Thus, if $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}$, we have

$$
\left|f_{i}(\alpha)\right| \leq d(N-1) \hat{N}-|A| .
$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.
Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 1.
Proof: (of Theorem 1) Suppose that $A \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{N}$ contains no non-trivial solution of $r_{1} x_{1}+$ $\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$ with $x_{i} \in A(1 \leq i \leq s)$. We suppose further that $|A| /\left|\mathcal{S}_{N}\right|=d(N)$. By (1), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
T(A) & =\int_{\mathbb{T}} f_{1}(\alpha) f_{2}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha) d \alpha  \tag{2}\\
& =\int_{\mathfrak{M}} f_{1}(\alpha) f_{2}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha) d \alpha+\int_{\mathfrak{m}} f_{1}(\alpha) f_{2}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha) d \alpha
\end{align*}
$$

If $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}$ and $x \in \mathcal{S}_{N}$, we have $e\left(\alpha r_{i} x\right)=1$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathfrak{M}} f_{1}(\alpha) f_{2}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha) d \alpha=|A|^{s} \cdot \operatorname{mes}(\mathfrak{M})=d(N)^{s} \widehat{N}^{s-1} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the orthogonality relation for the exponential function,

$$
\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|f_{1}(\alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha=|A|=\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|f_{2}(\alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha
$$

Hence, by Cauchy's inequality and Lemma 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\int_{\mathfrak{m}} f_{1}(\alpha) f_{2}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha) d \alpha\right| \\
\leq & \sup _{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}}\left|f_{3}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha)\right|\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|f_{1}(\alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}}\left|f_{2}(\alpha)\right|^{2} d \alpha\right)^{1 / 2}  \tag{4}\\
\leq & d(N)(d(N-1)-d(N))^{s-2} \widehat{N}^{s-1}
\end{align*}
$$

By combining (2), (3), and (4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
T(A) & \geq \int_{\mathfrak{M}} f_{1}(\alpha) f_{2}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha) d \alpha-\left|\int_{\mathfrak{m}} f_{1}(\alpha) f_{2}(\alpha) \cdots f_{s}(\alpha) d \alpha\right| \\
& \geq\left(d(N)^{s}-d(N)(d(N-1)-d(N))^{s-2}\right) \widehat{N}^{s-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $A$ contains no non-trivial solution of $r_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+r_{s} x_{s}=0$ with $x_{i} \in A(1 \leq i \leq s)$, there exists a constant $B=B(\mathbf{r})$ such that

$$
T(A) \leq B|A|^{s-2}=B d(N)^{s-2} \widehat{N}^{s-2}
$$

Combining the above two inequalities, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
d(N)^{s}-B d(N)^{s-2} \widehat{N}^{-1}-d(N)(d(N-1)-d(N))^{s-2} \leq 0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now claim that there exists a constant $C=C(\mathbf{r}) \geq 1$ such that for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
d(N) \leq \frac{C^{s-2}}{N^{s-2}}
$$

This statement will follow by induction. Since $d(N) \leq 1$, the cases where $N \leq C$ follow trivially. Let $N>C$, and suppose that $d(N-1) \leq C^{s-2}(N-1)^{2-s}$. We will now verify that $d(N) \leq C^{s-2} N^{2-s}$. Since $N^{s-1}\left(2^{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$ as $N \rightarrow \infty$, without loss of generality, we may assume that $C^{s-2} \geq B^{1 / 2} N^{s-1}\left(2^{N}\right)^{-1 / 2}$ for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Hence, if $d(N)^{2} \leq B N^{2} \widehat{N}^{-1}$, since $\widehat{N} \geq 2^{N}$, we have

$$
d(N) \leq B^{1 / 2} N \widehat{N}^{-1 / 2} \leq B^{1 / 2} N\left(2^{N}\right)^{-1 / 2} \leq C^{s-2} N^{2-s},
$$

which gives the desired conclusion. Thus, in what follows, we will assume that $d(N)^{2}>$ $B N^{2} \widehat{N}^{-1}$. Since $B d(N)^{s-2} \widehat{N}^{-1}<d(N)^{s} N^{-2}$ and $N \geq 2$, by (5), we have

$$
d(N)^{s} 2^{-1}<d(N)^{s}\left(1-N^{-2}\right)<d(N)(d(N-1)-d(N))^{s-2}
$$

Let $E=E(\mathbf{r})$ be the unique positive number satisfying $E^{s-2}=2^{-1}$. By the induction hypothesis for $d(N-1)$, the above inequality implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E d(N)^{\frac{s-1}{s-2}}+d(N)<d(N-1) \leq \frac{C^{s-2}}{(N-1)^{s-2}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that without loss of generality, we can assume that $C \geq E^{-1}\left(2^{s-1}-2\right)$. Then by the binomial theorem, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N^{s-1} & =(N-1)^{s-1}+\binom{s-1}{1}(N-1)^{s-2}+\binom{s-1}{2}(N-1)^{s-3}+\cdots+\binom{s-1}{s-1} \\
& \leq(N-1)^{s-1}+(N-1)^{s-2}\left(2^{s-1}-1\right) \\
& \leq(N-1)^{s-1}+(N-1)^{s-2}(C E+1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\frac{C^{s-2}}{(N-1)^{s-2}} \leq E\left(\frac{C^{s-2}}{N^{s-2}}\right)^{\frac{s-1}{s-2}}+\frac{C^{s-2}}{N^{s-2}} .
$$

We note that $E x^{\frac{s-1}{s-2}}+x$ is an increasing function of $x$. Thus by combining the above inequality with (6), we conclude that $d(N) \leq C^{s-2} N^{2-s}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.
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