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INTRODUCTION

* Wetland loss over the past decades is well documented.
* Wetlands provide many environmental benefits including phosphorous removal from surface water.
* There are trade-offs between wetlands' environmental services and
$\checkmark$ alternative land uses
$\checkmark$ investing in other resources
$\checkmark$ other impacts of wetlands (i.e., nuisance).
* There are also tradeoffs between using wetlands versus other means of reducing phosphorous emissions.
* Objectives:
\& Synthesize the available Canadian literature on the phosphorous removal potential of wetlands and costs of wetland preservation and/or restoration;
* Produce quantitative estimate of the per kg of $P$ removal costs of wetlands;
* Asses the factors affecting the costs of $P$ removal by wetlands.


## DATABASE STRUCTURE

Types of Costs Reported


NATURAL ACCOUNTS FOR THE
MAJORITY OF 'TYPE OF
WETLAND (CONSTRUCTED OR NATURAL)'.


■ natural - constructed


- Restoration

■ Protection

- Protection, Restoration ■ Design
'METHOD': SIMULATION AND OPTIMIZATION APPEAR MOST OFTEN.

'WETLAND PURPOSE': RESTORATION ACCOUNTS FOR THE MAJORITY OF STUDIES.
'SOURCE OF POLLUTION': AGRICULTURAL ACCOUNTS FOR THE MAJORITY OF




## SUMMARY \& NEXT STEPS

* Still a very small number of studies that assess both phosphorous removal and costs for wetlands.
* Among those, there is a wide range of methods for cost calculation and reporting, making comparisons challenging.
* Developing a standard for reporting costs of wetland preservation and restoration may be beneficial for future economic evaluation of wetlands.
* P removal cost: median \$120/kg, average \$362

Next steps:
$\checkmark$ Estimate multivariate regression
$\checkmark$ Assess the impact of major factors on the cost of Phosphorous removal and compare with other

